Appendix 4A
Capital Cost Basis

## Appendix 4A. Capital Costs

This appendix contains the details of the capital cost workbooks that were prepared by the CH2M Team.
American Association of Cost Engineering Institute (AACEI) Classification Cost 4 cost estimates were developed for this project. Per AACEI, Classification Cost 4 estimates represent the actual total installed cost within the range of -30 to +50 percent of the cost indicated (Figure 4A-1). The estimates have been prepared with due diligence with the available information under normal operations. However, project costs are subject to market demands and circumstances, including labor rates, material costs, actual site conditions, availability of labor, final project scope and schedule, and other mitigating factors; therefore, the actual project cost may differ from the presented cost estimate.

The cost estimates are based on California Department of Transportation historical costs (for concrete and import fill), MEANS (earthwork), CH2M (now Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.) historical values, Golder Associates historical values, and calculated values, where indicated. Cost estimates are largely based on 2016/2017 values because cost development commenced in 2017, prior to the Western Placer Waste Management Authority (WPWMA) Board meeting in December 2017, but were assumed to be applicable as the base for 2018 costs.

In addition to the bases listed above, it is assumed that there are no hazardous materials to remove and dispose, and that the work will be performed under a 40 -hour, normal workweek schedule; thus, acceleration costs have not been included. It is also assumed that all materials are readily available at no premium costs, and that the contractor has adequate laydown space and site facilities. Equipment specifications are not identified. Federal and state sales tax are expected to be included in unit rates. As the design is at conceptual stage, the tie-ins to existing equipment and facilities have not been fully identified.

Variations in design and permitting complexity are generally assumed to fall within the contingency and cost variation ranges at this time.

Costs in this appendix are presented in 2018 dollars. For present values of these costs, refer to the Present Value Analysis presented in Section 4 of the main report.

This appendix contains the capital cost basis. Design considerations and documentation are provided in Appendix 4A-1. Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2. The timing and capital cost allocation for initial capital costs and replacements (in 2018 dollars) are shown in Appendix 4A-3.


Figure 4A-1. Cost Accuracy Table

## 4A. 1 Initial Capital Costs

Initial capital costs refer to the capital costs associated with initial construction and installation of the cost component. This section presents the design considerations for each cost component for comparison purposes only. The design considerations presented in this section include assumptions that were deemed appropriate for economic analysis and overall high-level comparison of alternatives. Specific assumptions may be modified at a later date as more accurate information is available; thus, costs and assumptions in this report should be viewed as estimates for comparison purposes. Supporting design calculations, sketches, and other documentation are provided in Appendix 4A-1. Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2. Timing and replacement capital costs are provided in Appendix 4A-3.

A summary of the initial capital costs for each Plan Concept is provided in Table 4A-1. These capital costs are further utilized in the Present Value Analysis presented in Section 4.

Table 4A-1. Summary of Initial Capital Costs

| Element | Plan Concept 0 | Plan Concept 1 | Plan Concept 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Elements |  |  |  |
| Public Area C\&D Area Compost Area Landfill Construction Landfill Stockpile Relocation Landfill Closure Unlined Area Waste Relocation | \$17,008,099 <br> \$18,098,690 <br> \$44,239,962 <br> \$54,214,085 <br> \$40,091,688 <br> \$43,215,610 <br> \$102,344,916 | \$17,008,099 <br> \$13,414,225 <br> \$44,275,759 <br> \$192,719,350 <br> \$13,363,896 <br> \$79,285,170 <br> \$81,462,874 | \$17,008,099 <br> \$18,098,690 <br> \$44,239,962 <br> \$254,936,766 <br> \$26,727,792 <br> \$106,499,440 <br> \$102,344,916 |
| Necessary Supporting Elements |  |  |  |
| Administrative Building <br> Main Entrance <br> Western Entrance <br> Overpass <br> Recovered Materials Storage <br> Primary Maintenance Facility <br> Satellite Maintenance Facility New Stormwater Ponds | $\$ 6,384,999$ $\$ 2,351,346$ N/A N/A $\$ 8,281,730$ $\$ 1,842,538$ N/A $\$ 1,254,153$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,666,111 \\ & \$ 1,969,520 \\ & \$ 4,851,349 \\ & \$ 9,278,433 \\ & \$ 8,174,342 \\ & \$ 1,842,538 \\ & \$ 3,311,687 \\ & \$ 3,058,040 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,666,111 \\ & \$ 2,351,346 \\ & \$ 1,135,231 \\ & \$ 9,278,433 \\ & \$ 8,281,730 \\ & \$ 1,842,538 \\ & \$ 2,394,397 \\ & \$ 4,478,684 \end{aligned}$ |
| Noncritical Elements |  |  |  |
| Main Site HHW Facility | N/A | \$236,971 | N/A |
| Existing Features to be Removed |  |  |  |
| Compost Pond Removal | \$217,629 | \$217,629 | \$217,629 |
| General Elements |  |  |  |
| Special Permits and Allowances <br> Wetlands Mitigation <br> Site Beautification <br> Site-wide Demolition and Disposal <br> Site Utilities <br> MRF Upgrade | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,483,996 \\ \$ 987,453 \\ \$ 889,230 \\ \$ 2,866,952 \\ \$ 3,061,096 \\ \$ 415,766 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 8,461,963 \\ \$ 12,878,109 \\ \$ 2,697,547 \\ \$ 2,866,952 \\ \$ 3,776,446 \\ \$ 415,766 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,153,364 \\ \$ 8,222,370 \\ \$ 3,143,189 \\ \$ 2,866,952 \\ \$ 3,061,096 \\ \$ 415,766 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Probable Initial Capital Cost | \$352,250,000 | \$521,233,000 | \$640,365,000 |
| Class 4 - Low Range (-30\%) | \$246,575,000 | \$364,864,000 | \$448,256,000 |
| Class 4 - High Range (+50\%) | \$528,375,000 | \$781,850,000 | \$960,548,000 |

Notes:
Costs shown in this table are presented in 2018 dollars. For present values, refer to the Present Value Analysis in Section 4. C\&D = construction and demolition; HHW = hazardous household waste; MRF = material recovery facility; N/A = not applicable

The assumptions used in this estimate for capital and operating costs are appropriate to compare the Plan Concepts. As this project progresses, design considerations and assumptions may be refined to reflect the actual timing and needs of the WPWMA. Through review of this report, a number of future considerations were highlighted, as summarized in Table 4A-2.

Table 4A-2. Potential Future Design Considerations

| Cost Component | Potential Future Design Considerations |
| :--- | :--- |
| C\&D Area | - Evaluate sizing based on finetuned future quantities and additional planned flow of C\&D <br> debris through facility |
| Composting Area | - Finetune loading area space and operations <br> - Evaluate facility operations, needs, and construction requirements based on future <br> regulations |
| Composting Area Stormwater <br> Pond <br> New Stormwater Ponds | - Finetune design if zero discharge is required <br> - Evaluate size needed to meet discharge requirements (currently, it is difficult to meet <br> total suspended solids, iron, and aluminum requirements) |
| Unlined Waste Area Excavation | - Evaluate phasing of excavation for stormwater control, including intermediate backfill of <br> excavation prior to landfill module construction, if necessary |
| Main Entrance <br> Western Entrance | - Evaluate the need for multiple entrances while considering resources, traffic flow, and <br> safety |
| Primary Maintenance Facility <br> Satellite Maintenance Facility | - Evaluate specific maintenance needs to determine adequate space, facilities, and <br> equipment of new or upgraded maintenance facilities |
| Main Site HHW Facility | - Evaluate sizing needed to accommodate HHW quantities and traffic flow <br> - Determine facility configuration (enclosed, exterior canopy) to meet functional needs |
| Site Utilities | - Confirm need and sizing for different utilities such as sewer and water <br> - Determine specific routing of utility lines to meet needs of facility |

## 4A.1.1 Public Area

The New Public Area capital cost component includes entrance kiosk/vehicle queuing lanes, public waste tipping area (100-foot by 325 -foot building, 220 -foot by 600 -foot pad), buy-back center ( 220 -feet by 230 feet), HHW drop-off area for the public (300 feet by 100 feet), and a reuse store. To minimize impacts of internal transfers during site operations, the public tipping area includes space to store daily quantities of C\&D debris, municipal solid waste (MSW), wood waste, appliances, tires, and recyclables. The new public unloading area will be a flat pad instead of the current Z-wall configuration for operational safety and flexibility. It is assumed that standard 40-cubic-yard open-top roll-off bins would be utilized and that 24 bins would be needed (including redundancy).

Space allocation is based on projected traffic during design year 2042 and the largest footprint for operational variances. Design year traffic is based on 2017 average weekend and peak day traffic data provided by WPWMA on August 23, 2017, increased by 35 percent (based on the projected population increase from 2017 to 2042 in "growth projections v12.xlsx" provided by Golder Associates). A summary of design considerations for the Public Area is as follows:

- 2017 Peak Daily Traffic: 824 vehicles per day at public tipping area; 402 vehicles per day at buy-back area and HHW drop-off
- 2042 Peak Daily Traffic (35 percent increase): 1,115 vehicles per day at public tipping area; 544 vehicles per day at buy-back area and HHW drop-off
- Peak hourly traffic is assumed as 125 percent of the average hourly traffic for a 10-hour day
- 2042 Peak Hourly Traffic: 141 vehicles per hour

The existing Public Area is assumed to be razed and all New Public Area components, including pads, to be constructed.

Detailed design considerations and supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.1.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

The Public Area design considerations and initial capital costs are assumed to be the same across all Plan Concepts.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.2 C\&D Area

The CH2M Team completed an initial assessment of the capacity and size limitations of the current C\&D processing area as part of a site visit in June 2017 and subsequent conversations with WPWMA staff and the operator. There were two main findings from this site visit and the conversations:

- The existing C\&D processing line is not sufficient both in throughput and condition to process current levels of $C \& D$ nor estimated future $C \& D$ quantities. A new processing line will be needed.
- The existing space for C\&D processing, materials staging and storage, and materials drop-off are insufficient and potentially unsafe as a result.

The CH2M Team approximated the amount of space needed based on industry standards, equipment space needs, anticipated building space needs, and drop-off and material movement needs. This estimate was conceptual, and assumes that the C\&D operational footprint will need to be increased to 2 to 3 times its existing size to accommodate the projected C\&D waste stream in design year 2042 ( 85,755 tons of C\&D debris per "growth projections v12.xlsx" provided by Golder Associates). ${ }^{1}$ The New C\&D Area will include a new processing line capable of handling 40 to 50 tons per hour as well as an open-air roof structure to shield the processing line from weather elements.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.2.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

In terms of initial capital costs, Plan Concept 1 pad costs are lower than Plan Concepts 0 or 2 because of the assumption that a portion of the existing paved site area will be used as it exists and not as much new pad area will need to be constructed. Plan Concepts 0 and 2 assume construction of a completely new pad.

In terms of construction phasing, implementing Plan Concepts 0 and 2 requires coordination with completion of the unlined area waste relocation as well as composting operations relocation. The unlined waste area must be excavated and backfilled prior to construction of the New C\&D Area. New composting and existing C\&D operations must be phased in such a way to share pad space while the existing C\&D operation is transitioned to the New C\&D Area.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.3 Composting Area

The new Composting Area capital cost component includes a temporary positive aerated static pile (ASP) system for the near term and, ultimately, an active composting system using four negative ASPs (205 feet by 880 feet), four biofilters ( 135 feet by 880 feet), a negative ASP curing system using four ASPs (185 feet by 880 feet), green waste area ( 210 feet by 225 feet), wood waste area ( 115 feet by 225 feet),

[^0]outdoor food waste receiving area (90 feet by 200 feet), screening and product storage area (400 feet by 350 feet), and a dedicated stormwater pond. ${ }^{2}$ The composting area design follows Compost Option 4 of the varying composting configurations developed during the course of the project. Composting configurations that were evaluated are as follows, with Compost Option 4 selected for inclusion in the cost estimate:

- Compost Option 1: Windrows with no primary screening
- Compost Option 2: Windrows with primary screening and separate curing windrows
- Compost Option 3: ASPs with primary screening and windrow curing
- Compost Option 4: ASPs with primary screening and ASP curing

Space has been included for an enclosed receiving building if one should be planned in the future to mitigate odors; however, an enclosed building is not included in the capital costs as a part of the master plan.

A summary of design considerations for the composting area is as follows:

- 2042 Total Organics to Compost: 99,788 tons per year
- 2042 Green Waste to Green Waste Receiving Area: 7,200 tons per year, 171 tons per week peak, and 138 tons per week average
- 2042 Green Waste/Food Waste: 99,789 tons per year, 2,166 tons per week peak, and 1,919 tons per week average
- Receiving piles in the green waste and wood waste receiving areas are approximately 75 feet wide (allows for five customers to unload simultaneously) and a maximum of 12 feet high.
- Active composting is accomplished by a negative ASP system with an active composting duration of 4 weeks; biofilters will treat the process air collected by the negative ASP system.
- Additional compost curing is accomplished by a positive ASP system with a minimum curing duration of 4 weeks.
- Dedicated stormwater pond is sized for a 100-year, 24-hour intensity precipitation event. ${ }^{3}$

Detailed design considerations and supporting design documentation are provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.3.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

In terms of initial capital costs, the only differences in cost components are in anticipated utility connection costs within the three Plan Concepts.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.4 Landfill Construction

Golder Associates prepared estimates for landfill construction for different portions of the new landfill. These estimates were summed together to determine the applicable landfill construction costs for each Plan Concept in "WRSL Cost Estimate - REV1-120717_101018_rdh_jem.xlsx." Landfill construction includes design and permitting, clearing and grubbing, excavation, earthfill, liner, leachate collection systems, groundwater and landfill gas monitoring systems, and stormwater controls.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

[^1]
## 4A.1.4.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Each Plan Concept has differing capital costs depending on the landfill to be constructed. Additionally, Plan Concept 1 has a higher level of complexity to manage leachate because the leachate piping/sump configuration and groundwater depth may impact airspace and module capacity. Presumably, whatever capacity is lost can be added by going to a higher fill height. The complexity associated with leachate management in Plan Concept 1 is expected to be covered by the applied contingency factor. Plan Concept 2 has differing capital costs due to the need for duplicate infrastructure for some components since the landfill will be divided on two non-contiguous properties.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.5 Landfill Stockpile Relocation

According to WPWMA staff, approximately 1.4 million cubic yards of soil is currently stockpiled on top of unconstructed landfill modules. To construct the landfill modules, the stockpile must be moved elsewhere onsite.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.5.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because there is limited onsite space in Plan Concept 0, it is assumed that the soil stockpile may need to be relocated a total of three times to allow for remaining landfill module construction. Similarly, because of availability of space, it is assumed that the stockpile will be relocated once in Plan Concept 1 and twice in Plan Concept 2.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.6 Landfill Closure

Golder Associates prepared estimates for landfill closure for different portions of the new landfill. These estimates were summed together to determine the applicable landfill closure costs for each Plan Concept in "WRSL Cost Estimate - REV1-120717_101018_rdh_jem.xlsx." Closure costs include mobilization/demobilization, cap, revegetation, drainage, and stormwater controls.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.6.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Each Plan Concept has differing capital costs depending on the total acreage of landfill to be closed.
Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.7 Unlined Area Waste Relocation

Landfill modules $1,2,10,11$, and 12 are older landfill areas, constructed prior to requirements for a composite liner system. They were lined with compacted soil and met the regulations at the time they were developed. These modules have been referred to as the "unlined area" or "unlined modules." The waste in the unlined area located on the existing main site must be excavated and relocated to allow for construction of Plan Concept elements.

To determine the unit cost for waste excavation and relocation, the CH2M Team considered several resources:

- The $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Jacobs}$ construction database with unit cost bids for similar projects
- Recent unit costs for similar projects completed
- The Golder Associates document, "Pre-Subtitle D Area Waste Relocation Workplan"4

It is possible that the waste excavation may include mining and placement of suitably screened material; however, for the purposes of the cost estimates, it has been assumed that the entire amount of excavated material will be relocated to lined modules and clean earthfill will be utilized as backfill following excavation, when applicable to the Plan Concept.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.7.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

The same unlined area (Modules 1, 2, 10, 11, and 12) will be excavated in all Plan Concepts. The difference in costs between Plan Concept 1 and the other two Plan Concepts is attributable to the assumption that Plan Concept 1 does not include backfill of the excavation ${ }^{5}$ (the unlined area will be repurposed for new landfill construction). Plan Concepts 0 and 2 include backfill of the unlined area to allow for construction of new site elements, such as the C\&D Area.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.8 Administrative Building

The administrative building cost assumes a standalone building with associated parking lot. This building is necessary to accommodate more staff offices as well as staff and event parking. Additionally, the building may also include space for a public education center.

## 4A.1.8.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because there is limited onsite space in Plan Concept 0, a 5,000 -square-foot building with no education center and a 10,000-square-foot parking lot is anticipated. In Plan Concepts 1 and 2, the administrative building may encompass 12,400 square feet (including 2,400 square feet for an education center) and 25,000 square feet of parking lot.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.9 Main Entrance

Main entrance improvements are anticipated to accommodate additional traffic and/or to improve traffic flow. Improvements include new roadways, new scale building, and three scales (two inbound scales and one outbound scale) ${ }^{6}$.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.9.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because the majority of public traffic will be redirected to the western property, no initial retrofit is needed in Plan Concept 1. For Plan Concepts 0 and 2, an initial retrofit of the main entrance scales and signage is anticipated in order to appropriately direct traffic as master plan construction commences.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

[^2]
## 4A.1.10 Western Entrance

A new entrance to the western property is planned near the intersection of Athens Avenue and Fiddyment Road. ${ }^{7}$ This new entrance would accommodate traffic entering the western property and would alleviate traffic congestion on the main site.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.10.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because Plan Concept 0 only utilizes space on the existing main site, no western entrance is required. For Plan Concept 1, the western entrance will accommodate the majority of public traffic as the New Public Area and New Composting Area are located on the western property. The western entrance in Plan Concept 1 includes a scale building and two new scales (one inbound scale and one outbound scale). For Plan Concept 2, the western entrance will accommodate commercial traffic (no public) accessing the landfill on the western property; therefore, the entrance will only include one unstaffed automated scale and no scale building.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.11 Overpass

The purpose of the overpass is to allow for WPWMA and operator staff to easily traverse Fiddyment Road to access the western property. A number of crossing alternatives were evaluated, including under crossings with and without retaining walls and overcrossings with and without retaining walls. Ultimately, an overpass with retaining walls was selected to be costed. The overpass includes two 12 -foot lanes of traffic with 4-foot shoulders, and assumes a 25 mile-per-hour design speed and 16 feet 6 inches of vertical clearance. Although a conveyor system could potentially be implemented (especially for Plan Concept 2) to move materials across Fiddyment Road instead of an overpass, this level of detail is not relevant at this time, and for consistency, an overpass was included for Plan Concepts 1 and 2.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.11.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because Plan Concept 0 only utilizes space on the existing main site, no overpass is required. The overpasses for Plan Concepts 1 and 2 are expected to be the same.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.12 Recovered Materials Storage

The recovered materials storage is envisioned to be a 175 -foot by 400 -foot enclosed storage building.

## 4A.1.12.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

In terms of initial capital costs, the only differences lay in anticipated utility connection costs within the three Plan Concepts.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

[^3]
## 4A.1.13 Primary Maintenance Facility

An upgrade to the existing maintenance facility by the MRF is needed. The primary maintenance facility upgrade includes a 75 -foot by 160 -foot, four-bay building with additional pad space. ${ }^{8}$

## 4A.1.13.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

The primary maintenance facility is the same in all Plan Concepts.
Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.14 Satellite Maintenance Facility

A satellite maintenance facility is anticipated to be needed on the western property to provide support for operations across Fiddyment Road. The facility includes a 65 -foot by 125 -foot, three-bay building with space for administrative offices and parking.

## 4A.1.14.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because Plan Concept 0 only utilizes space on the existing main site, no satellite maintenance facility is needed. For Plan Concept 1, a satellite maintenance facility with administrative office space and parking is envisioned to support New Public Area and Compost Area operations. For Plan Concept 2, a satellite maintenance facility without administrative office space and parking is planned to support landfill operations on the western property.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.15 New Stormwater Ponds

Additional stormwater ponds are needed to adequately contain precipitation. Stormwater ponds were estimated for the New Public Area, New C\&D Area, and landfill. The stormwater pond for the New Composting Area is included with the Compost Area cost estimate. The New Public Area and New C\&D Area ponds were sized based on a 100-year, 24-hour intensity precipitation event, and the landfill stormwater ponds were sized for a 1,000-year, 24 -hour intensity precipitation event, consistent with Class II landfill requirements. ${ }^{9}$

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.15.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because Plan Concepts include different sizing for various elements, stormwater pond costs differ between each Plan Concept as reflected in the cost estimates.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.16 Main Site HHW Facility

This element only includes the facility where HHW is repacked/bulked and temporarily stored prior to being removed from site. The New Public Area includes a separate HHW drop-off facility for public customers. The HHW hauler will service both this HHW facility and the HHW drop-off included in the New Public Area.

[^4]The building is approximately 65 feet by 75 feet, and it will be completely enclosed and upgraded with explosion-proof lighting and electrical as necessary. ${ }^{10}$

## 4A.1.16.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Plan Concept 1 is the only alternative where the main site HHW facility is needed because the New Public Area is located on the western property. Plan Concepts 0 and 2 do not include a cost for this main site HHW facility.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.17 Compost Pond Removal

A compost pond in the northern part of the main site, east of the MRF, will be removed. This compost pond is approximately 53,200 square feet in area, resulting in excavation of approximately 4,000 cubic yards and 10,000 cubic yards of earthfill.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.17.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Compost pond removal costs are the same in all Plan Concepts.
Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.18 Special Permits and Allowances

Special permits and allowances include solid waste facility permitting for the new compost facility, environmental / land use / local permitting, and allowances for geotechnical investigations. Other general permitting is included in costs for each capital element.

Solid waste permitting for the new compost facility is assumed to be 10 percent of the total capital cost for the new compost facility. Solid waste permitting for the landfill is assumed to already be included within the Landfill cost element.

Environmental / land use / local permitting is dependent upon the location of disturbed wetlands and vernal pools and the extent of high-value wetland/vernal pools. For development on the eastern property, the permitting cost is assumed to be 2 percent of the total landfill construction cost (because of the highvalue wetland and vernal pools). For development on the western property, the permitting cost is assumed to be 1 percent of the total landfill construction cost (simplified by assuming that only the landfill will be displacing wetlands).

Allowances for geotechnical investigations assumes that two geotechnical investigations each may be performed on the main site, western property, and eastern property.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.18.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Because Plan Concept 0 only utilizes space on the existing main site, the only special permit and allowance costs included are for solid waste facility permitting for the new compost facility and two geotechnical investigations. Plan Concepts 1 and 2 include costs for solid waste facility permitting, environmental / land use / local permitting, and six geotechnical investigations.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

[^5]
## 4A.1.19 Wetlands Mitigation

Wetlands mitigation costs were calculated using data gathered during the Aquatic Resources Delineation effort (Appendix 2C). After delineation of the wetland areas on WPWMA property, the areas laying within the boundaries of critical and necessary supporting elements were summed into three categories: vernal pools; irrigated wetlands, except agricultural ponds; and irrigated wetlands, agricultural ponds only.

Based on direction from a Jacobs biologist, the resulting mitigation area to purchase schedule is as follows:

- Vernal pools, replaced at a value of 3 acres per every acre "taken" (3:1)
- Irrigated wetlands, except agricultural ponds, replaced at a value of 2 acres per every acre "taken" (2:1)
- Irrigated wetlands, agricultural ponds only, replaced at a value of 1 acre per every acre "taken" (1:1)

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.19.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Differences in costs are attributable to the configuration of elements within each Plan Concept.
Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.20 Site Beautification

Based on review of the Sunset Area Plan ${ }^{11}$ and the Placer Ranch Specific Plan Development Standards, ${ }^{12}$ site beautification standards were developed for the purposes of this cost estimate. Site beautification includes landscaping/vegetation and irrigation at the New Administrative Building, Main Entrance, and site perimeter as well as fencing along the perimeter of the site. Google Earth was used to estimate the quantities of vegetation, irrigation, and fencing.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.20.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Each Plan Concept includes varying quantities of site beautification. Each Plan Concept includes 1,000 and 500 square feet of landscaping/vegetation for the new administrative building and main entrance, respectively. All Plan Concepts include varying lengths of irrigation, perimeter vegetation, and fencing depending on the configuration of the facility.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.21 Site-wide Demolition and Disposal

Preliminary demolition and disposal of existing structures was estimated for each of the Plan Concepts. This includes demolition of the existing Public Area pad and structures as well as a portion of the existing C\&D Area pad.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

[^6]
## 4A.1.21.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

There are no differences in initial capital costs between the three Plan Concepts.
Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.22 Site Utilities

Major site utility work includes installation of a sewer line and fire water line running from the intersection of Sunset Boulevard and Fiddyment Road, north along Fiddyment Road, until the intersection of Fiddyment Road and Athens Avenue. This distance is approximately 5,300 linear feet. ${ }^{13}$ Sewer and fire water is deemed necessary for activities located on the western property.

Supporting design documentation is provided in Appendix 4A-1.

## 4A.1.22.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

Sewer line installation is the same across all Plan Concepts. Plan Concept 1 is the only alternative that includes installation of a fire water line, because of activity from the New Public Area and New Composting Area located on the western property.

Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A.1.23 MRF Upgrade for Long-Haul

An upgrade to the existing MRF is considered necessary to support long-haul offsite disposal activities after the landfill reaches capacity. The MRF upgrade includes installation of two 100-foot-long scales with direct readout that would be integral to the waste loading area to enable transfer capability.

## 4A.1.23.1 Differences between Plan Concepts

There are no differences in initial capital costs between the three Plan Concepts.
Detailed initial capital cost estimates are provided in Appendix 4A-2.

## 4A. 2 Replacement Capital Costs

After initial build, the many components of the constructed area have differing useful lives. These components should be replaced at regular intervals to optimize the functionality of the site. Table 4A-3 presents the suggested replacement intervals based on discussions with WPWMA staff, the site operator, and the Jacobs estimator. The timing and capital cost allocation for replacements (in 2018 dollars) are shown in Appendix 4A-3. Capital costs incurred for replacements are included in the Present Value Analysis in Section 4 of the main report.

[^7]Table 4A-3. Replacement Frequency for Capital Cost Items

| Replacement Item | Useful Life | Unit | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onsite Roads and Parking lots | 25 | years | National Asphalt pavement association: http://www.asphaltpavement.org/index.php?option=com conte nt\&view=article\&id=14\&\|temid=33 (confirmed by Jacobs estimator). |
| Scales | 20 | years | 30 years per Jacobs estimator (Greg Mah-Hing), 10/18/2018. However, based on WPWMA site experience, changed to 20 years. |
| Buildings | 50 | years | Per Jacobs estimator (Greg Mah-Hing), 10/18/2018. |
| Stormwater ponds (liners) | 30 | years | Per Jacobs estimator (Greg Mah-Hing), 10/18/2018. |
| Concrete pads (including ASP, public drop-off area) | 20 | years | Per Jacobs estimator, 25 years; however, based on current site pad wear/conditions and nature of compost and MSW operations, reduced from high end of estimator. WPWMA also concurs with 20 years, based on site experience. |
| Mechanical (ASP blowers, landfill gas, and leachate system components) | 10 | years | Range of 7 to 20 years from operator and Jacobs estimator, used 10. |
| Processing equipment (green waste, wood waste, C\&D line) | 10 | years | Range of 7 to 20 years from operator and Jacobs estimator, used 10. |
| Landscaping | 15 | years | Per Jacobs estimator (Greg Mah-Hing), 10/18/2018. |
| Fencing | 40 | years | Up to 50 years per Jacobs estimator (Greg Mah-Hing), 10/18/2018; however, based on WPWMA site experience, reduced to 40 . |
| Onsite utilities lines and connections | 30 | years | General estimate, highly variable by site. |
| Offsite sewer extension | N/A |  | Assumed deeded over to sewer district. |
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Note - Where conversion from cubic yards to tons was necessary (e.g. for determining "Total Accepted Tons"), the following conversion factors were used: MSW yards/ $8=$ MSW Tons; C\&D Yards/ $6=$ C\&DD Tons; Green Waste Yards/ $8=$ Green Waste Tons; Wood Waste Yards/ $6=$ Wood Waste Tons; Inert Yards/ $/ 2=$ Inert Tons.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/D
emorraphics/Estimates/E-4/1991-
Historical City, County, and State
Population Estimates, 1991-2000,
with 1990 and 2000 Census Counts


| Indicator \% change | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2,000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (Dept of finance) | 3.38\% | 3.33\% | 3.75\% | 4.24\% | 3.98\% | 4.86\% | 4.75\% | 4.59\% | 3.71\% | 3.16\% | 2.69\% | 2.40\% | 2.15\% | 2.71\% | 1.74\% | 1.13\% | 1.33\% | 1.19\% | 1.09\% | 1.11\% |
| Total Employment-thousands |  |  |  |  | 7.11\% | 1.13\% | 7.66\% | 3.72\% | 1.96\% | 2.11\% | 0.23\% | -2.36\% | -7.66\% | -0.13\% | 0.86\% | 4.09\% | 5.44\% | 2.77\% | 3.91\% | 3.91\% |
| New Homes Permitted |  |  |  |  | -6.35\% | 20.32\% | -26.91\% | -6.85\% | 8.17\% | -39.46\% | -24.71\% | -29.01\% | -23.23\% | -11.10\% | -29.08\% | 59.23\% | 11.82\% | 34.89\% | 2.89\% | 17.09\% |
| taxable Retail Sales - thousands |  | 10.52\% | 19.70\% | 23.98\% | 12.08\% | 9.70\% | 9.09\% | 10.68\% | 10.25\% | 3.10\% | -2.76\% | -9.79\% | -11.11\% | 4.51\% | 9.05\% | 8.64\% | 7.32\% | 4.80\% | 7.97 |  |

WPWMA Waste Stream Projectio

|  | Projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material Type Accepted | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 | 2050 | 2055 | 2060 |
| Municipal Solid Waste MSW tons | 227,745 | 231,959 | 245,525 | 248,884 | 251,773 | 254,581 | 257,440 | 260,685 | 263,564 | 278,869 | 295,961 | 312,582 | 315,520 | 318,434 | 321,329 | 324,204 | 327,068 | 341,299 | 355,217 | 369,589 |
| msw yards | 80,408 | 81,896 | 83,34 | 84,484 | 85,465 | 86,418 | 87,889 | 88,490 | 89,468 | 94,663 | 100,465 | 106,107 | 107,104 | 108,093 | 109,076 | 110,052 | 111,024 | 115,855 | 120,579 | 125,458 |
| Construction/Demolition | 63,743 | 66,108 | 66,985 | 66,916 | 67,105 | 67,21 | 67,627 | 68,666 | 69,593 | 74,056 | 79,389 | 83,899 | 84,822 | 85,755 | 86,698 | 87,652 | 88,616 | 93,598 | 98.861 | 104,419 |
| C8D yards | 39,460 | 40,190 | 40,901 | 41,460 | 41,942 | 42,409 | 42,886 | 43,426 | 43,906 | 46,455 | 49,303 | 52,071 | 52,561 | 53,046 | 53,529 | 54,008 | 54,485 | 56,855 | 59,174 | 61,568 |
| Sludge \& Mixed Inerts - tons | 26,332 | 26,820 | 27,294 | 27,667 | 27,988 | 28,301 | 28,618 | 28,979 | 29,299 | 31,000 | 32,900 | 34,748 | 35,075 | 35,399 | 35,721 | 36,040 | 36,358 | 37,940 | 39,488 | 41,085 |
| Green Waste GW tons | 45,294 | 45,988 | 46,677 | 47,281 | 47,843 | 48,399 | 48,968 | 49,577 | 50,155 | 53,197 | 56,644 | 60,113 | 60,750 | 61,379 | 62,002 | 62,617 | 63,227 | 66,233 | 69,110 | 72,078 |
| GW yards | 32,392 | 32,888 | 33,380 | 33,813 | 34,214 | 34,612 | 35,019 | 35,454 | 35,868 | 38,043 | 40,508 | 42,990 | 43,445 | 43,895 | 44,340 | 44,780 | 45,216 | 47,366 | 49,423 | 51,546 |
| Wood Waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood tons | 1,556 | 1,602 | 1,607 | 1,580 | 1,566 | 1,551 | 1,545 | 1,556 | 1,562 | 1,605 | 1,651 | 1,682 | 1,690 | 1,699 | 1,707 | 1,715 | 1,724 | 1,766 | 1,810 | 1,855 |
| Wood yards | 5,110 | 5,263 | 5,276 | 5,188 | 5,143 | 5,095 | 5,075 | 5,112 | 5,129 | 5,271 | 5,422 | 5,524 | 5,551 | 5,579 | 5,606 | 5,633 | 5,661 | 5,801 | 5,945 | 6,092 |
| Food Waste - Tons | 9,465 | 9,465 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS Inert Materials SS Inert tons | 17,770 | 18,116 | 18,077 | 17,830 | 17,751 | 17,668 | 17,668 | 17,802 | 17,916 | 18,623 | 19,426 | 20,171 | 20,350 | 20,525 | 20,697 | 20,866 | 21,033 | 21,843 | 22,594 | 23,361 |
| SS Inert tons | 17,553 | 18,116 12,878 | 18,920 | 17,8762 | 17,751 12,698 | 17,668 | 17,668 | 17,802 12,714 | 17,9780 | 18,623 13,219 | 19,4368 | 20,171 | 20,31, 14,178 | 20,525 14,268 | 20,697 | 20,866 14,447 | ${ }_{1}^{21,033}$ | 11,943 | 15,416 | 15,863 |
| Appliance - each | 8,566 | 8,761 | 8,868 | 8,976 | 9,085 | 9,195 | 9,308 | 9,422 | 9,538 | 10,141 | 10,834 | 11,553 | 11,689 | 11,823 | 11,956 | 12,086 | 12,215 | 12,845 | 13,437 | 14,047 |
| Water Treat Sludge - tons total accepted tons | $\begin{array}{r} 1,160 \\ 420,870 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 429,581 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 436,071 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 440,261 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,160 \\ 444,343 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 448,242 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 452,629 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 458,365 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 463,478 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,160 \\ 490,330 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 520,722 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 549,635 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 554,959 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 560,253 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 565,526 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 570,772 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 577,009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 602,174 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 628,050 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,160 \\ 654,880 \end{gathered}$ |
| Disposed Tonnage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residue <br> Direct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total disposed tons | 238,419 | 243,248 | 244,396 | 247,248 | 249,824 | 252,294 | 254,953 | 258,260 | 261,196 | 276,575 | 293,923 | 310,455 | 313,440 | 316,410 | 319,369 | 322,315 | 325,257 | 339,972 | 354,599 | 369,683 |

Note - Where conversion from cubic $y$ :

| Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (Dept of Finance) | 382,047 | 386,684 | 391,424 | 396,203 | 401,017 | 405,876 | 410,850 | 415,893 | 421,002 | 447,625 | 478,196 | 509,936 | 515,952 | 521,871 | 527,709 | 533,457 | 539,147 | 566,954 | 593,084 | 620,037 |
| Total Taxable Sales - billions \$ |  |  |  | 11.75 |  |  |  |  | 14.50 | 17.25 | 21.10 | 25.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Employment (Caltrans) | 160,470 | 164,460 | 168,260 | 170,810 | 172,700 | 174,460 | 176,240 | 178,520 | 180,270 | 189,810 | 200,120 | 209,330 | 210,795 | 212,271 | 213,757 | 215,253 | 216,760 | 224,453 | 232,420 | 240,669 |
| New Homes Permitted | 2,700 | 2,812 | 2,685 | 2,439 | 2,307 | 2,180 | 2,100 | 2,086 | 2,063 | 2,002 | 1,943 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 1,861 |
| Households | 142,929 | 145,360 | 147,892 | 150,308 | 152,505 | 154,581 | 156,544 | 158,435 | 160,313 | 169,379 | 178,324 | 186,891 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Retail Sales -thousands | 7,875,859 | 8,325,019 | 8,759,683 | 9,258,356 | 9,660,761 | 10,061,117 | 10,468,766 | 10,908,933 | 11,407,353 | 13,563,013 | 16,562,252 | 19,664,870 | 20,254,816 | 20,862,460 | 21,488,334 | 22,132,984 | 22,796,973 | 26,427,940 | 30,637,226 | 35,516,942 |
| Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/De | 382,047 | 386,684 | 391,424 | 396,203 | 401,017 | 405,876 | 410,850 | 415,893 | 421,002 | 447,625 | 478,196 | 509,936 | 515,952 | 521,871 | 527,709 | 533,457 | 539,147 | 566,954 | 593,084 | 620,0 |
| Dept of Finance P-2 Stat and County Population Projections - Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnicity and 5-Year Age Groups 20102060 (by Year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/office s/eab/docs/Full\%20Report\%202015.p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| df | 387,941 | 394,820 | 401,792 | 408,633 | 415,207 | 421,537 | 427,693 | 433,735 | 439,689 | 468,841 | 497,319 | 524,140 | 524,697 | 525,254 | 525,812 | 526,371 | 526,924 | 547,072 | 564,094 | 579,729 |

http:///www.dof.ca.gov//Forecasting/D
emographics/Estimates/E-4/1991-
emographics/Estimates/E-4/1991-
$2000 /$
Historical City, County, and State
Population Estimates, 1991-2000,
Population Estimates, 1991-2000,
with 1990 and 2000 Census Counts
http://www.dof.ca. gov/Forecasting/D

| Indicator \% change | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 | 2050 | 2055 | 2060 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (Dept of Finance) | 1.16\% | 1.21\% | 1.23\% | 1.22\% | 1.22\% | 1.21\% | 1.23\% | 1.23\% | 1.23\% | 1.26\% | 1.35\% | 1.22\% | 1.18\% | 1.15\% | 1.12\% | 1.09\% | 1.07\% | 0.97\% | 0.89\% | 0.90\% |
| Total Employment - thousands | 2.74\% | 2.99\% | 2.31\% | 1.52\% | 1.11\% | 1.02\% | 1.02\% | 1.29\% | 0.98\% | 1.17\% | 1.01\% | 0.89\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% | 0.70\% |
| New Homes Permitted | 2.58\% | 4.17\% | -4.54\% | -9.13\% | -5.43\% | -5.49\% | -3.68\% | -0.65\% | -1.13\% | 0.87\% | -0.92\% | -0.40\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| Taxable Retail Sales -thousands | 6.19\% | 5.70\% | 5.22\% | 5.69\% | 4.35\% | 4.14\% | 4.05\% | 4.20\% | 4.57\% | 3.92\% | 3.96\% | 3.39\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |
|  |  |  | -1.11 | -3.81\% |  | 24\% | -1.33\% | 32\% | 07\% | 1.02\% | .05\% | 0.25\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% |  |



[^8]WPWMA Waste Stream Projectio
Adjusted for SB 1383
Material Type Accepted
Municipal Solid Waste
Municipal Solid Waste
MSW tons
MSW yards
Construction/Demolition
Construction
c\&D tons
C\&D yards
Sludge \& Mixed Inerts - tons
Green Waste
GW tons
GW tons
GW yards
Wood Waste
Wood tons
Wood tyards
Food Waste - Tons
SS Inert Materials
SS Inert tons
SS Inert tons
SS Inert yards
Appliance - each
Source Separated Food Waste - tons
Water Treat Sludge - tons
Water Treat Sludge -
total accepted tons
Disposed Tons

| Projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 | 2050 | 2055 | 2060 |
| 227,745 | 231,959 | 242,387 | 245,703 | 244,680 | 243,662 | 242,647 | 241,637 | 240,589 | 254,560 | 270,161 | 285,333 | 288,015 | 290,675 | 293,318 | 295,942 | 298,557 | 311,547 | 324,252 | 337,371 |
| 80,408 | 81,896 | 83,34 | 84,484 | 85,465 | 86,418 | 87,389 | 88,490 | 89,468 | 94,663 | 100,465 | 106,107 | 107,104 | 108,093 | 109,076 | 110,052 | 111,024 | 115,855 | 120,579 | 125,458 |
| 63,743 | 66,108 | 66,985 | 66,916 | 67,105 | 67,221 | 67,627 | 68,666 | 69,593 | 74,056 | 79,389 | 83,899 | 84,822 | 85,755 | 86,698 | 87,652 | 88,616 | 93,598 | 98,861 | 104,419 |
| 39,460 | 40,190 | 40,901 | 41,460 | 41,942 | 42,409 | 42,886 | 43,426 | 43,906 | 46,455 | 49,303 | 52,071 | 52,561 | 53,046 | 53,529 | 54,008 | 54,485 | 56,855 | 59,174 | 61,568 |
| 26,332 | 26,820 | 27,294 | 27,667 | 20,750 | 15,563 | 11,672 | 8,754 | 2,930 | 3,100 | 3,290 | 3,475 | 3,507 | 3,540 | 3,572 | 3,604 | 3,636 | 3,794 | 3,949 | 4,109 |
| 45,294 | 45,988 | 46,677 | 47,281 | 51,670 | 56,453 | 61,685 | 67,449 | 70,031 | 74,278 | 79,090 | 83,935 | 84,825 | 85,703 | 86,572 | 87,431 | 88,283 | 92,480 | 96,496 | 100,641 |
| 32,392 | 32,888 | 33,380 | 33,813 | 34,214 | 34,612 | 35,019 | 35,454 | 35,868 | 38,043 | 40,508 | 42,990 | 43,445 | 43,895 | 44,340 | 44,780 | 45,216 | 47,366 | 49,423 | 51,546 |
| 1,556 | 1,602 | 1,607 | 1,580 | 1,566 | 1,551 | 1,545 | 1,556 | 1,562 | 1,605 | 1,651 | 1,682 | 1,690 | 1,699 | 1,707 | 1,715 | 1,724 | 1,766 | 1,810 | 1,855 |
| 5,110 | 5,263 | 5,276 | 5,188 | 5,143 | 5,095 | 5,075 | 5,112 | 5,129 | 5,271 | 5,422 | 5,524 | 5,551 | 5,579 | 5,606 | 5,633 | 5,661 | 5,801 | 5,945 | 6,092 |
| 9,465 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17,770 | 18,116 | 18,077 | 17,830 | 17,751 | 17,668 | 17,668 | 17,802 | 17,916 | 18,623 | 19,426 | 20,171 | 20,350 | 20,525 | 20,697 | 20,866 | 21,033 | 21,843 | 22,594 | 23,361 |
| 12,553 | 12,878 | 12,920 | 12,762 | 12,698 | 12,627 | 12,614 | 12,714 | 12,780 | 13,219 | 13,698 | 14,087 | 14,178 | 14,268 | 14,358 | 14,447 | 14,536 | 14,979 | 15,416 | 15,863 |
| 8,656 | 8,761 | 8,868 | 8,976 | 9,085 | 9,195 | 9,308 | 9,422 | 9,538 | 10,141 | 10,834 | 11,553 | 11,689 | 11,823 | 11,956 | 12,086 | 12,215 | 12,845 | 13,437 | 14,047 |
|  | 3,100 | 3,138 | 3,176 | 3,215 | 3,254 | 3,294 | 3,334 | 3,375 | 3,589 | 3,834 | 4,088 | 4,136 | 4,184 | 4,231 | 4,277 | 4,322 | 4,545 | 4,755 | 4,971 |
| 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 | 1,160 |
| 420,870 | 429,216 | 436,071 | 440,257 | 437,054 | 435,892 | 436,901 | 440,298 | 437,384 | 462,790 | 491,592 | 519,023 | 524,098 | 529,143 | 534,167 | 539,165 | 544,153 | 569,068 | 593,688 | 619,219 |

Note - Where conversion from cubic yi

Appendix 4A-1

## Design Documentation Public Area

| File from Eric Oddo em All Days | ailed 8/23/17 |  |  | Weekdays |  |  |  | Weekends |  |  |  | Peak Day | urday May 27 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Tonnage | Unit type | Vehicle Count | Material | Tonnage | Unit type | Vehicle Count | Material | Tonnage | Unit type | Vehicle Count | Material | Tonnage | Unit type |  | Vehicle Count |
| Appliance | 32.4 | c | 26.05 | Appliance | 28.1 | c | 22.03 | Appliance | 43.2 | c | 36.22 | Appliance | 66.0 |  | $c$ | 53.00 |
| BB/DO Recyclables | 2.2 | w | 2.73 | BB/DO Recyclables | 2.2 | w | 2.34 | BB/DO Recyclables | 2.4 | w | 3.57 | BB/DO Recyclabes | 1.2 |  | w | 4.00 |
| вUҮваск | 103.2 | c | 103.23 | вUYваск | 86.8 | c | 86.77 | вUYваск | 144.8 |  | 144.81 | BuvBack | 236.0 |  | c | 236.00 |
| E-WASTE | 46.0 | c | 35.49 | E-WASTE | 41.8 | c | 29.78 | E-WASTE | 56.5 |  | 49.88 | E-WASTE | 58.0 |  | c | 53.00 |
| HHw | 62.5 | c | ${ }^{62.43}$ | Hнw | 57.5 | c | 57.46 | HHw | 75.1 |  | 75.07 | HHw | 113.0 |  | c | 113.00 |
| Tires | 14.7 | c | 4.83 | Tires | 12.9 | c | 4.12 | Tires | 18.8 |  | 6.54 | Tires | 89.0 |  | c | 16.00 |
| X-APPL. | 3.2 | w | 1.18 | X-APPL. | 2.9 | w | 1.06 | X-APPL. | 4.1 |  | 1.48 | X-APPL. | 0.0 |  | w | 0.00 |
| x-C8D-MRF | 26.2 | w | 7.06 | x-C8D-MRF | 24.8 | w | 6.25 | x-C8D-MRF | 29.9 | w | 9.10 | x-CQD-MRF | 49.6 |  | w | 13.00 |
| X-MsW-LAND | 1.6 | w | 1.44 | X-MSW-LAND | 1.6 | w | 1.38 | X-Msw-LAND | 2.1 | w | 2.00 | X-MSW-LAND | 65.4 |  | w | 28.00 |
| X-MSW-MRF | 30.0 | w | 11.68 | X-MSW-MRF | 23.7 | w | 8.57 | x-MSW-MRF | 46.1 | w | 19.62 | X-MSW-MRF | 0.0 |  | w | 0.00 |
| Y-CQD-ZWAL | 109.9 | v | 79.67 | Y-C8D-ZWAL | 102.9 | $v$ | 73.60 | Y-CQD-ZWAL | 127.6 |  | 95.12 | Y-CCD-zWAL | 210.3 |  | v | 156.00 |
| r -GRN-ZWAL | 87.4 | $v$ | 59.13 | Y-GRN-ZWAL | 71.3 | $v$ | 46.57 | r-GRN-ZWAL | 128.2 |  | 90.93 | Y-GRN-ZWAL | 218.5 |  | v | 156.00 |
| r -Msw-zWAL | 247.3 | v | 179.65 | r-Msw-zWAL | 192.4 | v | ${ }^{139.91}$ | r-Msw-zWAL | 386.0 |  | 279.98 | Y-Msw-zWAL | 587.8 |  | v | 408.00 |
| r-wD-zWAL | 12.5 | $v$ | 8.14 | r-wo-zWAL | 10.3 | v | 6.55 | r-wo-zWAL | 18.0 |  | 12.13 | r-woz-wal | 43.3 |  | v | 35.00 |
| total out |  | zwal | 32.58 | total out |  | zwal | 266.64 | total out |  |  | 478.16 | total out |  | wal |  |  |
|  |  | bybac | 232 |  |  | buybac | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | back |  |  |
|  |  | vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 790.68 |  |  | ehicle in |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note: Based on BV Report | erial, assum | -Walls |  |  |
| Conversion Factors: | Green-328 b/cy | $3 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{c}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note: peak day based on pe | fic loading, no | nage |  |  |
| code descriptions |  |  |  | Flow Diagram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | number of indivic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ - | cubic yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance <br> BUYBACK | refridgerated an residential recycla | gerated applia |  | Appliance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | electronic wastes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HHW | residentially and | lly generated |  | E-WASTE |  | c Tipping Area |  | ${ }_{\text {x-APPL. }}^{\text {B/a }}$ ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BB/DO Recyclables | Recyclable mater | d and buybac |  | ${ }^{\text {HHw }}$ |  |  |  | $x$-C8D-MRF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tires | sent to MRF for b |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Treses }}^{\text {Trectoze }}$ |  |  |  | X-MSW-LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Carand fruck tres | d and non-refi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x-C8D-MRF | C8D sent to MRF |  |  | r-msw-zwal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-MSW-LAND | MSW sent to and |  |  | $r$-wD-zwal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-MSWW-MRF Y-CQD-ZWAL | MSW sent to MRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| r-GRN-zWAL | Greenwaste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y-MSW-ZWAL | Msw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| r-wo-zwal | Woodwaste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

WPWMA Public Tipping/Buy-back/HHW Area 2017 Data - weights
Average Day (of all days)

| Average Day (of all days) | Vehicle Count | Per Hour |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Public Area (incoming) | 326.6 | 32.7 |
| Toal Buy-back (incoming) | 232.0 | 23.2 |
| Average Week Day | Vehicle Count | Per Hour |
| Total Public Area (incoming) | 266.6 | 26.7 |
| Toal Buy-back (incoming) | 200.2 | 20.0 |
| Average Weekend Day | Vehicle Count | Per |


| Peak Day (based on traffic not tons) - 5/27/17 (a Saturday, open 8-5) | Vehicle Count | Per Hour | 2017 Vehicle C <br> Peak Hour (1.5 peaking) |  | $2042 \mathrm{Pea}$ growth |  | Unload Slots | 2042 <br> Public <br> Area <br> needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Public Area (incoming) | 755.0 |  |  | 125.8 |  | 169.9 | 56. | 28.3125 |
| Toal Buy-back (incoming) | 471.0 |  |  | 78.5 |  | 106.0 |  |  |


| Current Slots Needed Based on Peak Hours | Compared to <br> Existing <br> Configuration | \% Current Facility is undersized for current peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Size |
|  |  |  |  | increase |
|  |  |  |  | for 2042 |
| 41.9 |  | 30 | 40\% | 89\% |
| 26.2 |  | 13 | 101\% | 172\% |

MRF \& Landfill
The facility is open for disposal every day of the year with limited hours on Thanksgiving, Christmas Day and New Year's Day
Monday - Friday 7 a.m. -5 p.m.
Weekends 8 a.m. -5 p.m.
(916) 543-3960

Buy-Back Center
CRV Pricing \& Acceptance
Monday - Friday 7 a.m. -5 p.m
Weekends 8 a.m. -5 p.m.
(916) $645-5230 \times 111$

Household Hazardous Waste Drop-off
Everyday
(916) $645-5230 \times 107$

## Public Area Traffic Analysis

## AVERAGE WEEKEND



| Unload <br> Time | Unloading <br> Positions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{min})$ |  |
| 10 | 2 |

PEAK DAY

| Material | Qty | Assumed | 2017 Peak Day |  |  |  | 2042 Projections |  |  |  | Unload Time (min) | Unloading Positions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Measure | Destination | Peak vpd | Peak vph | Daily Qty | Avg Load | Peak vpd | Peak vph | Daily <br> Qty | Bins/Day |  |  |
| Appliance | C | PDO | 53 | 7 | 66 | 1.2 | 72 | 9 | 90 |  | 10 | 2 |
| BUYBACK | C | BB | 236 | 30 | 236 | 1.0 | 319 | 40 | 319 |  |  |  |
| E-WASTE | C | BB | 53 | 7 | 58 | 1.1 | 72 | 9 | 79 |  |  |  |
| HHW | C | BB | 113 | 15 | 113 | 1.0 | 153 | 20 | 153 |  |  |  |
| Tires | C | PDO | 16 | 2 | 89 | 5.6 | 22 | 3 | 122 |  | 10 | 1 |
| Y-C\&D-ZWAL | V | PDO | 156 | 20 | 210.3 | 1.3 | 211 | 27 | 284 | 8.1 | 15 | 7 |
| Y-GRN-ZWAL | V | PDO | 156 | 20 | 218.5 | 1.4 | 211 | 27 | 296 | 8.4 | 15 | 7 |
| Y-MSW-ZWAL | V | PDO | 408 | 51 | 587.8 | 1.4 | 551 | 69 | 794 | 22.7 | 15 | 18 |
| Y-WD-ZWAL | V | PDO | 35 | 5 | 43.3 | 1.2 | 48 | 6 | 59 | 1.7 | 15 | 2 |
|  |  | Zwall | 824 | 105 |  |  | 1,115 | 141 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Buy Back | 402 | 52 |  |  | 544 | 69 |  |  |  |  |


| Materials | - The following materials will be collected at the Public Drop Off (PDO) Area MSW-ZWAL C\&D-ZWAL GRN-ZWAL WD-ZWAL TIRE APPL <br> - Design for PDO area based on accommodating projected peak daily/hourly traffic. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic | - 2017 Peak daily traffic $=824$ vpd <br> - 2042 peak traffic based on projected increase (35\%) = 1115 vpd <br> - Peak hourly traffic assumed to be $125 \%$ of average hourly. 10 hour day assumed <br> - 2042 peak hourly traffic = 141 vph |
| Material Handling | - MSW, C\&D, GRN, and WD handled in standard 40 yd3 open-top roll-off bins. 1 extra bins provided per commodity + two system spares. 24 bins total <br> - Tires handled in 40 yd3 cage bins loaded at grade (2 bins) <br> - Appliances handled via loading dock/trailer (2 trailers) |
| Dust Controls | - N/A |
| Odor Controls | - N/A |
| Working Surfaces | - Customer unloading areas would be concrete slab extending 10 ft back from edge of Z-wall. Bin area at base of Z-wall would be concrete slab. <br> - All other areas would be heavy-duty asphalt. <br> - Concrete and heavy-duty asphalt surfaces will be designed to withstand the weight of wheel loaders and trucks. These surfaces will provide the equivalent level of groundwater protection as a compact clay liner. |
| Surface Water Controls | - Surface water from areas outside of the facility would be diverted around/away from the operating areas using ditches, swales and berms. <br> - Outdoor working surfaces around the facility would be sloped at a minimum of $0.5 \%$ to promote drainage. Runoff from these areas would be captured through a combination of ditches and swales, and transferred to the facility's main detention pond. |
| Fire Protection | - Hydrants would be situated in close proximity to the PDO area in accordance with Fire Code requirements. |
| Utilities Requirements | - Electricity (1-phase service) |
| Mobile Equipment | - Roll-off bin truck would be required on a part-time basis. |



## Appendix 4A-1 <br> Design Documentation <br> C\&D Area

New C\&D pad:


Plan Concept 2 Quantities
New C\&D Pad:


From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:48 PM
To: McRae, Jennifer/SJC; Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Subject:
FW : another question

Good news, looks like demo of the $60 \%$ or whatever you used is good, but should be for all options I believe, as it is not level with the good pad. Don't use the repair part, assume we demo on all 3

From: Keith Schmidt [mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:41 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: another question
If you want them on the same plane (elevation), then you would have to demo because they are not close (ie. $3-6$ difference). If the location/elevation was fine, then I would probably spend $\$ 150-200 \mathrm{k}$ to repair the surface as needed.


The area I've marked for demo has seen a lot of repairs and wear, and it would need probably $\$ 150-200 \mathrm{k}$ in repair to make the surface condition good again.

Keith J. Schmidt, P.E. | Senior Civil Engineer | Western Placer Waste Management Authority | (Mail) 11476 "C" Ave. Auburn, CA 95603 | (Physical) 3033 Fiddyment Rd. Roseville, CA 95747 | (916) 543-3986 (Direct) | (916) 543-3990 (Fax)

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [mailto:Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:27 PM
To: Keith Schmidt
Subject: RE: another question
This may make more sense, trying to decide if this area needs demolition before construction or if we can assume this pad stays. See the red part.


From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:25 PM
To: Keith Schmidt [KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov](mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov)
Subject: another question
Just to verify. Is the existing C\&D area on the NEWer, S, good pad, meaning we can keep it or is it old pad that needs to be demolished regardless?


NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

## C\&D Processing Equipment Quote

| From: | Chapman, Katie/SLC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, September 17, 2018 10:55 AM |
| To: | Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX; McRae, Jennifer/SJC |
| Subject: | RE: C\&D Processing Equipment |
| Categories: | Red Category |

Hi Lyndsey and Jenny,
I wanted to give you guys an update on this:

1) I called Bulk Handling and spoke to the regional salesperson for CA, Angela. She estimates $\$ 3 \mathrm{M}-\$ 4 \mathrm{M}$ for a line that will handle $40-50$ tons per hour (will handle Will Dickinson's estimate working 8 hour days and 5 days per week). This estimate is turn-key and includes shipping, installation and start-up.
2) I called Green Machine and the rep is getting a quote to me tomorrow morning for their 50 ton per hour system. These guys were more willing to do a real cost estimate at this level. The system will be pretty basic using some hand sorters, pull out nails, cardboard, ect. Here is his contact info just in case:
John Sherling
Systems Engineer, Sales


Sales Office:
11 E. Genessee St.
Baldwinsville, NY 13027
315-303-5448 x106

I'll send over the other quote tomorrow. Let me know if you need more details or anything else on this!
-Katie

From: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Sent: Friday, September 7, 2018 12:03 PM
To: Chapman, Katie/SLC [Katie.Chapman@jacobs.com](mailto:Katie.Chapman@jacobs.com); McRae, Jennifer/SJC [Jennifer.McRae@jacobs.com](mailto:Jennifer.McRae@jacobs.com)
Subject: C\&D Processing Equipment

Hi Katie - We need to put in a better estimate for the C\&D equipment that WPWMA will eventually need to purchase. We will need a process line capable of processing about 86,000 tons per year (based off of Will Dickinson's Growth projections v12 with growth factor.xls). Can you look at the two vendors below and see if we can get some quick info for processing lines? If it's not readily available. Please let me know.
http://www.bulkhandlingsystems.com/solutions/construction-and-demolition/
https://greenmachine.com/waste-recycling-equipment-manufacturer/solutions/construction-demolition-cd-waste-recycling-equipment/

## Appendix 4A-1 <br> Design Documentation <br> Compost Area

## Summary of Compost Feedstocks

Oct-17-2017
X-ref to Growth Projections V12

|  | Note | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ <br> (tons) | \% of <br> Total | 2042 <br> (tons) | Note |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GW Drop-off (yd3) | $a$ | 35,868 |  | 43,895 | $g$ |  |
| GW Drop-off (tons @ 328 Ib/yd3) |  | 5,882 |  | 7,199 |  |  |
| Curbside GW/FW Mixture | $b$ | 70,031 |  | 85,703 | $h$ |  |
| FW portion (d) | $c$ | 19,876 | $28.4 \%$ | 24,324 |  |  |
| $\quad$ GW portion | $d$ | 50,155 | $71.6 \%$ | 61,379 |  |  |
| FW diverted from commercial | $e$ | 3375 |  | 4,184 | $i$ |  |
| FW diverted from MSW via MRF | $f$ | 2,208 |  |  | 2,703 | $f$ |
| Total Organics to Compost |  | $\mathbf{8 1 , 4 9 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{9 9 , 7 8 8}$ |  |

a) Cell AO75/Growth tab
b) Cell AO74/Growth tab
c) $90 \%$ of Cell H52/SB1383 tab - Will assumes $90 \%$ of diverted food waste comes from curbside program
d) Cell D14, SB1383 tab
e) Cell A084/Growth tab
f) Balance of available organics (diverted from MSW via MRF)
g) Cell BF75/Growth tab
h) Cell BF74/Growth tab
i) Cell BF84/Growth tab


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | comm |  |



## 



\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\hline Capacity \& - Designed for 2042 projections <br>
\& - GW: 7,200 tpy (171 tpw peak, 138 tpw average) <br>

\& - Peak weekly volume: 1,043 yd3\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{ll}
Feedstock \& - Source-separated green waste will be unloaded directly into one of two outdoor receiving piles situated within <br>

Receiving \& | this area. Each receiving pile will be sized to hold the equivalent amount of material delivered during the peak |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | design week. Unloading of materials by customers would alternate between piles on a week-by-week basis. | <br>

\& - Material will periodically be pushed up into the receiving piles using a front-end loader. Maximum height of the <br>
\& receiving pile will be 12 feet.
\end{tabular}



| Capacity | - Capacity based on fixed volumetric capacity rather than weekly waste flow. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Feedstock Receiving | - Wood waste will be unloaded directly by customers into an outdoor receiving pile situated within this area. The receiving pile will be sized to hold 1,000 yd3 of material. <br> - Material will periodically be pushed up into the receiving piles using a front-end loader. Maximum height of the receiving pile will be 12 feet. <br> - The width of the receiving pile (i.e. 75 ft ) would allow for 5 customers to unload at one time. <br> - Sufficient space would be provided in front of the receiving piles to allow for maneuvering and backing up of truck/utility trailer combinations. <br> - The unloading area and receiving pile will be situated to allow for first-in/first-out access to the stockpiled material: customers will unload material on the front-side of the pile, while operations staff will remove material from the back-side. The pile will serve as a barrier between customers and operations staff/equipment. <br> - Working surfaces in the receiving, grinding and storage area will consist of asphalt. |
| Pre-Processing | - All pre-processing will occur outdoors and within the receiving area. Feedstocks will be visually inspected by operations staff prior to pre-processing. Visible contaminants would be manually removed and disposed of. <br> - Pre-processing would consist of grinding materials from the receiving piles using a track-mounted horizontal grinder. After grinding, feedstocks would be pushed into a 12 ft high stockpile located behind the receiving piles and away from the customer unloading area. The grinder would be situated between the receiving pile and the ground material stockpile during operation. <br> - Feedstocks would be moved/handled with a front-end loader equipped with a grapple bucket. |
| Odor Controls | - N/A |
| Dust Controls | - A potable misting system will be available for use around the grinder on an as-required basis. |
| Leachate and Surface Water Controls | - Surface water from areas outside of the outdoor receiving area would be diverted around/away from the operating areas using ditches, swales and berms. <br> - The working surface in the receiving area would be sloped at a minimum of $0.5 \%$ to promote drainage. Run-off from the area would be captured through a combination of perimeter ditches and swales, and transferred to an onsite detention pond. Filter berms would be incorporated into drainage ditches and swales as necessary to reduce sediments. <br> - The detention pond would be underlain by a geosynthetic liner and would be sized to contain run-off from a 1:25 year, 24-hour storm event. The pond will also include additional capacity or "dead storage" beyond the 1:25 year run-off water volume. |
| Fire Protection | - Stockpile heights would be limited to 14 ft . Stockpiles would be surrounded on all sides by equipment aisles with a minimum width of 20 ft . <br> - Hydrants would be situated in close proximity to the receiving area in accordance with Fire Code requirements. |
| Utility <br> Requirements | - Potable water |
| Mobile Equipment | - One front-end loader (e.g. Cat 950 or equivalent) with and oversized grapple bucket, and a track-mounted horizontal grinder would be dedicated on a part-time basis to this area. |



| Capacity | - Designed for 2042 projections <br> - Peak capacity: 8750 yd3 per week ( 6690 m3 per week) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Screening and Product Storage | - Following curing, material will be relocated to screening and storage area and placed in a temporary stockpile. The material will be moved from the curing area to the temporary stockpile using front-end loaders, tandemaxle trucks, and/or walking floor trailers. <br> - Materials in the temporary stockpile will be screened using an electrically-powered stationary trommel or star screen with a 20 to 25 yd3 feed hopper. The screening equipment will be covered by a light weight steel-fabric structure to allow for continuous operation during rainy periods. <br> - After screening, the finished product will be stockpiled in conical piles while awaiting shipping to end users. Each product pile will hold approximately 12,000 to 13,000 yd3 of material and will be constructed using a 100 ft long stacking conveyor. Stockpiles will be separated by $\sim 15$ ft aisles to allow for equipment access. <br> - Space has been provided for three storage piles, which corresponds to roughly two month's worth of finished product production. This will allow for continued operation during slow product marketing periods (e.g. winter months). It will also allow for product to be further aged (cured) before it leaves the facility, which may be necessary for certain markets/end uses. <br> - Overs from the screening process will be reused as an amendment for fresh feedstocks. |
| Working Surfaces | - The working surface in the screening and product storage area would consist of a compacted gravel base/subbase overlain by heavy-duty asphalt that is designed to withstand the weight of wheel loaders and trucks. The asphalt surface will provide the equivalent level of groundwater protection as a compact clay liner. <br> - Concrete slabs will be installed instead of asphalt in areas where loaders and trucks will frequently stop and start, or where abrasion from loader buckets is expected. |
| Leachate and Surface Water Controls | - Surface water from areas outside of the screening and product storage area would be diverted around/away from the operating areas using ditches, swales and berms. <br> - Working surfaces in the screening and product storage area would be sloped at a minimum of $0.5 \%$ to promote drainage. Run-off from these areas would be captured through a combination of perimeter ditches and swales, and transferred to an onsite detention pond. Filter berms would be incorporated into drainage ditches and swales as necessary to reduce sediments. <br> - The detention pond would be underlain by a geosynthetic liner and would be sized to contain run-off from a 1:25 year, 24-hour storm event. The pond will also include additional capacity or "dead storage" storage beyond the 1:25 year run-off water volume. |
| Fire Protection | - Hydrants would be situated in close proximity to the screening and storage area in accordance with Fire Code requirements. |
| Utilities Requirements | - Electricity (3-phase) |
| Mobile Equipment | - One front-end loader (e.g. Cat 980 or equivalent) with an oversized bucket for screening and product loading operations. |



## Summary of Compost Options

Compost Option 1: Windrow with no primary screening
Compost Option 2: Windrow with primary screening and separate curing windrows
Compost Option 3: ASP with primary screening and windrow curing
Compost Option 4: ASP with primary screening and ASP curing


| Capacity | - Designed for 2042 projections <br> - Pre-processed (shredded) GW/FW: 99,789 tpy (2,166 tpw peak, 1,919 tpw average) <br> - Peak weekly capacity: 9,715 yd3 (7,426 m3) including amendments |
| :---: | :---: |
| Product Quality Assumptions | - The compost product produced at the facility would be fully stabilized and matured, and suitable for use in residential, landscaping, and agricultural applications. |
| Active Composting (ASP) | - Active composting would be completed using a negatively-aerated static pile (ASP) composting system with an extended bed configuration. <br> - The ASP composting system would be sized to provide a 4 week active composting process. Four ASP beds would be provided, each with a capacity of 9,715 yd3. Each bed would have five aeration zones. <br> - The ASP composting system would be located outdoors, and would be contained within a perimeter wall constructed from pre-cast concrete blocks. Compost piles would be aerated using a below-grade pipe system with risers embedded in a concrete slab. <br> - Pre-processed feedstocks will be moved from the Receiving Building to the active composting area using frontend loaders or tandem-axle trucks. |
| Primary Screening | - Following active composting, material will be physically removed from the windrows and screened to remove coarse amendments. This will reduce the volume of material that requires curing, and allow for reuse of the coarse amendment. <br> - Materials will be screened to a 1-inch minus particle size using a stationary trommel or star screen system. After screening, the undersized material will be relocated to the curing pad for additional processing. |
| Curing (ASP) | - After feedstocks have been stabilized in the active composting system, additional curing will be completed to ensure materials meet regulatory and market requirements. A minimum residence time of four weeks is expected in order for the material to meet stability/maturity criteria. <br> - Curing will be completed using an outdoor positively-aerated ASP system with an extended bed configuration. Four ASP beds would be provided, each with a capacity of 6,995 yd3. <br> - The ASP curing system would contained within a perimeter wall constructed from pre-cast concrete blocks. Compost piles would be aerated using a below-grade pipe system with risers embedded in a concrete slab. <br> - Materials will be moved from the active ASP system to the screening system, and then to the curing ASP system using a front-end loader. <br> - The curing pad will be a separate area from the active composting pad, which will allow run-off from these two areas to be collected and managed separately. |
| Working Surfaces | - ASP systems would be built overtop of a concrete slab. Working areas around the ASP systems would consist of compacted gravel base/sub-base overlain by heavy-duty asphalt <br> - Concrete and heavy-duty asphalt surfaces will be designed to withstand the weight of wheel loaders and trucks. These surfaces will provide the equivalent level of groundwater protection as a compact clay liner. |
| Odor Controls | - Process air collected from the negative ASP composting system would be treated using a biofilter. <br> - The biofilters would consist of a 1.5 m thick layer of coarse wood chip blended with compost overlying a network of HDPE air distribution pipes. The biofilters would be situated on an asphalt pad which is sloped ( $\sim 1 \%$ ) for drainage and collection of leachate from the biofilter. Leachate would be directed to an aerated collection pond. <br> - Odor control in the curing operation will be achieved through the implementation and maintenance of good operating practices. |
| Leachate and Surface Water Controls | - Surface water from areas outside of processing areas would be diverted around/away from the operating areas using ditches, swales and berms. <br> - Working surfaces in the active ASP composting area would be sloped at a minimum of $0.5 \%$ to promote drainage. Run-off from this area would be captured in a dedicated detention pond. <br> - Working surfaces in the curing area would be sloped at a minimum of $0.5 \%$ to promote drainage. Run-off from this area would be captured through a combination of perimeter ditches and swales, and transferred to a second detention pond. This pond would potentially be shared with the screening and product storage area. Filter berms would be incorporated into drainage ditches and swales as necessary to reduce sediments. |

- The detention ponds would be underlain by a geosynthetic liner and would be sized to contain run-off from a 1:25 year, 24-hour storm event. The curing pad pond will also include additional capacity or "dead storage" beyond the 1:25 year run-off water volume.

Fire Protection - Hydrants would be situated in close proximity to the composting, curing and storage areas in accordance with Fire Code requirements.

| Utilities <br> Requirements | $\bullet$ Potable water <br>  <br> • Electricity (3-phase service). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mobile <br> Equipment | - One front-end loader (e.g. Cat 980 or equivalent) with and oversized bucket dedicated to ASP composting and <br> curing operations. |

## Active ASP Area



## Curing ASP Area



| Biofilter Sizing |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Media Depth | 5 ft |
| Biofilter Loading Rate | $5 \mathrm{cfm} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Number of Biofilter Beds | 1 |
| Total Air Flow Rate | 95,207 |
| Air Flow Rate Per Biofilter | $95,207 \mathrm{cfm}$ |
| Treatment Area Footprint | $19,041 \mathrm{ft}^{〔}$ |
| EBRT | 60 sec |
| Treatment Area Width | 185.0 ft |
| Treatment Area Length | 103.0 ft |
| Pipe Offset From Edge of Media | 7.5 ft |
| Total Width of Media Bed | $\mathbf{2 0 0 . 0} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Total Length of Media Bed | $\mathbf{1 1 8 . 0} \mathbf{~ f t}$ |
| \# of Header Branches | 2 |
| Header Diameter | 48 in |
| Header Air Velocity | $3788 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Number of Laterals | 38 ran |
| Lateral Diameter | 12 in |
| Air Flow per Lateral | 2505 cfm |
| Lateral Air Velocity | $3190 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Individual Lateral Length | 110.5 ft |
| Lateral Spacing | 5.00 ft |
| Total Length of All Laterals | 4199.0 ft |



| From: | Goodrich, Janet/SAC |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | MCRae, Jennifer/SJC; Curtis, Stephanie/SAC |
| Cc: | Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX; Wright, Shannon/SAC |
| Subject: | FW: List of things to confirm |
| Date: | Friday, October 19, 2018 8:18:08 AM |

So we need to include the 200k below, cite client reference, for the interim system and NOT include the building for compost receiving, leave in the NPV, as we will need it, but don't include either as capital or replacement.

From: Eric Oddo [mailto:EOddo@placer.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 8:09 AM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com); Keith Schmidt [KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov](mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov); Stephanie Ulmer [SUImer@placer.ca.gov](mailto:SUImer@placer.ca.gov)
Cc: Michelle White [MWhite@placer.ca.gov](mailto:MWhite@placer.ca.gov); Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@jacobs.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@jacobs.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: List of things to confirm

Janet - no problem re-asking, things continue to develop and change on this front.

At this point, it is likely the WPWMA will pay for the piping and blowers for the +ASP system. Nortech is putting a proposal together now that includes extending electrical and adding blowers for this purpose. The cost is $\sim \$ 200 \mathrm{k}$.

Re: the building - let's list it out separately for use in the odor discussions. I don't want it to be construed that if it is added in to the NPV, that the WPWMA plans to pay for it on its own.

Thanks
Eric

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [mailto:Janet. Goodrich@jacobs.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2018 4:41 PM
To: Eric Oddo; Keith Schmidt; Stephanie Ulmer
Cc: Michelle White; Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Subject: List of things to confirm

1. Sorry to re-ask this, but we have differing recollections of the resolution of this item. Are we including costs for + ASP Piping and blowers, or assuming the operator handles that? At this point, we are including it.
2. Another item to confirm. Should we include the compost receiving building in the NPV? At this point we are including it, but we can also list it out separately to use in the odor discussions.
[^9]NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2 Location name: Roseville, California, USA*

Latitude: $38.8379^{\circ}$, Longitude: -121.349${ }^{\circ}$
Elevation: 123.93 ft** $^{* *}$
source: ESRI Maps
** source: USGS

## POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland
PF tabular I PF graphical I Maps \& aerials
PF tabular

| PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence intervals (in inches) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | Average recurrence interval (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| 5-min | 0.109 <br> $(0.098-0.122)$ | 0.133 <br> $(0.120-0.149)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 6 8} \\ (0.151-0.189) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.199 <br> $(0.176-0.226)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 4 5} \\ (0.206-0.294) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 8 4} \\ (0.232-0.352) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 3 2 8} \\ (0.258-0.421) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 3 7 7} \\ (0.284-0.504) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 0}$ $(0.320-0.639)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.514 \\ (0.348-0.766) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10-min | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 5 6} \\ (0.141-0.174) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 9 1} \\ (0.172-0.214) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 4 0} \\ (0.216-0.271) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 8 5} \\ (0.252-0.325) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.351 <br> $(0.296-0.421)$ | 0.407 $(0.332-0.504)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 4 7 0} \\ (0.370-0.603) \end{gathered}$ | 0.540 <br> $(0.408-0.722)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 6 4 5} \\ (0.459-0.916) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.736 \\ (0.498-1.10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 15-mi | 0.189 <br> $(0.170-0.211)$ | 0.230 <br> $(0.208-0.258)$ | 0.291 <br> $(0.261-0.327)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 4}$ <br> $(0.305-0.393)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 5}$ <br> $(0.358-0.509)$ | 0.493 <br> $(0.402-0.610)$ | 0.568 <br> $(0.447-0.729)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5 3}$ <br> $(0.493-0.873)$ | 0.780 $(0.555-1.11)$ | 0.890 <br> $(0.603-1.33)$ |
| 30-min | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 6 2} \\ (0.237-0.294) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 1}$ <br> $(0.289-0.359)$ | 0.404 <br> $(0.363-0.455)$ | 0.479 <br> $(0.425-0.546)$ | 0.591 <br> $(0.497-0.708)$ | 0.685 $(0.559-0.848)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.790 \\ (0.622-1.01) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{0 . 9 0 8} \\ (0.686-1.22) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ (0.772-1.54) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.24 \\ (0.839-1.85) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 60-min | 0.356 <br> $(0.322-0.399)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3 6}$ <br> $(0.393-0.488)$ | 0.549 <br> $(0.493-0.618)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5 1}$ <br> $(0.577-0.742)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0 2}$ <br> $(0.676-0.962)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.931 \\ (0.760-1.15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \hline 1.07 \\ (0.845-1.38) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.23 \\ (0.932-1.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.47 \\ (1.05-2.09) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.68 \\ (1.14-2.51) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2-hr | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 9}$ <br> $(0.468-0.580)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 1}$ <br> $(0.560-0.696)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 8}$ <br> $(0.689-0.864)$ | 0.898 $(0.796-1.02)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ (0.920-1.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.25 \\ (1.02-1.55) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 1.44 \\ (1.13-1.84) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 1.64 \\ (1.24-2.19) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.94 \\ (1.38-2.75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.19 \\ (1.49-3.27) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3-hr | 0.649 <br> $(0.586-0.726)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 2}$ <br> $(0.696-0.865)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.947 \\ (0.850-1.07) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 1.10 \\ (0.977-1.26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.33 \\ (1.12-1.60) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.52 \\ (1.24-1.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.74 \\ (1.37-2.23) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.97 \\ (1.49-2.63) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.32 \\ (1.65-3.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.62 \\ (1.77-3.90) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6-hr | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathbf{0 . 9 4 0} \\ (0.848-1.05) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.11 \\ (1.00-1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.36 \\ (1.22-1.53) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.57 \\ (1.39-1.79) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.88 \\ (1.59-2.26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 1 4} \\ (1.75-2.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.42 \\ (1.91-3.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.73 \\ (2.06-3.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.18 \\ (2.26-4.52) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.56 \\ (2.41-5.32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 12-hr | $\begin{gathered} 1.29 \\ (1.16-1.44) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.56 \\ (1.41-1.75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.93 \\ (1.73-2.17) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 2 4} \\ (1.99-2.56) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 6 9} \\ (2.26-3.22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.04 \\ (2.48-3.77) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.42 \\ (2.69-4.39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.82 \\ (2.89-5.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.39 \\ (3.12-6.24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.85 \\ (3.29-7.24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 24-h | $\begin{gathered} 1.78 \\ (1.63-1.99) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.23 \\ (2.03-2.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.82 \\ (2.57-3.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.31 \\ (2.99-3.74) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.98 \\ (3.46-4.66) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 4.50 \\ (3.83-5.39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{5 . 0 3} \\ (4.17-6.19) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 5.58 \\ (4.49-7.08) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.35 \\ (4.88-8.42) \end{gathered}$ | 6.95 $(5.16-9.56)$ |
| 2-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.32 \\ (2.12-2.59) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.94 \\ (2.68-3.29) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.74 \\ (3.40-4.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.39 \\ (3.96-4.97) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.27 \\ (4.59-6.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.94 \\ (5.06-7.13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.63 \\ (5.49-8.16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.33 \\ (5.90-9.30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.28 \\ (6.37-11.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.02 \\ (6.69-12.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3-day | $\begin{gathered} 2.72 \\ (2.48-3.03) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.46 \\ (3.16-3.87) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.42 \\ (4.02-4.95) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.19 \\ (4.68-5.87) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.22 \\ (5.42-7.30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.01 \\ (5.97-8.41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.80 \\ (6.47-9.61) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{8 . 6 1} \\ (6.93-10.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.70 \\ (7.46-12.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (7.81-14.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4-day | $\begin{gathered} 3.01 \\ (2.75-3.36) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.85 \\ (3.51-4.31) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.93 \\ (4.48-5.52) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.79 \\ (5.22-6.54) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.93 \\ (6.03-8.13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.79 \\ (6.63-9.35) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.66 \\ (7.18-10.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.53 \\ (7.67-12.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (8.23-14.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (8.60-15.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.70 \\ (3.38-4.13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.76 \\ (4.34-5.32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.09 \\ (5.54-6.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.14 \\ (6.44-8.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.50 \\ (7.40-9.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.52 \\ (8.10-11.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (8.71-12.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ (9.26-14.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \\ (9.86-17.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13.8 \\ (10.2-19.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10-day | $\begin{gathered} 4.19 \\ (3.83-4.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.39 \\ (4.92-6.03) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.90 \\ (6.27-7.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.06 \\ (7.27-9.12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.58 \\ (8.33-11.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (9.09-12.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (9.75-14.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.8 \\ (10.3-16.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.2 \\ (10.9-18.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (11.3-21.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20-day | $\begin{gathered} 5.52 \\ (5.05-6.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.11 \\ (6.49-7.96) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.07 \\ (8.26-10.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \\ (9.54-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ (10.9-14.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (11.8-16.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (12.6-18.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16.6 \\ (13.3-21.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ (14.0-24.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ (14.5-26.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| 30-day | $\begin{gathered} 6.67 \\ (6.10-7.45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.56 \\ (7.81-9.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ (9.89-12.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ (11.4-14.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ (13.0-17.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ (14.0-19.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ (15.0-22.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ (15.8-24.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ (16.6-28.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ (17.0-31.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 45-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.22 \\ (7.51-9.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (9.53-11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (12.0-14.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (13.7-17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ (15.5-20.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ (16.8-23.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.6 \\ (17.9-26.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ (18.8-29.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ (19.7-33.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.1 \\ (20.1-37.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 60-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.84 \\ (8.99-11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.4 \\ (11.3-13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.4 \\ (14.1-17.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (16.1-20.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20.8 \\ (18.1-24.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ (19.5-27.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ (20.7-30.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.0 \\ (21.7-34.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.5 \\ (22.7-39.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.3 \\ (23.2-43.1) \end{gathered}$ |

1 Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS)
Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is $5 \%$. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values
Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

## PF graphical

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves Latitude: $38.8379^{\circ}$, Longitude: $-121.3490^{\circ}$


| Average recurrence <br> interval <br> (years) |
| :---: |
| -1 |
| -2 |
| -5 |
| -10 |
| -25 |
| -50 |
| -100 |
| -200 |
| -500 |
| -1000 |



| Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5-min <br> 10-min <br> 15-min <br> $30-\mathrm{min}$ <br> $60-\mathrm{min}$ <br> 2-hr <br> 3-hr <br> 6-hr <br> 12-hr <br> 24-hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - 2-day } \\ & \text { - 3-day } \\ & \text { - 4-day } \\ & \text { - } 7 \text {-day } \\ & \text { — } 0 \text {-day } \\ & \text { - 20-day } \\ & \text { — } 30 \text {-day } \\ & \text { - } 60 \text {-day } \end{aligned}$ |

Maps \& aerials


Large scale terrain
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## US Department of Commerce <br> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration <br> National Weather Service <br> National Water Center <br> 1325 East West Highway <br> Silver Spring, MD 20910

Questions?: HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov
Disclaimer

| Type |  | Name (Slides) | Name Detail (Poster) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | Acres | Critical Element | C\&D | Construction \& Demolition | 18.063408 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Element | Composting | Composting Operations | 48.571575 |
| Critical Element | Landfill | Landfill Operations | 15.690817 |
| Critical Element | Public | Public Tip/HHW/Buyback/Reuse | 14.976759 |


| c= | $\mathrm{i}=$ (in inches) | $\mathrm{V}=$ (required) | V= (calculated) | A (true) $=$ | A (plan) $=$ | $\mathbf{L}_{1} \quad \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{H}_{1} \mathbf{S}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 313327 | 313875 | 71320 | 70500 | 300 | 235 | 5 | 3 | 270 | 205 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 842520 | 843500 | 182650 | 181300 | 490 | 370 | 5 | 3 | 460 | 340 |
| 0.95 | 6.95 | 376063 | 377352 | 73460 | 72450 | 345 | 210 | 6 | 3 | 309 | 174 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 259786 | 262848 | 72670 | 72000 | 300 | 240 | 4 | 3 | 276 | 216 |

infiltration from NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2
100-year, 24-hour intensity for all facilities but landfill
1000-yr, 24-hour intensity for landfill (Class II)

A (plan) used for clearing and grubbing
A (true) used for liner material estimate

Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation Landfill Construction

## Approach for landfill site life calculation

Completed: October 14, 2018

1. Reviewed projections and sources:
a. Method 1 (Golder Waste Projections): Robust analysis of different factors that could impact waste generation and disposal, and curve fitting for different waste streams through the 2060 planning period. Intent of analysis for sizing different elements at the facility in the master plan. For landfill life purposes, Golder used this base and applied a 1\% growth in disposal per year after 2060.
b. Method 2 (Jacobs methodology): Designed to capture impacts of projected doubling of population in waste shed between now and 2050. Applied annual growth rate of approximately $2.12 \%$ through 2050 to effectively double the disposal stream by the year 2050. Assumed build out is reached by 2050 and applied a $1 \%$ per year growth rate after that.
c. Potential Structural Fill Needs along $\mathbf{N}$ edge of modules 7 and 11: Reviewed impact on simplified design estimate of soil wedge along northern edge of the existing landfill reconfigured, due to loss of module 8 and change in edge of relined module 11. Resulted in less than 1 year of capacity difference in current disposal tonnage basis, so was deemed negligible in overall landfill life estimates for this purpose.
2. Compared Results:
a. Method 1 Site Life Calculations from Golder waste projections, Updated August 2018:
i. Plan Concept $0=$ not calculated as concept is
ii. Plan Concept $1=109$ years, estimate assumes filling to 325 foot elevation, not the permitted 295' elevation
iii. Plan Concept $2=119$ years
b. Method 2 Site Life Calculations (range due to utilization rate variation of 0.65 to 0.72 )
i. Plan Concept $0=31$ to 34 years
ii. Plan Concept $1=90$ to 96 years, uses 295 foot elevation in existing area
iii. Plan Concept $2=72$ to 77 years
3. Recommendation
a. Each methodology had a different purpose. They give us a range.
b. There are many unknowns about how the site will be used.
c. We recommend using the lowest number from the methodologies, rounded to the nearest whole number in increments of 5 . Example based on DRAFT above.
i. Plan Concept $0=30$ years
ii. Plan Concept $1=90$ years
iii. Plan Concept $2=70$ years

TABLE
PLAN CONCEPT 0 COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL NEW LANDFILL

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Design and Permitting | 3 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 300,000 |
| 2 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 3 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 300,000 |
| 3 | Layout of Work and Surveys | 3 | ea | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 90,000 |
| 4 | Clearing and Grubbing | 36 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 54,000 |
| 5 | Excavation | 3,564,545 | cy | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | 10,693,636 |
| 6 | Overexcavation of Unsuitable Subgrade Material | 60,000 | cy | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 600,000 |
| 7 | Earthfill | 60,000 | cy | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 240,000 |
| 8 | Subgrade Preparation | 2,350,973 | sf | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 352,646 |
| 9 | Geosynthetic Clay Liner | 2,350,973 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 1,880,778 |
| 10 | 60-mil HDPE Double Sided Textured Geomembrane | 2,138,727 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 1,604,045 |
| 11 | 60-mil White Single Sided Textured HDPE Geomembrane | 2,350,973 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 1,763,230 |
| 12 | Geocomposite | 2,138,727 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 1,710,982 |
| 13 | 8oz/sy Nonwoven Geotextile | 2,138,727 | sf | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 427,745 |
| 14 | Anchor Trenches | 2,488 | If | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | 32,350 |
| 15 | Drainage Layer | 79,212 | cy | \$ | 38.00 | \$ | 3,010,061 |
| 16 | Sump Gravel | 525 | cy | \$ | 82.00 | \$ | 43,050 |
| 17 | Base Operations Layer | 79,212 | cy | \$ | 5.60 | \$ | 443,588 |
| 18 | Side Slope Operations Layer | 7,963 | cy | \$ | 6.50 | \$ | 51,760 |
| 19 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 8,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 162,000 |
| 20 | 18-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 1,800 | If | \$ | 112.50 | \$ | 202,500 |
| 21 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE Pipe (Force Main) | 5,000 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 100,000 |
| 22 | Rip Rap | 3 | Is | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 90,000 |
| 23 | Leak Detection Survey | 3 | Is | \$ | 17,000 | \$ | 51,000 |
| 24 | Revegetation | 30 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 45,000 |
| 25 | Stromwater Basin Design | 0 | ea | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | - |
| 26 | Stormwater Basin Excavation | 0 | cy | \$ | 2.50 | \$ | - |
| 27 | Stormwater Basin Inlet/Outlet Controls | 0 | Is | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | - |
| 28 | Perimeter Road | 150,000 | sf | \$ | 2.50 | \$ | 375,000 |
| 29 | Aggregate Base | 4,479 | cy | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 156,774 |
| 30 | $V$-Ditch | 7,656 |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 38,282 |
| 31 | CMP Culverts | 498 | If | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 37,327 |
| 32 | Stormwater Controls | 3 | ea | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 7,500 |
| 33 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 3 | ea | \$ | 7,800 | \$ | 23,400 |
| 34 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 3 | ea | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 45,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 24,931,655 |

TABLE 9.2
ALTERNATIVE 3 COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL MONITORING SYSTEMS

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Monitoring System Design Services | 1 | Is | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 |
| 2 | Groundwater Wells | 3 | ea | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 30,000 |
| 3 | LFG Design Services and Permitting | 1 | Is | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 400,000 |
| 4 | LFG Extraction Wells | 54 | ea | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 135,000 |
| 5 | LFG 6-in LFG Collector | 5,400 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 108,000 |
| 6 | LFG 18-in LFG Header Line | 3,925 | If | \$ | 110 | \$ | 431,786 |
| 7 | LFG Well Heads | 54 | ea | \$ | 250 | \$ | 13,500 |
| 8 | Flare System | 1 | Is | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| 9 | Condensate Sumps | 3 | ea | \$ | 500 | \$ | 1,500 |
| 10 | 2-in SDR 9 HDPE Condensate Piping | 5,400 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 108,000 |
| 11 | 2-in SDR 9 HDPE Pneumatic Piping | 5,400 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 108,000 |
| 12 | LFG Perimeter Monitoring Probes | 5 | ea | \$ | 6,000.00 | \$ | 29,862 |
| 13 | Decomission \& Replace Suction Lysimeters | 0 | Is | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 3,465,648 |

TABLE 1.1
ALTERNATIVE 1a COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

FILL OVER UNLINED TO 325' ELEV

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Design and Permitting | 10 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 1,000,000 |
| 2 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 10 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 1,000,000 |
| 3 | Layout of Work and Surveys | 10 | ea | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 250,000 |
| 4 | Clearing and Grubbing | 257 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 385,500 |
| 5 | Excavation | 8,328,071 | cy | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | 24,984,213 |
| 6 | Overexcavation of Unsuitable Subgrade Material | 200,000 | cy | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| 7 | Earthfill | 200,000 | cy | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 800,000 |
| 8 | Subgrade Preparation | 11,159,400 | sf | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 1,673,910 |
| 9 | Geosynthetic Clay Liner | 11,159,400 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 8,927,520 |
| 10 | 60-mil HDPE Double Sided Textured Geomembrane | 9,477,161 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 7,107,871 |
| 11 | 60-mil White Single Sided Textured HDPE Geomembrane | 11,159,400 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 8,369,550 |
| 12 | Geocomposite | 9,477,161 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 7,581,729 |
| 13 | 8oz/sy Nonwoven Geotextile | 9,477,161 | sf | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 1,895,432 |
| 14 | Anchor Trenches | 10,000 | If | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | 130,000 |
| 15 | Drainage Layer | 351,006 | cy | \$ | 38.00 | \$ | 13,338,227 |
| 16 | Sump Gravel | 1,750 | cy | \$ | 82.00 | \$ | 143,500 |
| 17 | Base Operations Layer | 351,006 | cy | \$ | 5.60 | \$ | 1,965,633 |
| 18 | Side Slope Operations Layer | 63,000 | cy | \$ | 6.50 | \$ | 409,500 |
| 19 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 27,000 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 540,000 |
| 20 | 18-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 6,000 | If | \$ | 112.50 | \$ | 675,000 |
| 21 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE Pipe (Force Main) | 16,000 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 320,000 |
| 22 | Rip Rap | 10 | Is | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 300,000 |
| 23 | Leak Detection Survey | 10 | Is | \$ | 17,000 | \$ | 170,000 |
| 24 | Revegetation | 100 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 150,000 |
| 25 | Stromwater Basin Design | 2 | ea | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 100,000 |
| 26 | Stormwater Basin Excavation | 1,092,000 | cy | \$ | 2.50 | \$ | 2,730,000 |
| 27 | Stormwater Basin Inlet/Outlet Controls | 1 | Is | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| 28 | Perimeter Road | 480,000 | sf | \$ | 2.50 | \$ | 1,200,000 |
| 29 | Aggregate Base | 18,000 | cy | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 630,000 |
| 30 | V-Ditch | 32,867 | If | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 164,335 |
| 31 | CMP Culverts | 2,000 | If | \$ | 76.00 | \$ | 152,000 |
| 32 | Stormwater Controls | 10 | ea | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 25,000 |
| 33 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 10 | ea | \$ | 7,800 | \$ | 78,000 |
| 34 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 10 | ea | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 150,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 89,396,920 |

TABLE 1.2
ALTERNATIVE 1a COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL MONITORING SYSTEMS

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Monitoring System Design Services | 1 | Is | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 |
| 2 | Decomission \& Replace Groundwater Wells | 7 | ea | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | 140,000 |
| 3 | Additional Groundwater Wells | 2 | ea | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 20,000 |
| 4 | Decomission \& Replace LFG Perimeter Probes | 5 | ea | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| 5 | LFG Design Services and Permitting | 1 | Is | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 400,000 |
| 6 | LFG Extraction Wells | 321 | ea | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 802,500 |
| 7 | LFG 6-in LFG Collector | 32,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 642,000 |
| 8 | LFG 18-in LFG Header Line | 16,000 | If | \$ | 110 | \$ | 1,760,000 |
| 9 | LFG Well Heads | 321 | ea | \$ | 250 | \$ | 80,250 |
| 10 | Flare System | 1 | Is | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 |
| 11 | Condensate Sumps | 10 | ea | \$ | 500 | \$ | 5,000 |
| 12 | 2-in SDR 9 HDPE Condensate Piping | 32,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 642,000 |
| 13 | 2-in SDR 9 HDPE Pneumatic Piping | 32,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 642,000 |
| 14 | LFG Perimeter Monitoring Probes | 8 | ea | \$ | 6,000.00 | \$ | 48,000 |
| 15 | Decomission \& Replace Suction Lysimeters | 1 | Is | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | 20,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 7,351,750 |

TABLE

## ALTERNATIVE 3 COST ESTIMATE

 WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL NEW LANDFILL|  | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Design and Permitting | 13 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 1,300,000 |
| 2 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 13 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 1,300,000 |
| 3 | Layout of Work and Surveys | 13 | ea | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 390,000 |
| 4 | Clearing and Grubbing | 253 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 379,500 |
| 5 | Excavation | 17888737 | cy | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | 53,666,211 |
| 6 | Overexcavation of Unsuitable Subgrade Material | 260000 | cy | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 2,600,000 |
| 7 | Earthfill | 360000 | cy | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 1,440,000 |
| 8 | Subgrade Preparation | 11798401 | sf | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 1,769,760 |
| 9 | Geosynthetic Clay Liner | 11798401 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 9,438,721 |
| 10 | 60-mil HDPE Double Sided Textured Geomembrane | 10733242 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 8,049,932 |
| 11 | 60-mil White Single Sided Textured HDPE Geomembrane | 11798401 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 8,848,801 |
| 12 | Geocomposite | 10733242 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 8,586,594 |
| 13 | 8oz/sy Nonwoven Geotextile | 10733242 | sf | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 2,146,648 |
| 14 | Anchor Trenches | 12488 | If | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | 162,350 |
| 15 | Drainage Layer | 397527 | cy | \$ | 38.00 | \$ | 15,106,045 |
| 16 | Sump Gravel | 2275 | cy | \$ | 82.00 | \$ | 186,550 |
| 17 | Base Operations Layer | 397527 | cy | \$ | 5.60 | \$ | 2,226,154 |
| 18 | Side Slope Operations Layer | 39963 | cy | \$ | 6.50 | \$ | 259,760 |
| 19 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 35100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 702,000 |
| 20 | 18-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 7800 | If | \$ | 112.50 | \$ | 877,500 |
| 21 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE Pipe (Force Main) | 20774 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 415,480 |
| 22 | Rip Rap | 13 | Is | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 390,000 |
| 23 | Leak Detection Survey | 13 | Is | \$ | 17,000 | \$ | 221,000 |
| 24 | Revegetation | 130 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 195,000 |
| 25 | Stromwater Basin Design | 0 | ea | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | - |
| 26 | Stormwater Basin Excavation | 0 | cy | \$ | 2.50 | \$ | - |
| 27 | Stormwater Basin Inlet/Outlet Controls | 0 | Is | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | - |
| 28 | Perimeter Road | 623220 | sf | \$ | 2.50 | \$ | 1,558,050 |
| 29 | Aggregate Base | 22479 | cy | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 786,774 |
| 30 | V-Ditch | 38423 | If | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 192,117 |
| 31 | CMP Culverts | 2498 | If | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 187,327 |
| 32 | Stormwater Controls | 13 | ea | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 32,500 |
| 33 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 13 | ea | \$ | 7,800 | \$ | 101,400 |
| 34 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 13 | ea | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 195,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 123,711,174 |

TABLE 9.2
ALTERNATIVE 3 COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL MONITORING SYSTEMS

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit |  | nit Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Monitoring System Design Services | 2 | Is | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 200,000 |
| 2 | Groundwater Wells | 13 | ea | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 130,000 |
| 3 | LFG Design Services and Permitting | 2 | Is | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 800,000 |
| 4 | LFG Extraction Wells | 271 | ea | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 677,500 |
| 5 | LFG 6-in LFG Collector | 27,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 542,000 |
| 6 | LFG 18-in LFG Header Line | 19,699 | If | \$ | 110 | \$ | 2,166,926 |
| 7 | LFG Well Heads | 271 | ea | \$ | 250 | \$ | 67,750 |
| 8 | Flare System | 2 | Is | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 4,000,000 |
| 9 | Condensate Sumps | 13 | ea | \$ | 500 | \$ | 6,500 |
| 10 | 2-in SDR 9 HDPE Condensate Piping | 27,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 542,000 |
| 11 | 2-in SDR 9 HDPE Pneumatic Piping | 27,100 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 542,000 |
| 12 | LFG Perimeter Monitoring Probes | 25 | ea | \$ | 6,000.00 | \$ | 149,862 |
| 13 | Decomission \& Replace Suction Lysimeters | 0 | Is | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 9,824,538 |

Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation
Landfill Stockpile Relocation

| From: | Keith Schmidt <KSchmidt@ placer.ca.gov> |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, October 15, 2018 11:35 AM |
| To: | Goodrich, Janet/SAC |
| Cc: | Eric Oddo; McRae, Jennifer/SJC; Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX |
| Subject: | [EXTERNAL] RE: Your input needed asap on a few items - high priority items |

Janet,
Based on our discussion, here are those answers:

1. As of $6 / 30 / 2017$, there are $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ MCY of soil stockpiled on Modules 6-8, nearly all of it on 6-7, compared to the pre-development grades of 1978.
2. Okay, soil moving will be a project cost.
3. Correct, the "top of fill" grades I use are based on the Master Fill Plan (2003, SCS) grades included in Nortech Landfill's contract and are the top of the Intermediate Cover (ie. with NO final cover installed) except for Modules 1, 2, 10 and 11 which were at final grade and closed when SCS made their fill plans.
Keith J. Schmidt, P.E. | Senior Civil Engineer | Western Placer Waste Management Authority | (Mail) 11476 "C" Ave. Auburn, CA 95603 | (Physical) 3033 Fiddyment Rd. Roseville, CA 95747 | (916) 543-3986 (Direct) | (916) 543-3990 (Fax)

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [mailto:Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com]
Sent: Monday, October 15, 2018 9:14 AM
To: Eric Oddo; Keith Schmidt
Cc: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX; McRae, Jennifer/SJC
Subject: Your input needed asap on a few items - high priority items

1. Did Keith get a chance to calculate the amount of soil that would need to be moved for all options (soil stockpile on modules 6 and 7 ?)?
2. We plan to put the soil moving cost into capital, not O\&M. Our thinking is that is driven by capital projects.
3. For Keith's calculations on air space, we are assuming (and I think we discussed this with him), that the air space he lists of 24.5 M CY is available for landfill waste and interm cover, and is AFTER the air space needed for final cover is removed. In other words, we assume the 24.5 M CY is based on waste/interim cover final grades and not final cover final grades. (see below)

Per our June 2017 flyover, we had $24,468,271$ (say 24.5 MCY ) of airspace remaining. Here is likely how that would change with Option 3:

| 24.5 | MCY | $24,500,000.00$ | CY | Remaining Central Landfill Capacity as of $6 / 30 / 2017$ survey |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| -7.4 | MCY | $(7,400,000.00)$ | CY | Less Mods 8 and 9 |
| 4.2 | MCY | $4,200,000.00$ | CY | Module 11 Line, Re-permit, Fill Completely Like Mod 7 |
| -1.4 | MCY | $(1,400,000.00)$ | CY | Less waste already in place in Mod 11 |
| -2.2 | MCY | $(2,200,000.00)$ | CY | Per Golder's 3.6 MCY of waste in place module $1,2,10$ <br> and $11(3.6-1.4=)$ |
| 17.7 | MCY | $17,700,000.00 \mathrm{CY}$ | Remaining Central Landfill Capacity, Best Case Scenario |  |

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

Appendix 4A-1

## Design Documentation <br> Landfill Closure

TABLE
PLAN CONCEPT 0 (MAX) COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL CLOSURE CONSTRUCTION COSTS


Notes:

TABLE 2
alternative 1a Cost estimate WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL CLOSURE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 9 | Is | $\$$ | $75,000.00$ |

Notes:

1. Downdrains assumed every 1000 feet.

TABLE
PLAN CONCEPT 2 (MAX) COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL CLOSURE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit |  | nit Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 7 | Is | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 525,000 |
| 2 | Vegetative Layer | 743456 | cy | \$ | 4.70 | \$ | 3,494,244 |
| 3 | Geocomposite | 20029930 | sf | \$ | 0.70 | \$ | 14,020,951 |
| 4 | 60-mil HDPE DST Geomembrane | 20029930 | sf | \$ | 0.66 | \$ | 13,219,754 |
| 5 | Geosynthetic Clay Liner | 20029930 | sf | \$ | 0.78 | \$ | 15,623,345 |
| 6 | 2-foot Foundation Layer | 1485230 | cy | \$ | 4.70 | \$ | 6,980,583 |
| 7 | Anchor Trenches | 5046 | If | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | 65,599 |
| 8 | Bench V-Ditches | 51751 | If | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 517,509 |
| 9 | Top Deck Berms | 18502 | If | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 185,023 |
| 10 | CMP Downdrains | 10933 | If | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 546,659 |
| 11 | Drain Inlets | 76 | ea | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 7,569 |
| 12 | Revegetation | 365 | ac | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | 547,500 |
| 13 | Stormwater Controls | 2 | ea | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 5,000 |
| 14 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 2 | ea | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 15,600 |
| 15 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 2 | ea | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 30,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 55,784,337 |  |

Notes:

Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation Unlined Area Waste Relocation

TABLE 9.3
ALTERNATIVE 3 COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL UNLINED UNIT

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit |  | nit Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Design and Permitting | 4 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 400,000 |
| 2 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 5 | ea | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 75,000 |
| 3 | Layout of Work and Surveys | 5 | ea | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 150,000 |
| 4 | Remove Waste in Unlined Unit | 3,646,000 | cy | \$ | 11.50 | \$ | 41,929,000 |
| 5 | Subgrade Preparation | 0 | sf | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | - |
| 6 | Geosynthetic Clay Liner | 0 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | - |
| 7 | 60-mil HDPE Double Sided Textured Geomembrane | 0 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | - |
| 8 | 60-mil White Single Sided Textured HDPE Geomembrane | 0 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | - |
| 9 | Geocomposite | 0 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | - |
| 10 | 8oz/sy Nonwoven Geotextile | 0 | sf | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | - |
| 11 | Anchor Trenches | 0 | If | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | - |
| 12 | Drainage Layer | 0 | cy | \$ | 38.00 | \$ | - |
| 13 | Base Operations Layer | 0 | cy | \$ | 5.60 | \$ | - |
| 14 | Side Slope Operations Layer | 0 | cy | \$ | 6.50 | \$ | - |
| 15 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 0 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - |
| 16 | Rip Rap | 0 | Is | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | - |
| 17 | Leak Detection Survey | 0 | Is | \$ | 17,000 | \$ | - |
| 18 | Revegetation | 0 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | - |
| 19 | CMP Culverts | 200 | If | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 15,000 |
| 20 | Stormwater Controls | 4 | ea | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 10,000 |
| 21 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 4 | ea | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 31,200 |
| 22 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 4 | ea | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 60,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 42,670,200 |

TABLE 1.3
ALTERNATIVE 1a COST ESTIMATE

## WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

 UNLINED UNIT|  | Item | Quantity | Unit |  | nit Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Design and Permitting | 4 | ea | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 400,000 |
| 2 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 5 | ea | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 75,000 |
| 3 | Layout of Work and Surveys | 5 | ea | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 150,000 |
| 4 | Remove Waste in Unlined Unit | 3,646,000 | cy | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 54,690,000 |
| 5 | Subgrade Preparation | 2,793,936 | sf | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 419,090 |
| 6 | Geosynthetic Clay Liner | 2,793,936 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 2,235,149 |
| 7 | 60-mil HDPE Double Sided Textured Geomembrane | 2,593,618 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 1,945,214 |
| 8 | 60-mil White Single Sided Textured HDPE Geomembrane | 2,793,936 | sf | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 2,095,452 |
| 9 | Geocomposite | 2,593,618 | sf | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 2,074,894 |
| 10 | 8oz/sy Nonwoven Geotextile | 2,593,618 | sf | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 518,724 |
| 11 | Anchor Trenches | 2,200 | If | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | 28,600 |
| 12 | Drainage Layer | 96,060 | cy | \$ | 38.00 | \$ | 3,650,277 |
| 13 | Base Operations Layer | 96,060 | cy | \$ | 5.60 | \$ | 537,936 |
| 14 | Side Slope Operations Layer | 8,309 | cy | \$ | 6.50 | \$ | 54,012 |
| 15 | 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HDPE LCRS Pipe | 10,800 | If | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 216,000 |
| 16 | Rip Rap | 4 | Is | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 120,000 |
| 17 | Leak Detection Survey | 4 | Is | \$ | 17,000 | \$ | 68,000 |
| 18 | Revegetation | 20 | ac | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 30,000 |
| 19 | CMP Culverts | 200 | If | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 15,000 |
| 20 | Stormwater Controls | 4 | ea | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 10,000 |
| 21 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 4 | ea | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 31,200 |
| 22 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 4 | ea | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 60,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 69,424,547 |

TABLE 1.4
ALTERNATIVE 1a COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL LCRS EXTENSION

|  | Item | Quantity | Unit |  | nit Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Design | 1 | Is | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 |
| 2 | Mobilization/Demobilization | 3 | Is | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 45,000 |
| 3 | Layout of Work and Surveys | 3 | Is | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 90,000 |
| 4 | Waste Excavation | 443,000 | cy | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 6,645,000 |
| 5 | Extend LCRS System | 3 | ea | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 90,000 |
| 6 | Remove and Dispose of Side Slope Liner | 136,000 | sf | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 27,200 |
| 7 | Stormwater Controls | 3 | ea | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 12,000 |
| 8 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation | 3 | ea | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 23,400 |
| 9 | Stromwater Pollution Prevention Plan Implementation | 3 | ea | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 45,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,077,600 |

TABLE 9.3
ALTERNATIVE 3 COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL UNLINED UNIT

Backup for the basis of the cost per cy used in the cost estimate for excavation and relocation of the unlined cells

| $\$$ | 15.00 | Golder Initial rough estimate, no backup, all in cost |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\$$ | 8.37 | Golder bottoms up estimate, may not include some items (i.e. haul roads), may underestimate complexities, may be optimistic for productivity, includes re-landfilling |
| $\$$ | 7.86 | Presidio project, actual costs, did not include permitting, engineering, CM, includes refilling directly adjacent but simple. |
| $\$$ | 7.36 | CPEN estimate, does not include permitting, CM, engineering, does not include re-landfilling |
| $\$$ | 15.00 | Used for Key West estimate, does not include redisposal, based on site specific factors, cost for equipment and location is higher |
| $\$ \mathbf{\$ - \$ 2 2}$ |  | Range used by Jacobs for LandREC tool, variable with site conditions, all in cost (except for any long hauling) |
| $\$$ | 10.00 | Compromise Reasonable Estimate by J. Goodrich and S. Wright using this input dated 10/16/18 |
| $\$$ | 11.50 | Plus $15 \%$ for permitting, engineering, SDCs. |
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Western Placer Waste Management Authority (WPWMA) owns the WPWMA Solid Waste Facility (Figure 1). WPWMA is a joint powers organization with members from Placer County, and the cities of Lincoln, Roseville, and Rocklin.

Solid waste management activities at the facility include a public drop-off area, materials recovery facility, construction and demolition debris processing facility, composting facility, and landfill. The facility is located on approximately 320 acres of land owned by WPWMA. WPWMA also owns approximately 465 acres to the west of the facility site and approximately 178 acres to the east of the facility site. The eastern property is immediately adjacent to the facility site.

In recognition of the projected growth of the WPWMA's service area, recent laws requiring increased waste diversion, and constraints related to the size of WPWMA's existing facilities, the WPWMA is considering expanding its facilities. To support its decision making, the WPWMA has initiated a master planning process to evaluate facility requirements and how to best accommodate those requirements on the WPWMA's existing property.

Conceptual alternatives that have been developed include expanding the existing landfill, modifying the site entrance and public unloading drop-off area, expanding the composting area, and expanding the construction and demolition processing area. An existing Pre-Title D waste disposal area constrains aspects of the conceptual alternatives. As a result, WPWMA is considering relocating the waste from the Pre-Subtitle D area to allow development of a lined landfill expansion area and/or to allow development of other solid waste facilities on earthfill that would be placed after the waste was relocated.

Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) has prepared this workplan to inform decision makers about the potential issues related to relocating waste and to provide an order of magnitude cost for relocating the waste.

### 1.1 Background

The WPWMA's existing landfill, Western Regional Sanitary Landfill (WRSL), is part of the WPWMA Solid Waste Facility. The permitted area of the landfill is 291 acres with 231 acres permitted for disposal activities. A current site plan is shown in Figure 1.

The site was originally permitted for waste disposal activities in 1979. In 1992, the landfill was divided into 16 modules for waste fill placement. Modules $1,2,10$ and 11 predate Subtitle D and generally do not have composite liner systems. (A portion of Module 11 has a composite liner. However, throughout this workplan, Modules 1, 2, 10 , and 11 will be described as unlined.)

- Modules 1 and 2 were constructed as waste disposal units lined with compacted on-site soils and have been closed with a final cover system consisting of various soil components. Modules 1 and 2 were closed in 1998.
- Modules 10 and 11 were constructed with a compacted clay liner. The southwestern end of Module 11 incorporated a geomembrane liner above the compacted clay liner. Modules 10 and 11 were closed with a final cover constructed in the summer of 1999. The western half of Module 10 and all of Module 11 includes a leachate collection system.

WPWMA is considering relocating the waste in the Pre-Subtitle D modules in order to potentially develop lined waste disposal modules or other solid waste facilities consistent with the adopted master plan. Relocating the
existing waste will require removing the existing final cover, excavating the existing waste, placing it in existing composite lined modules and removing any contaminated soil and groundwater from beneath the Pre-Subtitle D area. This workplan has been developed to describe these activities.

The WPWMA is currently evaluating three possible alternatives for the Pre-Subtitle D area as part of the master planning process. Each of these alternatives as it relates to the Pre-Subtitle D area is described below.

- Alternative 1A: This alternative involves developing the Pre-Subtitle D area as new lined waste disposal modules conforming to current landfill liner requirements. Alternative 1A is shown in Figure 2.
- Alternative 2A: This alternative involves developing the approximately southern half of the Pre-Subtitle D area as new lined disposal modules conforming to current landfill liner requirements. Earthfill would be placed in the approximately northern half of the Pre-Subtitle $D$ area to the approximate existing ground level. The area would be developed as a new public drop-off area and corporation yard. Figure 3 shows Alternative 2A.
- Alternative 3: This alternative involves developing the approximately southern quarter of the Pre-Subtitle D area as a new lined disposal module conforming to current landfill liner requirements. Earthfill would be placed in the approximately northern three-quarters of the Pre-Subtitle D area to the approximate existing ground level. The area would be developed for composting, C\&D processing, new public drop-off area, and biogas fueling facility. Area would also be available for development of pilot-scale projects. Alternative 3 is shown in Figure 4.


### 1.2 Waste Relocation Requirements

This workplan has been developed following the requirements cited in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations Sections 21090 (f) ( 27 CCR $\S 21090$ (f)) and 23 CCR $\S 21810$. Golder also used the CalRecycle guidelines provided in Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) Advisory \#16 (dated September 26, 1994). Although the proposed waste relocation is not clean closure as envisioned by the regulations and LEA Advisory \#16, they provide a basis for the evaluation of the proposed waste relocation.

As required by these regulations and guidance, this workplan provides the following information:

- Site Characterization - Section 2.0
- Excavation and Material Management Plans - Section 3.0
- Confirmation of Waste Relocation - Section 4.0
- Cost Opinion - Section 5.0


### 2.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION

To demonstrate the suitability of the Pre-Subtitle D area for waste relocation, this workplan includes a site characterization. The characterization provides information for evaluating the nature and extent of waste and the extent of any known residual soil impacts owing to waste migration. This site characterization consists of:

- A site description, including the site location, a legal description, and site development information.
- A discussion of existing site conditions, including the regional and site geology and hydrogeology, the extent and character of waste, results from existing monitoring data, and conclusions regarding waste migration.


### 2.1 Site Description

The WRSL facility is on approximately 320 acres of land owned by the WPWMA off Highway 65 between Roseville and Lincoln, California. The permitted area of the landfill is 291 acres, with 231 acres permitted for disposal activities.

The WRSL site is not located within the estimated boundaries for the 100-year flood event based on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA, 1998). The distance to the nearest 100-year floodplain is approximately 0.5 mile to the southwest of the landfill property.

### 2.2 Existing Site Conditions

The following sections summarize important considerations and findings regarding the site geology and hydrogeology, the extent and character of waste, the existing monitoring program, and waste migration information. The geology and hydrogeology of the site has been previously characterized by Lawrence \& Associates (1995) and were described in the SEIR (EDAW, 2000). The following paragraphs summarize the subsurface conditions and are based primarily on information presented in those reports, supplemented by published reports on local and regional geology.

### 2.2.1 Geology

The landfill property is located in the southeastern portion of the Sacramento Valley, west of the Sierra Nevada foothills. Basement rocks in the area consist of plutonic and metamorphic rocks of the Sierra Nevada batholith and associated metamorphic complexes. These basement units are exposed in the foothills approximately 5 miles east of the site. Overlying the batholith in the valley is an eastward-thinning sequence of marine sedimentary rocks of Upper Cretaceous age, unconformably overlain by Tertiary and Quaternary sedimentary deposits. Formations located in the vicinity of the landfill (EDAW, 2000; Lawrence \& Associates, 1995; Helley and Harwood, 1985) include a Miocene through Holocene sequence of alluvial deposits derived from Tertiary volcanic rocks and the Sierra Nevada batholith. The Tertiary and Quaternary sedimentary units are exposed near the site and underlie the landfill.

Geologic units at the immediate site vicinity include the following from youngest to oldest:

- Holocene age alluvium deposits found in Pleasant Grove Creek and Orchard Creek to the south and north of the landfill, respectively. The alluvium consists of unweathered gravel, sand, and silt. Holocene-age basin deposits are derived from the same sources as the alluvium but consist of fine-grained silt and clay.
- Pleistocene age Riverbank Formation consists of unconsolidated to semi-consolidated gravel, sand, and silt, with minor clay, and is red to dark brown in color. Riverbank Formation outcrops generally are topographically higher than the Holocene alluvial deposits. The formation is exposed along the sides of the present Orchard Creek drainage approximately $1 / 2$ mile northeast of the landfill property.
- Pleistocene age Turlock Lake Formation in the southern and eastern parts of the Sacramento Valley consists of stream-laid alluvial deposits of arkosic gravel, sand, silt, and clay. The formation stands topographically higher than the Riverbank and modern alluvial plains and is highly dissected by stream erosion. The Turlock Lake Formation underlies most of the gently rolling hills near the landfill, and represents eroded alluvial fans derived from the Sierra Nevada.
- Pliocene age Laguna Formation consists of interbedded alluvial gravel, sands, and silts and generally is lithologically indistinguishable from the Turlock Lake Formation. Geologic maps from the early 1960's show much of the Turlock Lake Formation as Laguna Formation (Lawrence \& Associates, 1995). The distinction between the two formations is primarily based on soil development at the ground surface.
- Miocene-Pliocene age Mehrten Formation are volcanic deposits derived from the Sierra Nevada. The Mehrten Formation, exposed in the hills approximately 2 miles to the east of the landfill, is comprised of cemented boulder to cobble conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, and tuff breccia of andesitic material. The tuff breccia is hard, and forms ridge tops east of the site. The similar ages of the volcanic and alluvial deposits suggest that there may be some interfingering of the two deposits (Lawrence \& Associates, 1995).

These geologic units are relatively flat-lying alluvial sediments. The younger sedimentary units are often similar in lithology, and the subsurface contacts between the units are not well defined.

### 2.2.2 Hydrology

Surface water from the site drains to the north to Orchard Creek and to the south to Pleasant Grove Creek. Both streams eventually flow into the Sacramento River. Stormwater is the only surface water at the site.

Groundwater occurs at a depth of 70 to 110 feet within the alluvial sediments that underlie the site. Groundwater has been observed within the overlying unsaturated zone in what has been referred to as temporary or transient perched zones. This water is considered to result from the downward migration of infiltrating water. The water may accumulate on lower permeability layers and form temporary perched zones (EMCON 1988). The average hydraulic conductivity for site wells MW-13, MW-19, and MW-21 is $2.47 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ (Holdredge \& Kull, 1997a). The groundwater flow direction is primarily to the southwest. The height of capillary rise beneath the site has been estimated using published relationships between grain size and capillary rise (Todd, 1980, Bouwer, 1978). Golder has estimated the capillary rise above the water table to be 3.5 feet.

### 2.2.3 Soil Conditions

The subsurface stratigraphy of the site has been explored in a number of different exploration programs. The relevant exploration programs consist of:

- Geomechanics, Inc., 1977
- EMCON Associates, 1979
- Lawrence \& Associates, 1995, 1996
- Holdrege \& Kull, 1997
- Golder, 2001

Most of these boring were advanced to depths between 25 and 40 feet below ground surface (bgs). Two of the Geomechanics' borings were advanced to 109 and 125 feet, respectively. The Golder borings were advanced to depths ranging from 86.5 to 101.5 feet, bgs.

In general, the subsurface stratigraphy varies over the area of the site, resulting in a wide variety of sand, silt, and clay mixtures typical of the historic gently graded riverbed system. Orange and dark gray staining indicates wet and dry cycles typical of seasonal high and low water levels.

Typically, the first 5 to 10 feet of materials encountered are compacted sandy gravel or silty sand with gravel fill associated with road construction. Below the compacted fill, a hard sandy silt/clay was evident in most borings. This hard fine-grained soil layer was intermixed with poorly graded sand lenses ranging from a thickness of less than 1 inch to about 20 feet. Some borings encountered low-plasticity clay layers that averaged about 5 feet thick.

Soils at the site are generally moderately to highly expansive, with areas of low-expansive soils (EDAW, 2000a). Near-surface soils in the area of the landfill gas blower/flare station were characterized as slightly to moderately expansive (Lawrence \& Associates, 1995).

The soil materials at the site are generally hard to dense, providing an excellent foundation for the landfill development. Uncorrected standard penetration test blow count data from the Golder study averaged 70 blows per foot in the fine-grained soils and 52 blows per foot in the course- grained soils. Laboratory unconfined compressive strength data for the fine-grained soils averaged over 4,800 pounds per square foot (psf). Mohr-coulomb effective strength parameters from consolidated undrained triaxial shear test results average effective friction angle, $\Phi^{\prime}$, equal to 36 degrees and effective cohesion, c', equal to 65 psf. The corresponding total stress parameters are $\Phi$ equal to 25 degrees and c equal to 605 psf. Consolidation test data for the fine-grained material resulted in an average compression index, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$, value of 0.09 .

### 2.2.4 Waste Characterization

Based on the base grading drawings prepared by Lawrence and Associates (1995) and the 2016 aerial topography prepared by American Aerial Mapping, Inc., the estimated total volume of the Pre-Subtitle D landfill area is estimated to be approximately $3,646,000$ cubic yards (cy). The waste is assumed to be comprised of Class III nonhazardous solid waste. It is generally assumed the landfill waste can be classified as general wastes, and include mixed municipal wastes, construction and demolition debris, yard wastes and rubbish, and inert materials such as concrete and white goods.

### 2.2.5 Existing Monitoring and Waste Migration Findings

Modules 1, 2, and 10 are reported not to have a leachate collection and removal system (LCRS) above the compacted on-site soils. Modules 2 and 10 have leachate sumps and a side slope riser pipes to access the sumps. Module 11 has a leachate collection and removal system that includes a leachate sump.

Degradation of site groundwater quality was first observed in fourth quarter 1995 in monitoring well MW-9, located just west of Module 2, which was subsequently closed in 1998. Groundwater monitored by well MW-9 contains several volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A comparison of VOCs detected in landfill gas samples from site landfill gas probe GM-14 with the VOCs detected in groundwater in well MW-9 indicates that landfill gas may be responsible for the VOCs detected in well MW-9 groundwater (Lawrence \& Associates, 1995b). In addition, analyses of general water quality parameters in well MW-9 indicate that there may be a leachate influence on the quality of groundwater. Lawrence \& Associates (1995b) have shown that the effects of leachate on groundwater are limited to a small area around well MW-9. The Corrective Action Program and its addendum (Holdrege \& Kull, 1997b, 1997c) identify the installation of final cover and the extraction of landfill gas as the initial corrective actions to be implemented. Sampling corrective action monitoring wells MW $9, \mathrm{MW}-10$, and $\mathrm{MW}-11$ on a quarterly basis monitors the effectiveness of this program.

Fluctuations in concentrations of VOCs, calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate alkalinity, all of which can be affected by LFG, have been observed in the samples from the corrective action program wells. The changes in concentrations of these parameters suggest that the influence of LFG on groundwater quality has varied over time.

### 3.0 EXCAVATION AND MATERIAL MANAGEMENT

In accordance with Section 21090 (f) of 27 CCR, waste relocation of a landfill is complete when:

- All waste materials, contaminated components of the containment system, and affected or polluted geologic materials (soils, rock, groundwater) beneath or surrounding the Unit, and caused by a release from the Unit, are either removed and discharged to an appropriate Unit or are treated to the extent that the RWQCB finds that they no longer pose a threat to water quality.
- All remaining containment features are inspected for contamination, and if contaminated, discharged in accordance with subsection 21090 (f) of 27 CCR.

The Pre-Subtitle D area is an unlined landfill with no containment systems other than the underlying soils and the surface cover soils. In addition, as discussed previously, and based on available analytical data, there is no known significant impact to underlying soils or groundwater resulting from this area. Consequently, waste relocation activities at the Pre-Subtitle D area will be directed toward excavation, management, and disposal of waste materials at one or more approved facilities depending on their character, and excavation, management and disposal or treatment of any affected soils beneath or surrounding the waste materials pose a threat to water quality as determined by the RWQCB, these materials will be managed and treated or disposed of as appropriate.

Waste relocation activities at the Pre-Subtitle D area will include excavation of existing final cover soils, waste (including daily and interim cover), and as appropriate, any underlying soils affected by a release from the landfill and posing a threat to water quality or the environment. Golder estimates that excavation may include approximately $3,646,000$ cy of material (final cover soils, Class III nonhazardous solid wastes, and daily and interim soil cover) as described in Section 2.2.3. We have assumed over-excavation will average 2 feet below the base of waste throughout the Pre-Subtitle D area footprint.

The Excavation and Materials Management Plans designate waste materials for excavation, proper management, and disposal according to waste classification and applicable laws and regulations. Soils will be excavated and properly managed and reused onsite based on their characteristics and any associated threat to water quality. The goal of excavation and materials management is the removal of all waste materials and any surrounding soils so affected by contact with waste or a release from the landfill that they would otherwise pose a threat to water quality or the environment, and thereby clean close the Pre-Subtitle D area. Soils not affected by waste will be excavated, stockpiled, and reused on site.

The waste relocation will include the following activities:

- Contractor mobilization.
- Final cover removal and stockpiling.
- Excavation, materials management, and transportation and disposal of waste materials.
- Excavation and stockpiling of clean cover and berm soils.
- Confirmation sampling and analysis by a California certified laboratory of the exposed subgrade in the excavation area and stockpiled soils.
- Placement of daily cover or alternative daily cover (ADC) at the end of each working day over all exposed solid waste surfaces to control vectors, fires, odors, blowing litter, and scavenging. Daily cover shall consist
of no less than 6 inches of compacted earthen material (from approved on-site sources) or approved ADC. All daily cover must meet the performance standards of the California Code of Regulations (CCRs) Title 27, Sections 20695and Sections 21570 through 21686.
- Placement of intermediate cover consisting of at least 12 inches and no more than 18 inches of compacted earthen material shall be placed on all surfaces of exposed solid waste where no additional waste excavation or waste relocation work will occur within 180 days to control vectors, fires, odors, blowing litter, and scavenging. Intermediate cover does not consist of any of the acceptable alternative daily materials listed in Section 20690 of the CCRs, Title 27.
- Site winterization and interim grading to control stormwater run-on and infiltration into the remaining waste.
- Finish grading of the site and construction of any temporary storm water control measures.
- Seeding of disturbed areas to reduce erosion.
- Site cleanup and contractor demobilization.

The waste relocation activities will be monitored and documented by a full-time resident engineer from the WPWMA or their designee. Selected by the WPWMA, the contractor, with certain restrictions, will be responsible for conducting waste relocation activities in general conformance with this workplan and the Module 1, 2, 10 and 11 waste relocation Plans and Specifications, and in strict conformance with applicable laws, permits, and regulations.

The contractor's responsibilities will include, but not necessarily be limited to:

- Obtaining all required permits for the waste relocation excluding the notice of intent (NOI), which the WPWMA will submit prior to the start of the waste relocation activities.
- Preparing and being in compliance with a project-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP).
- Preparing and implementing an excavation plan. The Placer County Division of Environmental Health is the Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) and will require that the contractor provide twenty-four (24) hour notice to the LEA prior to implementation of the excavation plan.
- Providing an estimate and demonstrating compliance with daily and cumulative air pollution emissions for their planned equipment utilization established for the project based on the air pollution control rules, regulations, ordinances, and statutes maintained by the Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD).
- Preparing and implementing a Materials Management Plan (MMP) identifying the methods used to excavate, store, load, and transport the materials for the waste relocation to a designated disposal area on site. The contractor also is required to submit as part of the MMP a contingency plan in the event that non-conforming wastes or hazardous wastes or impacted soils are encountered during the excavation. The MMP will identify methods to segregate and manage wastes based on their classification (conforming or non-conforming or hazardous) and impacted soils from clean soils in the event impacted soils are encountered. The MMP will identify measures to minimize erosion from or ponding on stockpiles especially temporary soil stockpiles. The MMP will specify methods to identify, classify, store, transport, and dispose or otherwise manage all encountered wastes in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws and requirements.
- Preparing and implementing a Construction Storm Water Management Plan (C-SWPPP), excluding filing of a Notice of Intent (NOI), which the WPWMA will prepare and submit.
- Designating and maintaining soil stockpile areas.
- Maintaining site safety and security.
- Developing and implementing a dust control plan as required by the PCAPCD and any other measures that may be required to mitigate environmental impacts to acceptable levels.
- Excavating, managing, and transporting conforming waste materials to the designated disposal area on site.
- Excavating, stockpiling, and managing soil materials based on their character as determined from observations and analytical testing by others.
- Finish grading in conformance with the excavation plan and the Module 1, 2, 10 and 11 waste relocation Plans and Specifications.


### 3.1 Excavation Plan

Waste relocation of the Pre-Subtitle $D$ area will require excavation of waste and soil materials from the modules that encompasses approximately 65.8 acres as shown in Figure 1. The contractor will identify on a daily basis the area of excavation and strip the existing surface cover soils that are not impacted by waste. The contractor will stockpile these soils in a designated stockpile area. The contractor will excavate waste materials, including commingled cover soils, and place them into trucks for transport to the designated disposal areas on site. WPWMA will dispose of the waste materials in conformance with site permits and applicable laws and regulations. Excavation will proceed until reaching the base of waste. The excavation will progress laterally across the designated excavation area. Trained personnel provided by the contractor will observe the excavation process to identify any non-conforming waste materials, including hazardous waste as described in Section 3.2. Trained personnel provided by the contractor will also observe the exposed subgrade soils to identify any areas that may be affected by a release from the landfill.

Available geologic information indicates that the Pre-Subtitle D area lies primarily above stream-laid alluvial deposits of arkosic gravel, sand, silt, and clay. In some areas, it is anticipated that excavation will extend into the underlying gravel, sand, silt, and clays. Actual grades and conditions may vary and will be determined at the time of excavation. Anticipated excavation depths range from 10 to 66 feet, based on available information.

During excavation, the contractor will be responsible for excavating to design lines and grades or alternative lines and grades required to remove all waste materials and affected soil materials that may pose a threat to water quality. The contractor also will be responsible for excavating and maintaining stable excavation slopes including interim waste slopes and intermediate (if necessary) and final soil slopes.

The contractor should excavate slopes that conform to the following maximum (horizontal:vertical) inclinations:

- Interim waste slopes should not exceed 3:1
- Interim soil slopes should not exceed 2:1
- Final soil slopes will vary between $2: 1$ and $4: 1$

WPWMA personnel or their designee will observe the contractor's progress during waste relocation activities and provide guidance necessary to assure waste relocation of the site and maintain acceptable excavation lines and grades.

Waste relocation activities will include excavation and stockpiling of almost 428,000 cy of final cover soil that is not anticipated to be significantly affected by waste materials. The contractor will designate and maintain stockpile areas of sufficient size and appropriate location for these soils. The contractor will be responsible for managing these soils and any over-excavation soils in conformance with the drawings and specifications and any applicable permit conditions, regulatory requirements and laws. Section 3.2.

The contractor will cover exposed waste at the end of each work day with a minimum of 6 inches of compacted earthen material or an approved ADC (e.g., tarps) to control vectors, fires, odors, blowing litter, and scavenging. The contractor will place intermediate cover over exposed waste and temporary waste slopes where no additional waste excavation or waste relocation work will occur within 180 days. Intermediate cover will consist of a minimum 1 -foot thick layer of compacted earthen material, including daily cover soil. There are no approved alternative materials for intermediate cover. The intermediate cover will protect otherwise exposed waste, thereby controlling vectors, fires, odors, blowing litter, and scavenging during any potential lapses in waste relocation activities.

To confirm complete removal of the waste and any affected soil materials that may pose a threat to water quality if not removed and properly disposed of or treated, project personnel will observe and document material removal and other waste relocation activities as appropriate. This will include daily field logs of areas excavated, quantities removed, and scale tags from the materials delivered to the active face or other designated facility.

The contractor will provide for positive drainage at the top of all excavation slopes to control storm water run-on into the excavation. The remaining excavation will be graded such that precipitation from the $100-\mathrm{yr}, 24-\mathrm{hr}$ design storm will drain and prevent water from rising above the waste at the toe of the temporary waste slope. This will prevent ponding water from posing a threat for seeping into buried waste and causing a potential for leachate development. WPWMA personnel or their designee also will visit the site after precipitation events that exceed 2 inches of rainfall and coordinate pumping of ponded water if need be. Slopes that will be cut from native soils will be constructed without the intermediate cover.

The overall removal rate and sequencing will be determined by the contractor, with an anticipated timeline of approximately 300 days for the project. Although it is not anticipated that the project scope will change significantly, the volume and duration of excavation is subject to revision based on the contractor's performance and other factors.

Excavated areas to be developed with a Subtitle D composite liner system will be graded at about $1.5 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ to flow to the northeast corner of the excavation as shown on the drawings. Areas to be developed for other solid waste facilities will receive earthfill to the design grades shown on the drawings. All slopes will be seeded at the conclusion of construction to control erosion. Additional erosion controls such as diversion berms, hay bales, and straw wattles will be used as necessary during construction in accordance with the C-SWPPP. Perimeter slopes will be seeded to reduce erosion.

### 3.2 Materials Management Plan

The contractor will designate locations for loading, storage, and transport of excavated materials generated during the waste relocation. The contractor will excavate and load waste and over-excavation materials that pose a threat to groundwater quality into haul trucks and transport them to the designated disposal area as described in

Section 3.3. The contractor will store cover and berm soils on site in a designated location for use as daily cover or drainage control or final grading at the end of the project.

Although it is anticipated that primarily MSW will be encountered, there is the potential to encounter hazardous or non-conforming wastes during the waste relocation. Hazardous wastes are defined in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations (22 CCR) Section 66261.3. Hazardous wastes have the following characteristics:

- Are ignitable, corrosive, reactive, or toxic.
- Have the potential to cause or significantly contribute to an increase in mortality or an increase in serious irreversible or incapacitating reversible illness.
- Have the potential to pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health or the environment, due to factors including, but not limited to, carcinogenicity, acute toxicity, chronic toxicity, bioaccumulative properties, or persistence in the environment, when improperly treated, stored, transported, or disposed of, or otherwise managed.

Non-conforming wastes include all other waste material that are not accepted at the WRSL, excluding hazardous waste, and include:

- Sludge with less than $15 \%$ solids.
- Designated wastes that may be currently not accepted include, but are not limited to, industrial sludges, dredge debris, slab/construction/demolition debris, commercial/industrial waste, and glass cullet.
- Wastes containing soluble pollutants in concentrations that exceed applicable water quality objectives, or that could cause degradation of waters of the state per California Water Code Section 13173.
- Cathode Ray Tubes (CRTs) from televisions and computer monitors.
- Electronic wastes such as televisions, refrigerators, etc.
- Dead animals.

The contractor will be required to develop and implement a contingency plan in case hazardous or non-conforming wastes are encountered during waste relocation. The contingency plan will include:

- An introduction that provides a brief overview of waste relocation operations, a general description of the physical area, a general description of the nature of hazards or events in which the contingency plan is applicable, and a list of emergency planning requirements being addressed in the plan.
- A core plan including discovery, initial response, sustained actions, and termination and follow-up actions.
- Annexes, including facility and locality information, notification, response management systems, incident documentation, training and exercises, response critique, plan review, and modifications process, prevention, and regulatory compliance and cross reference matrices.

The contractor will base the contingency plan on guidelines issued by the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (CA OES, 2001). The contractor will provide personnel that are trained to implement the contingency plan. Training must be done in conformance with all applicable laws.

Hazardous and non-conforming waste will be stored on-site in a designated area following all applicable regulatory laws. Containers that are suspected of containing hazardous materials or non- conforming wastes must be checked by trained personnel for integrity, damage, contents, etc. Testing methods for identifying hazardous waste are provided in 22 CCR Chapter 11.

Disposal and transportation of non-conforming and hazardous wastes require specially permitted transporters and facilities. Therefore, the contractor and WPWMA personnel, cannot perform such activities. A hauler and facility specially permitted for hauling and receiving hazardous wastes will be identified in a contingency plan developed by the contractor.

### 3.3 Transport and Disposal of Excavated Materials

Excavated materials will be transported to the active face or designated disposal area on site by the contractor. Weight tags will be collected and used for documenting final disposal of all waste materials.

Disposal and transportation of non-conforming and hazardous wastes require specially permitted transporters and facilities. Therefore, the contracted municipal solid waste hauler and the accepting facility, the WRSL, cannot perform such activities. A hauler and facility that are specially permitted for hauling and receiving hazardous wastes will be identified in a contingency plan developed by the contractor.

### 3.4 Health and Safety Issues and Control Procedures

Waste relocation will include excavation of waste materials that may contain unknown materials including MSW, non-conforming wastes, hazardous waste, leachate, and soils that could pose hazards to the environment or the health and safety of workers or both. Waste relocation projects like the Pre-Subtitle D area waste relocation require health and safety guidelines and a site-specific HASP to reduce the potential hazards associated with waste relocation activities to acceptable levels. This section of the workplan provides general health and safety guidelines and practices that must be addressed in the project-specific HASP that the contractor will be required to develop and implement. This workplan provides general health and safety concerns and preventive actions for environmental and personnel hazards relevant to the clean closure.

### 3.4.1 Environmental Hazards

Waste relocation activities have the potential to present environmental health hazards that could affect the surrounding community or environment. Such hazards include groundwater and surface water contamination and decreased air quality owing to emissions resulting from waste relocation activities. Implementation of measures to control environmental hazards or improper control of environmental hazards can expose workers to health and safety hazards. This workplan describes these hazards and methods to reduce the threat that they otherwise pose to workers and the public. This workplan also describes environmental controls typically required that are included as part of the waste relocation project.

### 3.4.1.1 Water Quality

To provide stormwater pollution prevention, the contractor will develop and submit a NOI to comply with the terms of the general permit to discharge stormwater associated with construction activity (WC Order No. 2009-009-DWQ). The NOI will be developed by WPWMA under the State of California's general permit for construction activities. The contractor will submit a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) including provisions to manage leachate in the event that it is encountered or generated during the waste relocation of the Pre-Subtitle D area. Leachate may require testing and special management practices to minimize potential impacts to the environment and health hazards to workers. Management practices may include pumping and transportation for disposal at an appropriate
facility selected by the contractor and approved by the WPWMA. Leachate management activities will be conducted by the contractor in accordance with this plan, the Pre-Subtitle $D$ area permits and applicable laws.

### 3.4.1.2 Air Quality

To control particulate emissions, the contractor will be required to implement measures identified in a dust control plan. These measures will include:

- Pre-water site and phase work to reduce the amount of disturbed surface area at any one time.
- Apply water to dry areas during leveling, grading, trenching, and earthmoving activities.
- Apply water to unpaved haul and access roads.
- Apply water to vehicle traffic and equipment storage areas.
- Apply water to disturbed areas.
- Limit vehicular speed to 15 mph in unpaved areas.
- Apply water and cover with tarp when storing materials.
- Apply water when handling bulk materials sufficient to limit visible dust emissions (VDE) to 20\% opacity.
- Cover bulk materials stored outdoors with tarps, plastic, or other suitable material and anchor to prevent the cover from being removed by wind.
- Clean up carryout and trackout areas at the end of each workday.
- Cover the cargo compartment of loaded and emptied trucks with a tarp or suitable cover before leaving site.
- Prevent spillage or loss of bulk material from holes or other openings in the cargo compartment's floor, sides, and/or tailgate.
- Limit vehicular speed sufficient to limit VDE to $20 \%$ opacity, or limit load haul trucks to have greater than 6 " freeboard, or apply water to top of load sufficient to limit VDE to $20 \%$ opacity.
- Apply water to disturbed surface area and restrict vehicular access after work hours, on weekends and on holidays.
- Temporarily stabilize disturbed surface areas that remain unused for 7 or more days.
- Apply and maintain water to all un-vegetated areas unused for 7 or more days.
- Maintain records for demonstrating compliance with dust control measures.
- Maintain records for demonstrating compliance for cleanup of carryout and trackout areas.

The contractor will be responsible for the specifics as to how these measures will be implemented.

### 3.4.1.3 Odor Control

Odor can be an issue for excavation of younger waste (younger than 20 years) during summer time. While generally the odors are controlled by prompt placement of daily and intermediate cover, there are some other methods that can help in mitigating odor problems. Below is a list of the new technologies the WPWMA might implement.

- Odor control sprayers are wheeled tractors with a cab, consisting of a movable spray arm and a mounted reservoir, is used to reduce smell of exposed waste by spraying neutralizing agent, such as RenoSam 2009.
- Atomized misting equipment can suppress dust levels and can be installed in every place of the PreSubtitle D area and the landfill.
- A product, such as RusFoam ADC Soil Equivalent Foam (AC667), may be used to help control odor. RusFoam ADC Soil Equivalent Foam (AC667) is a water-based non-hardening product engineered to provide superior coverage and visual appearance on the working face. The 3 " foam blanket fills any voids on the uneven surface of the waste, eliminating potential odor, lifter or vector problems due to exposed trash.


### 3.4.1.4 Accidental Fire Control

An emergency plan should be prepared to extinguish fires in the waste. The equipment and method to be used for extinguishing fires should be presented in the plan. Isolation and rapid natural burnout or smothering with soil is preferred for extinguishing fire. The emergency plan should also include procedures for notification of local fire protection agencies for assistance in emergencies.

### 3.4.2 Hazards to Personnel

The waste relocation activities can present a range of potential physical, chemical, and radiological hazards to which personnel may be exposed. These are due both to the hazards presented by the work location itself and those that may be encountered during the completion of the required scope of work. The potential hazards include, but are not limited to:

Exposure to hydrogen sulfide, sulfur dioxide, vinyl chloride, chlorinated solvents, and methane;

- Lifting or moving heavy buckets or drums.
- Hazardous noise produced during excavation activities.
- Heat stress, and suffocation associated with weather and personal protective clothing.
- Exposure to radioactive sources.
- Hazards involving underground electrical, gas or other utilities, or overhead electrical lines, may be encountered.
- Slips and falls due to unstable surfaces, steep grades uneven terrain and trenches encountered during excavation/backfill activities.
- Energized electrical equipment malfunctions in on-site support equipment and machinery.
- Traffic hazards.


## - Confined spaces.

Preventive measures will include general health and safety training, use of personal protective equipment, personnel monitoring, decontamination, and establishment of site control work zones. Emergency response actions and contacts will also be included.

Methods of eliminating or mitigating the identified risks should be developed and published as a part of the comprehensive health and safety program. The contractor's HASP will be specific to measures and equipment that will be used to complete waste relocation activities. A site safety officer will monitor compliance with the safety plan and will also oversee that on-site personnel understand all aspects of the HASP. The contractor also will conduct site monitoring. WPWMA will develop their own HASP for WPWMA personnel. The contractor's HASP and the WPWMA's HASP will be implemented during the waste relocation project. Although the health and safety program largely depends on site specific conditions, waste types, and project goals and can be particularly challenging, a typical health and safety program might call for the following:

- Hazard communication (i.e., a "Right to Know" component) to inform personnel of potential risks.
- Respiratory protection measures, including hazardous material identification and assessment; engineering controls; written standard operating procedures; training in equipment use, respirator selection, and fit testing; proper storage of materials; and periodic reevaluation of safeguards.

The program should also list the equipment to be used by workers. The types of safety equipment used the waste relocation project include:

- Standard safety equipment (e.g., hard hats, steel-toed shoes, safety glasses and/or face shields, protective gloves, and hearing protection).
- Specialized safety equipment (e.g., chemically protective overalls, respiratory protection, and selfcontained breathing apparatus).
- Monitoring equipment (e.g., combustible gas meter, hydrogen sulfide chemical reagent diffusion tube indicator, and oxygen analyzer).


### 4.0 CONFIRMATION OF WASTE RELOCATION

Specific activities will be performed to confirm removal of waste materials and residuals. These activities will include:

- Observation and documentation of waste and residuals removal.
- Documentation verifying the final disposition of all waste and residual materials.
- Soil sampling.
- Reporting of waste relocation activities and confirmation sampling.
- Developing and implementing a remedial action plan (RAP) or closure and post-closure maintenance plans if waste relocation activities were not successful.

Procedures regarding observation and documentation of waste and residuals removal are presented in Section 3.1. Confirmation sampling procedures, reporting of waste relocation activities and sampling results from a California certified laboratory, and non-compliance actions are discussed below.

### 4.1 Waste Relocation Monitoring Parameters

Results from the existing monitoring program and past field investigations indicate that there is no known significant migration of waste residuals into groundwater and surface water. Upon waste relocation and completion of waste removal, the potential source of future contamination to groundwater or surface water will be subsurface soils that may have been impacted by waste residuals. Waste relocation activities, therefore, require testing of subsurface soils to assure removal of waste residuals that would pose a threat to water quality, human health, or the environment.

Waste relocation monitoring parameters for soil will include select constituents of concern from the existing site groundwater and surface water monitoring program and other parameters typically found at MSW landfills. Monitoring parameters recommended for the waste relocation activities consist of:

```
- Sulfide (EPA 9030)
- Cyanide (EPA 9010)
- VOCs (EPA Method 8260, extended list)
■ Semi-VOCs (EPA Method 8270)
- CAM - 17 Metals (EPA 6010)
■ Organophosphorus Compounds (EPA 8141)
- Chlorophenoxy Herbicides (EPA 8151)
- PCB's (EPA 8082)
```


### 4.2 Post Excavation Sampling Procedures and Results Analysis

A qualified person, on behalf of the WPWMA, will inspect the subgrade or evidence of staining or potential areas of impact. Samples will be taken at a frequency of approximately 1 per 500 feet. If visual evidence is observed, an additional sample will be collected from the area of potential impact. Groundwater is not anticipated to be encountered during the sampling.

If used, soil borings will be advanced using a direct-push drill rig equipped with Geoprobe or equivalent sampling rods. Continuous soil cores will be collected in acetate tubes inside the sample barrel. After being advanced four feet, the inner sample barrel will be retrieved while the drive casing is left in place to prevent borehole collapse. After retrieving the inner core barrel, the soil samples will be removed for laboratory chemical analyses. Each boring will be backfilled with bentonite pellets.

Soil samples will be collected for laboratory analysis from each boring at 0-1 feet, 1-2 feet, 2-3 feet, 3-4 feet, and $4-5$ feet. The soil samples collected from Cells will be analyzed in the laboratory for the parameters discussed in Section 4.1. All soil samples will be properly containerized, labeled, and preserved upon collection. Chain-ofcustody documentation will accompany the samples to the laboratory for analysis.

Background concentrations will be used to compare to the samples collected during the waste relocation to determine if the underlying soils have been affected by waste constituents. The laboratory analytical results will be compared with the background concentration limits calculated. The tolerance interval method will be used to calculate concentration limits. This method is used to estimate the concentration a constituent can exhibit and still
be considered consistent with background soil. In other words, tolerance limits represent concentrations beyond which a significant change has occurred.

If a soil sample has constituent concentrations that exceed the concentration limits, then it will be determined that the soil was affected by waste constituents. These data and subsequent evaluations will be used to determine the vertical extent of soils impacted by waste constituents.

If the 0-1 feet soil samples do not show impact from waste constituents, then only the upper foot of soil will be analyzed. If impacts from waste constituents are detected, soil will be excavated to additional depth based on the results of the soil sampling and comparison to background concentration limits.

Confirmation of waste relocation will be considered complete if no composite samples have concentrations exceeding background concentrations.

### 4.3 Reporting

A technical report will be developed describing the waste relocation activities and verifying that waste relocation has been completed. The report will include a site map, a letter certifying that monitoring parameters are at or below clean up limits as indicated by confirmation testing results, and the final disposition of waste and residual materials.

A registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist will prepare the report. The WPWMA will submit the report to the RWQCB, CaIRecycle, and the Placer County Division of Environmental Health (acting as the LEA for the CalRecycle).

### 5.0 COST ESTIMATE

The engineer's cost opinion for the waste relocation project is approximately $\$ 24,469,135$. The cost includes:

- Unit rates based on prevailing wages, CalTrans equipment rates, and CalTrans rates for labor surcharge and overhead and profit based on force account work.
- The waste and non-hazardous impacted soil will be removed and hauled to the landfill for proper disposal.
- It is assumed that an average of 2-feet of soil will be excavated across the entire footprint to confirm there are no impacts from waste constituents.
- A construction quality assurance officer will be on site for the entire duration of the project.
- Soil testing costs include 15 test pits with samples taken at depths of $2.5-\mathrm{ft}$, and $5-\mathrm{ft}$ spaced at approximately 1 per 500 feet.
- C-SWPPP preparation and implementation.

The estimated cost does not include the following, which are assumed to be included in other elements of the master plan:

- Environmental analysis per CEQA and permitting.
- Liner, leachate collection system, and related costs for portion of the Sub-Title D area to be developed as landfill.
- Earthfill to support solid waste facilities other than landfill.
- Permanent drainage.

The details of the cost estimate for the closure of Module 1, 2, 10, and 11 is included in Appendix G - Closure/Postclosure Cost Detail.


## Signature Page

## Golder Associates Inc.

Lindsey Angell, PE
Senior Project Engineer

Richard D. Haughey, PE Associate/Practice Leader

LMA/RDH/md

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation
https://golderassociates.sharepoint.com/sites/10452g/shared documents/4technical work/clean closure workplan/clean closure workplan.docx

### 6.0 REFERENCES

EDAW, 2000, "Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Western Regional Sanitary Landfill," January 24.

EMCON Associates, Inc., 1988, "Report of Disposal Site Information, Western Regional Sanitary Landfill, Placer County, California," October.

Golder Associates, Geotechnical Characterization for the Western Regional Sanitary Landfill JTD, May 2001.
Helley, Edward J. and D. S. Harwood, 1985, "Geologic Map of the Late Cenozoic Deposits of the Sacramento Valley and Northern Sierra Foothills, California," Map MF-1790, United State Geological Survey.

Holdrege and Kull, May 20, 1997a. Proposed Corrective Action Program for Western Regional Sanitary Landfill, SWIS No. 31-AA-0210, Lincoln, California.

Holdrege and Kull, September 23, 1997b. Addendum to Proposed Corrective Action Program.
Holdrege and Kull, November 14, 1997c. Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Report.
Lawrence \& Associates, 1995a, "Report of Disposal Site Information for the Western Regional Sanitary Landfill, Placer County, California," February 21, Sixth Revision December 18, 1996.

Lawrence \& Associates, 1995a, "Report of Disposal Site Information for the Western Regional Sanitary Landfill, Placer County, California," February 21, Sixth Revision December 18, 1996.

Lawrence \& Associates, 1995b, "Revised Article 5 Monitoring Plan for Western Regional Sanitary Landfill, Placer County, California," May.

State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (CAOES), 2001, "Guidelines for Developing a Facility's Consolidated Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan (Draft),: November.


Table 1
Waste Relocation Cost Estimate Western Regional Sanitary Landfill

| Item | Unit | Unit Cost | Quantity |  | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Waste Relocation Work Plan | Is | \$ 56,800 | 1 | \$ | 56,800 |
| 2. Waste Relocation |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Final Cover Excavation | cy | \$ 9.30 | 425,017 | \$ | 3,952,654 |
| b. Waste Excavation | cy | \$ 5.40 | 3,220,983 | \$ | 17,393,311 |
| c. Soil Liner \& Subgrade Over-Excavation | cy | \$ 3.00 | 425,016 | \$ | 1,275,048 |
| 3. Construction Quality Assurance | Is | \$ 1,427,368 | 1 | \$ | 1,427,368 |
| 4. Soil Testing | Is | \$ 40,791 | 1 | \$ | 40,791 |
| 5. SWPPP Preparation and Implementation |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. SWPPP Preparation | ea | \$ 8,526 | 1 | \$ | 8,526 |
| b. SWPPP Implementation | Is | \$ 15,000 | 1 | \$ | 15,000 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | \$ | 24,169,497 |
|  |  |  | gency (20\%) | \$ | 4,833,899 |
|  |  |  | Total | \$ | 29,003,397 |
| Waste to be Relocated (CY) ${ }^{2}$ | 464,0 |  | Cost \$/CY | \$ | 8.37 |

## Notes:

1. From the General Prevailing Wage determination made by the Director of Industrial Relations pursuant to California Labor Code Part 7, Chapter 1, Article 2, Sections 1770, 1773 and 1773.1. Equipment rental rate from the 2018 CalTrans Labor Surcharge and Equipment Rental Rates.
2. Includes final cover.






APPENDIX A
WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE DETAIL

## 1. WASTE RELOCATION WORK PLAN WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL



## 2a. FINAL COVER EXCAVATION WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

Crew Costs:

| Position | Personnel | Wage Rate |  | Units | Qnty | Subtotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Crew | 2 | \$ | 145.00 | /hr | 500 | \$ | 145,000 |
| Excavator Operator | 4 | \$ | 105.04 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 197,471 |
| Laborers | 10 | \$ | 75.17 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 353,290 |
| Compactor Operator | 6 | \$ | 104.10 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 293,549 |
| Scraper Operator | 2 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 99,581 |
| Haul Truck Driver | 8 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 319,324 |
| Water Truck Operator | 2 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 79,831 |
| Maintenance Truck Operator | 5 | \$ | 98.88 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 232,357 |
| Foreman | 1 | \$ | 94.25 | /hr | 470 | \$ | 44,298 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Crew | \$ | 1,764,701 |

## Equipment Costs:




Schedule:

| Work Item | Qnty $^{1}$ | Units | ${\text { Production } \text { Rate }^{2}}^{\text {2 }}$ |  | Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetative Layer (1-ft) | 106,254 | cy | 9,600 | cy/day | 12 |
| Compacted Clay Layer (1- ft ) | 106,254 | cy | 9,600 | cy/day | 12 |
| Foundation Layer (2-ft) | 212,508 | cy | 9,600 | cy/day | 23 |

Notes:

1. Final cover system for Modules $1,2,10$ and 11 consists of $1-\mathrm{ft}$ thick vegetative layer, $1-\mathrm{ft}$ thick compacted clay layer, and 2 - ft thick foundation layer. 2. Soil removal production rate based on 4 CAT 637D scrapers, 8 loads/hr/scraper, $10 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{day}$, and $30 \mathrm{cy} / \mathrm{load}$.

## 2b. WASTE EXCAVATION WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

Crew Costs:

| Position | Personnel | Wage Rate |  | Units | Qnty | Subtotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Crew | 2 | \$ | 145.00 | /hr | 500 | \$ | 145,000 |
| Excavator Operator | 4 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 1,076,744 |
| Laborers | 10 | \$ | 75.17 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 1,910,019 |
| Compactor Operator | 6 | \$ | 104.10 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 1,587,040 |
| Spreading Dozer Operator | 2 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 538,372 |
| Haul Truck Driver | 8 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 1,726,386 |
| Water Truck Operator | 2 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 431,596 |
| Maintenance Truck Operator | 5 | \$ | 98.88 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 1,256,213 |
| Foreman | 1 | \$ | 94.25 | /hr | 2,541 | \$ | 239,489 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Crew | \$ | 8,910,859 |

## Equipment Costs:



TOTAL: \$ 17,519,120
Waste Excavation Unit Cost: \$ 5.44 /cy Rounded Waste Excavation Unit Cost: \$ 5.40 /cy

## Schedule:

| Work Item | Qnty $^{2}$ | Units | Production Rate |  | Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refuse Removal | $3,220,983$ | cy | 14,000 | cy/day | 231 |
| Project Duration: |  |  |  |  | 231 |

[^10]
## 2c. SUBGRADE AND OVEREXCAVATION <br> WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

Crew Costs:

| Position | Personnel | Wage Rate |  | Units | Qnty | Subtotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laborer | 5 | \$ | 75.17 | /hr | 460 | \$ | 172,886 |
| Excavator Operator | 1 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr | 460 | \$ | 48,731 |
| Scraper Operator | 4 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr | 460 | \$ | 194,924 |
| Water Truck Operator | 1 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr | 460 | \$ | 39,066 |
| Dump Truck Driver | 1 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr | 230 | \$ | 24,366 |
| Foreman | 1 | \$ | 94.25 | /hr | 460 | \$ | 43,355 |

Equipment Costs:

| Item | No. of <br> Equip. | Rate per Unit | Unit |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Total: \$ 750,702

TOTAL: \$ 1,274,030
Liner and Soil Layer Unit Cost: \$ 3.00 Rounded Liner and Soil Layer Unit Cost: \$ 3.00 /cy

Schedule:

| Work Item | Qnty $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Units | ${\text { Production Rate }{ }^{2}}^{\|c\|}$ |  | Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgrade Excavation (2-ft) | 212,508 | cy | 9,600 | cy/day | 23 |
| Over Excavation (2-ft) | 212,508 | cy | 9,600 | cy/day | 23 |

Project Duration:

[^11]
## 3. CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE (CQA) WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

## Labor:

| Position | Personnel | Wage Rate |  | Unit | Qnty | Subtotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CQA Officer (8 hrs/wk @ 61 wks) | 1 | \$ | 137 | /hr | 3050 | \$ | 417,850 |
| Staff Engineer (20 hrs/wk @ 61 wks) | 1 | \$ | 112 | /hr | 3050 | \$ | 341,600 |
| Sr. Technician (50 hrs/wk @ 61 wks) | 1 | \$ | 110 | /hr | 3050 | \$ | 335,500 |
| Admin/Clerical (4 hrs/wk @ 61 wks) | 1 | \$ | 73 | /hr | 3050 | \$ | 222,650 |
| Communication Fee - 5\% on Labor | -- |  | 5.0\% | Labor fees |  | \$ | 65,880 |
| Labor Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ,383,480 |

CQA Report and Certification:

| Position | Personnel | Wage Rate | Unit | Qnty | Subtotal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | 1 | $\$$ | 235 | $/ \mathrm{hr}$ | 24 |
| CQA Officer | 1 | $\$$ | 137 | $/ \mathrm{hr}$ | 40 |$|$| ( |
| :--- |

## 4. SOIL TESTING

WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

## Professional Services:

| Position | Personnel | Wage Rate | Units | Qnty | Subtotal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geologist | 1 | $\$$ | 112 | $/ \mathrm{hr}$ | 80 |
| Sr. Consultant | 1 | $\$$ | 186 | $/ \mathrm{hr}$ | 8,960 |
| Office Service Fee |  | $5 \%$ | rate | 20 | $\$$ |

Equipment:

| Item | No. of Equip. | Rate per Unit | Unit | Qnty | Subtotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPS Unit | 1 | \$ 25 | day | 5 | \$ | 125 |
| Field Vehicle | 1 | \$ 125 | day | 5 | \$ | 625 |
| Per Diem | 1 | \$ 150 | day | 5 | \$ | 750 |
| Office Service Fee |  | 10\% | rate |  | \$ | 150 |

Lab Testing Costs - Soil:

| Monitoring Parameter | Number | Unit Cost | Units |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE
WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

Reporting Cost:

| Item | Personnel | Rate |  | Units | Qnty | Subtotal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. Consultant | 1 | \$ | 186 | /hr | 8 | \$ | 1,488 |
| Geologist | 1 | \$ | 112 | /hr | 20 | \$ | 2,240 |
| Drafter | 1 | \$ | 97 | /hr | 4 | \$ | 388 |
| Administrative | 1 | \$ | 73 | /hr | 2 | \$ | 146 |
| Office Service Fee |  |  | 5\% | rate |  | \$ | 213.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 4,475 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Soil T | \$ | 40,791 |

Notes:

1. Assumes 15 soil borings in the waste excavation area at approximately every 500 feet at 2 sample depths each.

## 5. SWPPP PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION <br> WASTE RELOCATION COST ESTIMATE WESTERN REGIONAL SANITARY LANDFILL

## SWPPP Preparation



## Crew Costs:

| Position |  | Operator Group | Base Wage Rate |  | 12\% Surcharge |  | $33 \%$ <br>  <br> Profit |  | Wage Rate |  | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Man Survey Crew ${ }^{3}$ | -- | -- | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 12.00 | \$ | 33.00 | \$ | 145.00 | /hr |
| 3 Man Drilling Crew ${ }^{3}$ | -- | -- | \$ | 230.00 | \$ | 27.60 | \$ | 75.90 | \$ | 333.50 | /hr |
| Licensed Surveyor ${ }^{3}$ | -- | -- | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | 4.80 | \$ | 13.20 | \$ | 58.00 | /hr |
| Laborer | Laborer | 3 | \$ | 51.84 | \$ | 6.22 | \$ | 17.11 | \$ | 75.17 | /hr |
| Backhoe Operator | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Compactor Operator | Operator | 5 | \$ | 71.79 | \$ | 8.61 | \$ | 23.69 | \$ | 104.10 | /hr |
| Excavator Operator | Operator | 3 | \$ | 72.44 | \$ | 8.69 | \$ | 23.91 | \$ | 105.04 | /hr |
| Foreman ${ }^{3}$ | -- | -- | \$ | 65.00 | \$ | 7.80 | \$ | 21.45 | \$ | 94.25 | /hr |
| Forklift Operator | Teamster | 1 | \$ | 58.27 | \$ | 6.99 | \$ | 19.23 | \$ | 84.49 | /hr |
| Dump Truck Operator | Teamster | 3 | \$ | 58.57 | \$ | 7.03 | \$ | 19.33 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr |
| Grader Operator | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Gradesetter Operator | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Grading Dozer Operator | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Maintenance Truck Operator | Operator | 5 | \$ | 68.19 | \$ | 8.18 | \$ | 22.50 | \$ | 98.88 | /hr |
| Mixer Operator | Operator | 8 | \$ | 68.19 | \$ | 8.18 | \$ | 22.50 | \$ | 98.88 | /hr |
| Scraper Operator - Mixing | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Scraper Operator - Placement | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Seed Truck Operator | Laborer | 1 | \$ | 53.09 | \$ | 6.37 | \$ | 17.52 | \$ | 76.98 | /hr |
| Skid Track Loader Operator | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Spreading Dozer Operator | Operator | 4 | \$ | 73.06 | \$ | 5 8.77 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | 105.94 | /hr |
| Supervisor ${ }^{3}$ | -- | -- | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | 10.80 | \$ | 29.70 | \$ | 130.50 | /hr |
| Water Truck Operator - Mixing | Teamster | 2 | \$ | 58.57 | \$ | 7.03 | \$ | 19.33 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr |
| Water Truck Operator - Placement | Teamster | 2 | \$ | 58.57 | \$ | 7.03 | \$ | 19.33 | \$ | 84.93 | /hr |

Engineering Costs:

| Item | Rate per Unit | Unit |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Principal | $\$$ | 235.00 | hr |
| Sr. Project Manager (Tech. Review) | $\$$ | 186.00 | hr |
| Staff Engineer | $\$$ | 112.00 | hr |
| Administrative | $\$$ | 73.00 | hr |
| Sr. Technician | $\$$ | 110.00 | hr |
| CQA Officer | $\$$ | 137.00 | hr |
| Drafter | $\$$ | 97.00 | hr |

Equipment, Maintenance and Fuel Costs:

| Item | Source | Cal Trans ${ }^{2}$ Rate per/hr |  | 15\% Profit \& Overhead |  | Rate per Unit |  | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Trailer | 100 | \$ | 15.01 | \$ | 2.25 | \$ | 17.26 | /hr |
| Generator | GEN1 | \$ | 13.77 | \$ | 2.07 | \$ | 15.84 | /hr |
| Pickup Truck | 00-06 | \$ | 22.02 | \$ | 3.30 | \$ | 25.32 | /hr |
| Spreading Dozer - CAT D6M-LGP | 3745 | \$ | 75.85 | \$ | 11.38 | \$ | 87.23 | /hr |
| Push Dozer - CAT D8N | 4864 | \$ | 146.27 | \$ | 21.94 | \$ | 168.21 | /hr |
| Dump Truck | 5AXL | \$ | 76.23 | \$ | 11.43 | \$ | 87.66 | /hr |
| Excavator - CAT 375L | 0365 | \$ | 280.82 | \$ | 42.12 | \$ | 322.94 | /hr |
| Grading Dozer - CAT D6 | 3720 | \$ | 67.08 | \$ | 10.06 | \$ | 77.14 | /hr |
| Scraper 1 - CAT 637D - Placement | 2470 | \$ | 283.93 | \$ | 42.59 | \$ | 326.52 | /hr |
| Scraper 1 - CAT 637D - Mixing | 2470 | \$ | 283.93 | \$ | 42.59 | \$ | 326.52 | /hr |
| Compactor - CAT 825C | 2510 | \$ | 177.96 | \$ | 26.69 | \$ | 204.65 | /hr |
| Grader - CAT 140H | 3265 | \$ | 78.70 | \$ | 11.81 | \$ | 90.51 | /hr |
| Water Truck - 4000 Gal - Placement | 48-60 | \$ | 58.85 | \$ | 8.83 | \$ | 67.68 | /hr |
| Water Truck - 4000 Gal - Mixing | 48-60 | \$ | 58.85 | \$ | 8.83 | \$ | 67.68 | /hr |
| Maintenance Truck | 00-06 | \$ | 22.02 | \$ | 3.30 | \$ | 25.32 | /hr |
| Mixer | 09-10 | \$ | 127.34 | \$ | 19.10 | \$ | 146.44 | /hr |
| Skid Track Loader | 1623 | \$ | 74.62 | \$ | 11.19 | \$ | 85.81 | /hr |
| Seed Truck | 5AXL | \$ | 76.23 | \$ | 11.43 | \$ | 87.66 | /hr |
| Drill Rig - Atlantic LLDH-120 | 5015 | \$ | 191.30 | \$ | 28.70 | \$ | 220.00 | /hr |
| Backhoe | 1862 | \$ | 34.92 | \$ | 5.24 | \$ | 40.16 | /hr |
| Forklift | 080-120 | \$ | 51.29 | \$ | 7.69 | \$ | 58.98 | /hr |

## Notes:

1. From the General Prevailing Wage determination made by the Director of Industrial Relations pursuant to California Labor Code Part 7, Chapter 1, Article 2, Sections 1770

1773 and 1773.1; Tables: Craft: TEAMSTER, Craft: OPERATING ENGINEER, Craft: \#OPERATING ENGINEER (HEAVY AND HIGHWAY WORK), and Craft: \#LABORER
AND RELATED CLASSIFICATIONS.
2. From the 2018 CalTrans Labor Surcharge and Equipment Rental Rates.
3. Wage is not determined by the General Prevailing Wages.


Appendix 4A-1

## Design Documentation <br> Main Entrance

Main entrance road:


Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation
Western Entrance

Plan Concept 2 Quantities
Western Entrance:


Appendix 4A-1

## Design Documentation <br> Overpass

## Western Placer Fiddyment Crossing Preliminary Cost Opinion

Date: Sep-17

Overcrossing w/Fill Alternative

| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC Paving | Sq Ft | 28000 | \$4.00 | \$112,000 |
| Aggregate Base | Sq Ft | 28000 | \$2.00 | \$56,000 |
| Embankment | Cu Yd | 23704 | \$20 | \$474,074 |
| Structure | Sq Ft | 5250 | \$300 | \$1,575,000 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: | \$2,217,074 |
|  |  | Contingency (35\%): |  | \$775,976 |
|  |  | Total: |  | \$2,993,050 |
| Overcrossing w/Retaining Wall Fill Alternative |  |  |  |  |
| Item | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| AC Paving | Sq Ft | 28000 | \$4.00 | \$112,000 |
| Aggregate Base | Sq Ft | 28000 | \$2.00 | \$56,000 |
| Embankment | Cu Yd | 11852 | \$20 | \$237,037 |
| Retaining Wall | Sq Ft | 19200 | \$150 | \$2,880,000 |
| Structure | Sq Ft | 5250 | \$300 | \$1,575,000 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: | \$4,860,037 |
|  |  | Continge | ncy (35\%): | \$1,701,013 |
|  |  |  | Total: | \$6,561,050 |

Undercrossing w/Cut Slope Alternative

|  | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC Paving | Sq Ft | 28000 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$ 112,000$ |
| Aggregate Base | Sq Ft | 28000 | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 56,000$ |
| Excavation | Cu Yd | 26537 | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 398,056$ |
| Structure | Sq Ft | 3200 | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 960,000$ |
| Drainage Pump Station | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: | $\$ 1,776,056$ |
|  |  | Contingency (35\%): | $\$ 621,619$ |  |
|  |  |  | Total: | $\$ 2,397,675$ |

Undercrossing w/Retaining Wall Alternative

|  | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC Paving | Sq Ft | 28000 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$ 112,000$ |  |  |
| Aggregate Base | Sq Ft | 28000 | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 56,000$ |  |  |
| Excavation | Cu Yd | 12315 | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 184,722$ |  |  |
| Retaining Wall | Sq Ft | 19200 | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 2,880,000$ |  |  |
| Structure | Sq Ft | 3200 | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 960,000$ |  |  |
| Drainage Pump Station | Each | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: | $\$ 4,442,722$ |  |  |
|  |  | Contingency (35\%): |  |  |  | $\$ 1,554,953$ |
|  |  |  | Total: | $\$ 5,997,675$ |  |  |

## Signal Alternative

|  | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Roadway Improvements | Each | 1 | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ |
| 4-way signalized intersection | Each | 1 | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 300,000$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: | $\$ 500,000$ |
|  |  |  | Contingency (35\%): | $\$ 175,000$ |
|  |  |  | Total: | $\$ 675,000$ |

## Assumptions:

25 mph design speed
16' 6" vertical clearance provided on overcrossing
$15^{\prime} 0$ " vertical clearance provided on undercrossing
Fiddyment is built out to 4 lanes
Crossing carries two lanes of traffice (12-foot lanes w/ 4-foot shoulders)
Utility relocations and/or utility spans are not included (i.e. sewer, water, power, telecommunications, etc.)
Impacts of potentail groundwater on feasibility of undercrossing has not been addressed

| From: | Goodrich, Janet/SAC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, October 12, 2018 2:54 PM |
| To: | McRae, Jennifer/SJC |
| Subject: | FW: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning |
|  | project [EXTERNAL] |

From: Negrete, Matt/SAC
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 4:15 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com); Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com)
Subject: RE: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project [EXTERNAL]

Works for me.

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 4:03 PM
To: Negrete, Matt/SAC < Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com>; Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com)
Subject: RE: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project [EXTERNAL]

How about I reply back that we are not sure that that standard will be applicable, but will proceed with an assumption of 80-90 feet.

From: Negrete, Matt/SAC
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 3:47 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com); Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com)
Subject: RE: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project [EXTERNAL]

Yes and no.

It's unclear if Fiddyment should be designated an Urban Primary facility. If there are no residences, commercial facilities, or schools in the area, I don't believe that the sidewalks would be required. Also, a two way left turn lane may or may not be appropriate. Part of this depends on whether or not traffic will need to turn left across on-coming traffic. If that isn't happening, then a smaller meadian could be used. We can use this as a starting point.

FYI - I'm currently laying something out based on providing an $80^{\prime}$ opening. This includes the 64 feet mentioned below, as well as some additional real estate for accommodating drainage ditches on each edge of the roadway. However, at this point, whether we use $80^{\prime}$ or $90^{\prime}$ for the horizontal opening we need to accommodate with an overcrossing (or undercrossing), it won't change the order of magnitude of the project costs. I can use Plate 106 if you'd like, as it is a County Standard, but l'm not convinced it will apply here.

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 3:39 PM
To: Negrete, Matt/SAC [Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com](mailto:Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com); Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com)
Subject: FW: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project [EXTERNAL]

Hi Matt,
Does this make sense?
Janet

From: Keith Schmidt [mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 3:37 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com)
Cc: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com); Eric Oddo [EOddo@placer.ca.gov](mailto:EOddo@placer.ca.gov); Stephanie Ulmer [SUlmer@placer.ca.gov](mailto:SUlmer@placer.ca.gov)
Subject: RE: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project [EXTERNAL]

Janet,
Plate 106 of the Placer County Standard Plates (located here) is the County's current design standard for "urban primary" streets which I think would be the standard applied to this situation. Our property developments are subject to review by the Design Review Committee and they unfortunately use a Design Guidelines manual that is now 15-years old. At some point this manual will be superseded. In the meantime, I thought maybe the community plan update for this area would have something, but it indicated "In parallel with the preparation of the Draft SIA Plan, the County will prepare Corridor Design Standards and Guidelines for key areas of the SIA, including Sunset Boulevard, Athens Avenue, Foothill Boulevard, and Placer Parkway." Fiddyment appears to have been forgotten. I have to assume that's an oversight and I am reaching out to the County to determine the schedule for daylighting this Corridor Design Standards and Guidelines manual.

Either way, based on adopted standards, I think we are looking at a 90' right-of-way width per the attached plate.

Keith J. Schmidt, P.E. | Senior Civil Engineer | Western Placer Waste Management Authority | (Mail) 11476 "C" Ave. Auburn, CA 95603 | (Physical) 3033 Fiddyment Rd. Roseville, CA 95747 | (916) 543-3986 (Direct) | (916) 543-3990 (Fax)

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com]
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 1:55 PM
To: Eric Oddo; Keith Schmidt; Stephanie Ulmer
Cc: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Subject: RE: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project

Thank you, confirmed

From: Eric Oddo [mailto:EOddo@placer.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 1:49 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com); Keith Schmidt [KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov](mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov); Stephanie Ulmer [SUlmer@placer.ca.gov](mailto:SUlmer@placer.ca.gov)
Cc: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com)

Subject: RE: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project [EXTERNAL]

Those look like reasonable assumptions to me

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com]
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 1:46 PM
To: Eric Oddo; Keith Schmidt; Stephanie Ulmer
Cc: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Subject: concepts for grade separation crossing of Fiddyment road element for WPWMA master planning project
Hi Eric,
We are working with our transportation engineer, Matt. He documented the base assumptions he is using for the information we need for the Charrette:

- Space and configuration of area for the crossing (under and over crossings), including ingress/egress
- Very rough industry standard type costs for both.

Please look this over and make sure you are ok with these general assumptions at this stage. Thanks, Janet

From: Negrete, Matt/SAC
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2017 12:44 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC < Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com>
Cc: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com)
Subject: RE: western placer waste management authority project
Janet/Lyndsey,
Good talk this morning. Here are the current design assumptions I plan on moving forward with:

- Proposed crossing will be over Fiddyment
- Crossing may be adjacent to Athens, or possibly further south
- Assume Fiddyment will be built out to four 12 -foot lanes, have two 4 -foot bike lanes, and two 4 -foot shoulders in the future for a total width of 64 feet. Regardless of the details, though, I think we should assume a two span bridge over Fiddyment. (similar to how it is south of Placer Parkway future area)
- Provide two lanes over (or under) Fiddyment for facility use. Lanes will be 12 feet wide with 4 foot shoulders.
- Design speed on the over/undercrossing is 25 mph

I'm sure I'll be in touch as we move forward developing some preliminary layouts for these alternatives
Thanks,
Matt

```
From: Negrete, Matt/SAC
To: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX; Goodrich, Janet/SAC; Gonzales, Shannon/SAC
Subject: RE: wpwma layouts
Date:
Wednesday, September 06, 2017 12:46:19 PM
```

The 122 foot dimension is what we need at the ends/approaches of the crossing for the turning movements.

Also, if we switch to a solution that uses retaining walls, the width would probably drop to around 40 feet for a two lane option.

From: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 12:07 PM
To: Negrete, Matt/SAC [Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com](mailto:Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com); Goodrich, Janet/SAC
[Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com); Gonzales, Shannon/SAC [Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com](mailto:Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com)
Subject: RE: wpwma layouts

Josh said he used a 122 ft by 930 ft , he said this includes the cut/fill limits

From: Negrete, Matt/SAC
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 10:14 AM
To: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com); Goodrich, Janet/SAC
[Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@CH2M.com); Gonzales, Shannon/SAC [Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com](mailto:Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com)
Subject: RE: wpwma layouts

What width did you use for the rectangle? Does that include any fill limits, or is that assuming we have retaining walls on either option?

Note that we assumed a two lane road with 4 foot shoulders. Depending on the client requirements, we could skinny this up using narrower lanes and shoulders, or possibly only having a single lane of traffic, as well.

From: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 9:33 AM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC <Janet.Goodrich@ CH2M.com>; Gonzales, Shannon/SAC [Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com](mailto:Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com)
Cc: Negrete, Matt/SAC [Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com](mailto:Matt.Negrete@CH2M.com)
Subject: RE: wpwma layouts

Hi All - Please see the screen shot of the over/underpass. As you can see it currently is huge and blocks nearly the entire entrance of the MRF.

Matt - do you have suggestions on repositioning? Could it be shifted to the left, angled, something else?

Janet - we can talk about the other items, but we are at a point we need to "finalize drawings so we can print, and then use as a start. Then use tomorrow to move things and fine-tune. Lets talk more.


From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 7:43 AM
To: Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX [Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com](mailto:Lyndsey.Lopez@ch2m.com); Gonzales, Shannon/SAC
[Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com](mailto:Shannon.Gonzales@ch2m.com)
Cc: Negrete, Matt/SAC <Matt. Negrete@CH2M.com>
Subject: wpwma layouts

Hi ladies,
A couple of points from my conversation with Eric yesterday and more.

1. The current HHW facility is expendable and can be replaced. Eric expects it will need to be replaced with more convenient locations. We should plan for:
a. For layouts where public facility is on western parcel, put a full service hhw drop off facility there as part of the public area, should fit within the footprint of the 5-6 acre public area that Lyndsey mapped out, but we should note that I our assumptions summary. Put a smaller facility near the MRF for materails they pull out. He doesn't want to assume there will be bulking but that the HHW hauler will service both locations to avoid hazardous waste transportation concerns with non-licensed entities.
b. For layouts where public facility is on existing or eastern parcel, we may be ok with one location in the public area, but should consider a small area closer to MRF as being held just in case, could be part of the corp yard or something else.
2. This means that we should see if there is any way that a under or over crossing will fit in that corner (if HHW facility is gone) and at least have that as one option. If it can't be done without the more extensive/expensive retaining walls like Matt mentioned (to save space), then we
should note that.
3. I don't recall if Matt $N$ or someone was going to get us something on the conveyer and tunnel. Was Matt going to give us the tunnel price? i.e. assume a certain no of feet, certain diameter, certain construction (maybe even lined with a large culvert).

Janet

## Janet Goodrich, P.E*

Direct: 19162860362
Mobile: 15303083677
email: ianet.goodrich@ch2m.com

## CH2M

2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600
Sacramento, CA 95833
www.ch2m.com | Linkedln | Twitter \| Facebook
*P.E. Civil, CA, OR, NV. Environmental, OR.

Appendix 4A-1

## Design Documentation <br> New Stormwater Ponds

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2 Location name: Roseville, California, USA*

Latitude: $38.8379^{\circ}$, Longitude: -121.349${ }^{\circ}$
Elevation: 123.93 ft** $^{* *}$
source: ESRI Maps
** source: USGS

## POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland
PF tabular I PF graphical I Maps \& aerials
PF tabular

| PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence intervals (in inches) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | Average recurrence interval (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| 5-min | 0.109 <br> $(0.098-0.122)$ | 0.133 <br> $(0.120-0.149)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 6 8} \\ (0.151-0.189) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.199 <br> $(0.176-0.226)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 4 5} \\ (0.206-0.294) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 8 4} \\ (0.232-0.352) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 3 2 8} \\ (0.258-0.421) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 3 7 7} \\ (0.284-0.504) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 0}$ $(0.320-0.639)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.514 \\ (0.348-0.766) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10-min | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 5 6} \\ (0.141-0.174) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 9 1} \\ (0.172-0.214) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 4 0} \\ (0.216-0.271) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 8 5} \\ (0.252-0.325) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.351 <br> $(0.296-0.421)$ | 0.407 $(0.332-0.504)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 4 7 0} \\ (0.370-0.603) \end{gathered}$ | 0.540 <br> $(0.408-0.722)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 6 4 5} \\ (0.459-0.916) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.736 \\ (0.498-1.10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 15-mi | 0.189 <br> $(0.170-0.211)$ | 0.230 <br> $(0.208-0.258)$ | 0.291 <br> $(0.261-0.327)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 4}$ <br> $(0.305-0.393)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 5}$ <br> $(0.358-0.509)$ | 0.493 <br> $(0.402-0.610)$ | 0.568 <br> $(0.447-0.729)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5 3}$ <br> $(0.493-0.873)$ | 0.780 $(0.555-1.11)$ | 0.890 <br> $(0.603-1.33)$ |
| 30-min | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 6 2} \\ (0.237-0.294) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 1}$ <br> $(0.289-0.359)$ | 0.404 <br> $(0.363-0.455)$ | 0.479 <br> $(0.425-0.546)$ | 0.591 <br> $(0.497-0.708)$ | 0.685 $(0.559-0.848)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.790 \\ (0.622-1.01) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{0 . 9 0 8} \\ (0.686-1.22) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ (0.772-1.54) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.24 \\ (0.839-1.85) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 60-min | 0.356 <br> $(0.322-0.399)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3 6}$ <br> $(0.393-0.488)$ | 0.549 <br> $(0.493-0.618)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5 1}$ <br> $(0.577-0.742)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0 2}$ <br> $(0.676-0.962)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.931 \\ (0.760-1.15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \hline 1.07 \\ (0.845-1.38) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.23 \\ (0.932-1.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.47 \\ (1.05-2.09) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.68 \\ (1.14-2.51) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2-hr | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 9}$ <br> $(0.468-0.580)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 1}$ <br> $(0.560-0.696)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 8}$ <br> $(0.689-0.864)$ | 0.898 $(0.796-1.02)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ (0.920-1.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.25 \\ (1.02-1.55) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 1.44 \\ (1.13-1.84) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 1.64 \\ (1.24-2.19) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.94 \\ (1.38-2.75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.19 \\ (1.49-3.27) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3-hr | 0.649 <br> $(0.586-0.726)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 2}$ <br> $(0.696-0.865)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.947 \\ (0.850-1.07) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 1.10 \\ (0.977-1.26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.33 \\ (1.12-1.60) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.52 \\ (1.24-1.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.74 \\ (1.37-2.23) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.97 \\ (1.49-2.63) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.32 \\ (1.65-3.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.62 \\ (1.77-3.90) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6-hr | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathbf{0 . 9 4 0} \\ (0.848-1.05) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.11 \\ (1.00-1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.36 \\ (1.22-1.53) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.57 \\ (1.39-1.79) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.88 \\ (1.59-2.26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 1 4} \\ (1.75-2.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.42 \\ (1.91-3.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.73 \\ (2.06-3.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.18 \\ (2.26-4.52) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.56 \\ (2.41-5.32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 12-hr | $\begin{gathered} 1.29 \\ (1.16-1.44) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.56 \\ (1.41-1.75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.93 \\ (1.73-2.17) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 2 4} \\ (1.99-2.56) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 6 9} \\ (2.26-3.22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.04 \\ (2.48-3.77) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.42 \\ (2.69-4.39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.82 \\ (2.89-5.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.39 \\ (3.12-6.24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.85 \\ (3.29-7.24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 24-h | $\begin{gathered} 1.78 \\ (1.63-1.99) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.23 \\ (2.03-2.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.82 \\ (2.57-3.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.31 \\ (2.99-3.74) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.98 \\ (3.46-4.66) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 4.50 \\ (3.83-5.39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{5 . 0 3} \\ (4.17-6.19) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 5.58 \\ (4.49-7.08) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.35 \\ (4.88-8.42) \end{gathered}$ | 6.95 $(5.16-9.56)$ |
| 2-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.32 \\ (2.12-2.59) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.94 \\ (2.68-3.29) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.74 \\ (3.40-4.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.39 \\ (3.96-4.97) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.27 \\ (4.59-6.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.94 \\ (5.06-7.13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.63 \\ (5.49-8.16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.33 \\ (5.90-9.30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.28 \\ (6.37-11.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.02 \\ (6.69-12.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3-day | $\begin{gathered} 2.72 \\ (2.48-3.03) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.46 \\ (3.16-3.87) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.42 \\ (4.02-4.95) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.19 \\ (4.68-5.87) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.22 \\ (5.42-7.30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.01 \\ (5.97-8.41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.80 \\ (6.47-9.61) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{8 . 6 1} \\ (6.93-10.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.70 \\ (7.46-12.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (7.81-14.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4-day | $\begin{gathered} 3.01 \\ (2.75-3.36) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.85 \\ (3.51-4.31) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.93 \\ (4.48-5.52) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.79 \\ (5.22-6.54) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.93 \\ (6.03-8.13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.79 \\ (6.63-9.35) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.66 \\ (7.18-10.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.53 \\ (7.67-12.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (8.23-14.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (8.60-15.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.70 \\ (3.38-4.13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.76 \\ (4.34-5.32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.09 \\ (5.54-6.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.14 \\ (6.44-8.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.50 \\ (7.40-9.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.52 \\ (8.10-11.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (8.71-12.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ (9.26-14.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \\ (9.86-17.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13.8 \\ (10.2-19.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10-day | $\begin{gathered} 4.19 \\ (3.83-4.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.39 \\ (4.92-6.03) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.90 \\ (6.27-7.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.06 \\ (7.27-9.12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.58 \\ (8.33-11.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (9.09-12.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (9.75-14.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.8 \\ (10.3-16.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.2 \\ (10.9-18.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (11.3-21.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20-day | $\begin{gathered} 5.52 \\ (5.05-6.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.11 \\ (6.49-7.96) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.07 \\ (8.26-10.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \\ (9.54-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ (10.9-14.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (11.8-16.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (12.6-18.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16.6 \\ (13.3-21.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ (14.0-24.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ (14.5-26.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| 30-day | $\begin{gathered} 6.67 \\ (6.10-7.45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.56 \\ (7.81-9.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ (9.89-12.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ (11.4-14.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ (13.0-17.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ (14.0-19.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ (15.0-22.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ (15.8-24.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ (16.6-28.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ (17.0-31.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 45-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.22 \\ (7.51-9.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (9.53-11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (12.0-14.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (13.7-17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ (15.5-20.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ (16.8-23.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.6 \\ (17.9-26.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ (18.8-29.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ (19.7-33.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.1 \\ (20.1-37.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 60-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.84 \\ (8.99-11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.4 \\ (11.3-13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.4 \\ (14.1-17.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (16.1-20.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20.8 \\ (18.1-24.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ (19.5-27.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ (20.7-30.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.0 \\ (21.7-34.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.5 \\ (22.7-39.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.3 \\ (23.2-43.1) \end{gathered}$ |

1 Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS)
Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is $5 \%$. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values
Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

## PF graphical

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves Latitude: $38.8379^{\circ}$, Longitude: $-121.3490^{\circ}$


| Average recurrence <br> interval <br> (years) |
| :---: |
| -1 |
| -2 |
| -5 |
| -10 |
| -25 |
| -50 |
| -100 |
| -200 |
| -500 |
| -1000 |



| Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5-min <br> 10-min <br> 15-min <br> $30-\mathrm{min}$ <br> $60-\mathrm{min}$ <br> 2-hr <br> 3-hr <br> 6-hr <br> 12-hr <br> 24-hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - 2-day } \\ & \text { - 3-day } \\ & \text { - 4-day } \\ & \text { - } 7 \text {-day } \\ & \text { — } 0 \text {-day } \\ & \text { - 20-day } \\ & \text { — } 30 \text {-day } \\ & \text { - } 60 \text {-day } \end{aligned}$ |

Maps \& aerials


Large scale terrain
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## US Department of Commerce <br> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration <br> National Weather Service <br> National Water Center <br> 1325 East West Highway <br> Silver Spring, MD 20910

Questions?: HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov
Disclaimer

## Plan Concept 0 Stormwater Ponds

| Type |  | Name (Slides) | Name Detail (Poster) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Critical Element | C\&D | Construction \& Demolition | 18.063408 |
| Critical Element | Composting | Composting Operations | 48.571575 |
| Critical Element | Landfill | Landfill Operations | 15.690817 |
| Critical Element | Public | Public Tip/HHW/Buyback/Reuse | 14.976759 |


| c= | $\mathrm{i}=$ (in inches) | $\mathrm{V}=$ (required) | $\mathrm{V}=$ (calculated) | A (true) $=$ | A (plan) $=$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 313327 | 313875 | 71320 | 70500 | 300 | 235 | 5 | 3 | 270 | 205 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 842520 | 843500 | 182650 | 181300 | 490 | 370 | 5 | 3 | 460 | 340 |
| 0.95 | 6.95 | 376063 | 377352 | 73460 | 72450 | 345 | 210 | 6 | 3 | 309 | 174 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 259786 | 262848 | 72670 | 72000 | 300 | 240 | 4 | 3 | 276 | 216 |

infiltration from NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2
100-year, 24-hour intensity for all facilities but landfil
1000-yr, 24-hour intensity for landfill (Class II)

A (plan) used for clearing and grubbing
A (true) used for liner material estimate

## Plan Concept 1 Stormwater Ponds

| Type |  | Name (Slides) | Name Detail (Poster) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | | Acres |
| :--- |
| Critical Element |
| C\&D | Construction \& Demolition $\quad 12.385376$


| c= | $\mathrm{i}=$ (in inches) | $\mathrm{V}=$ (required) | $\mathrm{V}=$ (calculated) | A (true) = A (plan)= |  | $\mathbf{L}_{1} \quad \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{H}_{1} \mathbf{S}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 214836 | 216768 | 60620 | 60000 | 300 | 200 | 4 | 3 | 276 | 176 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 842520 | 843500 | 182650 | 181300 | 490 | 370 | 5 | 3 | 460 | 340 |
| 0.95 | 6.95 | 2766746 | 2789532 | 493240 | 490500 | 900 | 545 |  | 3 | 864 | 509 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 261954 | 262848 | 72670 | 72000 | 300 | 240 |  | 3 | 276 | 216 |

infiltration from NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2
100 -year, 24 -hour intensity for all facilities but landfill
1000-yr, 24-hour intensity for landfill (Class II)

## Plan Concept 2 Stormwater Ponds

| Type |  | Name (Slides) | Name Detail (Poster) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | Acres | (Plition | 18.679478 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Element | C\&D | Construction \& Demolition | 48.571575 |
| Critical Element | Composting | Composting Operations | 216.505172 |
| Critical Element | Landfill | Landfill Operations | 15.141801 |


| c= | i= (in inches) | $\mathrm{V}=$ (required) | V= (calculated) | A (true) $=$ | A (plan) $=$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathbf{S}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 324013 | 328125 | 74340 | 73500 | 300 | 245 | 5 | 3 | 270 | 215 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 842520 | 843500 | 182650 | 181300 | 490 | 370 | 5 | 3 | 460 | 340 |
| 0.95 | 6.95 | 5188996 | 5202456 | 783950 | 780000 | 1000 | 780 | 7 | 3 | 958 | 738 |
| 0.95 | 5.03 | 262649 | 274368 | 75680 | 75000 | 300 | 250 |  | 3 | 276 | 226 |

infiltration from NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2 100-year, 24-hour intensity for all facilities but landfill
1000-yr, 24-hour intensity for landfill (Class II)

Appendix 4A-1

# Design Documentation Compost Pond Removal 

Design Assumptions

| Pond Area | 53200 sq ft <br>  <br>  <br> 1.22 AC |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Width | 280 ft | from Google Earth |
| Length | 190 ft | from Google Earth |
| Depth | 6 ft | assumed |
| Side Slope | $3: 1$ | horizontal to vertical |
|  |  |  |
| Volume to remove | 2 ft | below pond grades (to remove impacted soils) |
|  | 108100 cu ft |  |

5 ft pond depth (7 after removal of soils)

|  | $\mathrm{V}=$ | A (true) $=$ | A (plan) $=$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~W}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | S |  | $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~W}_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| in feet (cf, $\mathrm{ff}, \mathrm{ft})$ | 271032 | 54050 | 53200 | 280 | 190 | 6 | 3 |  | 244 | 154 |

Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation Special Permits and Allowances

## Permits List

Composting Area
C\&D
Public Drop Off

## Concept 0

Existing property
Existing property
Existing property

Landfill
Crossing

Existing property, 148 acres None

## Concept 1

Western property
Existing property
Western property
Existing/Eastern property, 348 acres, displaces high-value wetlands and vernal pools on eastern property

## Concept 2

Existing property
Existing property
Existing property
Existing property 148 acres, Western
poperty 216 acres, displaces wetland and
vernal pools on NW portion of western
property
Yes

|  |  |  | Notes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitting Costs | How Much | Timing | Concept 0 | Concept 1 | Concept 2 |
| Solid Waste Facility permitting (landfill) | Already covered in landfill modules tab under permitting line item (from Golder) | One time | Already covered in landfill modules tab | Already covered in landfill modules tab | Already covered in landfill modules tab |
| Solid Waste Facility permitting (compost) | $10 \%$ of total capital of compost. Assume stormwater permits for discharge already covered in landfill or this overall cost (don't look specifically at stormwater elements). | For simplicity, assume sigle expense, same year as first installation of permanent negative ASP | 10\% of compost (see timing) | 10\% of compost (see timing) | 10\% of compost (see timing) |
| Environmental/landuse/ local permitting | Dependent on the location of disturbed wetlands and vernal pools and the extent of high-value wetland/vernal pools. For development on the eastern property assume $2 \%$ of landfill capital (due to the extent of high-value wetland and vernal pools); for development on western property assume $1 \%$ of landfill capital (simplified by assuming only landfill is displacing wetlands) | Single expense, see year in notes (to the right) | None | $2 \%$ of total landfill capital cost applied in Year 8 (2 years before the landfill construction on eastern property) | 1\% of total landfill capital cost in Year 23 (2 years before the landfill construction on western property) |

Note: A general permitting line item (already in the CapEx) will cover other items not explicitly listed above

Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation
Wetlands Mitigation

| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wetland Impact |  | Wetland Mitigation |  |
| Wetland Type | Acreage | Ratio (X:1) | Acreage to Purchase | Cost |
| Vernal Pools | 3.20 | 3 | 9.61 | \$2,883,420 |
| Everything but Ag Ponds, Irrigated Wetland | 8.76 | 2 | 17.52 | \$5,257,020 |
| Ag Ponds, Irrigated Wetland | 1.33 | 1 | 1.33 | \$399,420 |
| TOTAL | 13.30 |  |  | \$8,539,860 |


| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetland Impact |  |  |  |  |
| Wetland Type | Acreage | Ratio ( |  | Cost |
| Vernal Pools | 1.33 | 3 | 3.99 | \$1,197,720 |
| Everything but Ag Ponds, Irrigated Wetland | 6.43 | 2 | 12.85 | \$3,855,360 |
| Ag Ponds, Irrigated Wetland | 1.33 | 1 | 1.33 | \$399,420 |
| TOTAL | 9.09 |  |  | \$5,452,500 |


| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetland Impact |  |  |  |  |
| Wetland Type | Acreage | Ratio ( |  | Cost |
| Vernal Pools | 0.30 | 3 | 0.91 | \$272,610 |
| Everything but Ag Ponds, Irrigated Wetland | 0.64 | 2 | 1.27 | \$382,200 |
| Ag Ponds, Irrigated Wetland | 0.00 | 1 | 0.00 | \$0 |
| TOTAL | 0.94 |  |  | \$654,810 |

Assumed Mitigation Pricer per acre
$\$ 300,000$

Per Jacobs biologist on 10/25/2018:
For the amount per acre, use this reference:
https://www.nfwf.org/ilf/Pages/home.aspx
$\$ 225 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 275 \mathrm{~K}$, in lieu fee program. First must go to mitigation banks, they may charge more than the in lieu program, but also can get better rate for large sum of credits. Market driven, we have a larger buy so have ability to negotiate with mitigation banks.

Based on this use reasonable of $\$ 300 \mathrm{~K}$ per acre.

Note: This includes Critical Elements and Necessary Supporting Elements

Plan Concept 1 Wetlands

| Wetland ID | Acres | Wetland Type | Concept Element Category | Element Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW-42 | 0.0975 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-43 | 0.0413 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-44 | 0.0082 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-45 | 0.0019 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-46 | 0.0013 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-47 | 0.1179 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-48 | 0.0159 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-49 | 0.0528 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-33 | 0.0460 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-34 | 0.0088 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-35 | 0.0028 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SP-1 | 0.2367 | Seasonal Wetland (Pond) | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-36 | 0.0638 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-37 | 0.0991 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-50 | 0.0043 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-38 | 0.0294 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-51 | 0.0501 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-39 | 0.0530 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-52 | 0.0034 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-53 | 0.0059 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| S-03 | 1.5228 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-43 | 0.0393 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-58 | 0.2008 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-44 | 0.0885 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-45 | 0.0484 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-59 | 0.0459 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-04 | 0.0148 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-46 | 0.0596 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-47 | 0.0783 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-60 | 0.1157 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-61 | 0.0318 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-48 | 0.0561 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-05 | 0.0929 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-49 | 0.0606 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-06 | 0.0888 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-50 | 0.3172 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-07 | 0.0297 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-51 | 0.1160 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-08 | 0.6379 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-65 | 0.0342 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-66 | 0.1785 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-53 | 0.1329 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-68 | 0.0347 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-09 | 3.2274 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-71 | 0.0341 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-72 | 0.0956 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-73 | 0.0388 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-74 | 0.0103 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-75 | 0.0211 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-76 | 0.0087 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-77 | 0.0038 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |

Plan Concept 1 Wetlands

| Wetland ID | Acres | Wetland Type | Concept Element Category | Element Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW-78 | 0.0116 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-79 | 0.0037 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-80 | 0.0026 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-81 | 0.0036 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-82 | 0.0037 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-83 | 0.0507 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-84 | 0.1637 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-85 | 0.0111 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-86 | 0.0879 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-87 | 0.0741 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-88 | 0.3618 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-89 | 0.0415 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-90 | 0.0265 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-92 | 0.0038 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-56 | 0.0113 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-57 | 0.1073 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-10 | 0.2646 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-58 | 0.0627 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-11 | 0.1561 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-59 | 0.2209 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-12 | 0.3427 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-60 | 0.0278 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-61 | 0.0062 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-62 | 0.0404 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-63 | 0.0086 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-64 | 0.0099 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-65 | 0.1090 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-67 | 0.7839 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-68 | 0.4604 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-69 | 0.0083 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| AP-01 | 1.3314 | Agricultural Pond | Necessary Supporting Elements | Entrance |
| SW-96 | 0.0177 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-97 | 0.0153 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-70 | 0.0155 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-71 | 0.0315 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-98 | 0.0037 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-99 | 0.0104 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |

Plan Concept 2 Wetlands

| Wetland ID | Acres | Wetland Type | Concept Element Category | Element Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW-12 | 0.2438 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-1 | 0.0034 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-2 | 0.0146 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-3 | 0.0028 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-4 | 0.0072 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-5 | 0.0036 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-6 | 0.0289 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-7 | 0.0026 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-8 | 0.0051 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-9 | 0.0053 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-10 | 0.0087 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-13 | 0.0361 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-14 | 0.0018 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-15 | 0.0229 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-16 | 0.0030 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-17 | 0.0025 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-18 | 0.0069 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-19 | 0.0023 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-20 | 0.0080 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-21 | 0.0050 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-22 | 0.0080 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-23 | 0.0013 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-24 | 0.0200 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-25 | 0.0099 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-26 | 0.1111 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-27 | 0.0052 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-28 | 0.0045 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-29 | 0.0084 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-30 | 0.0090 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-31 | 0.0498 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-33 | 0.0049 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-34 | 0.0206 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-35 | 0.0074 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-36 | 0.0217 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-37 | 0.0112 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Maint |
| SW-38 | 0.0445 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Maint |
| SW-40 | 0.0104 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | Landfill |
| S-01 | 0.0071 | Swale | Critical Element | Landfill |
| SW-42 | 0.0975 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-43 | 0.0413 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-44 | 0.0082 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-45 | 0.0019 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-46 | 0.0013 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-47 | 0.1179 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-48 | 0.0159 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-49 | 0.0528 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SP-1 | 0.2367 | Seasonal Wetland (Pond) | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-50 | 0.0043 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-51 | 0.0501 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-52 | 0.0034 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-53 | 0.0059 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-55 | 0.1193 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-56 | 0.0043 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |

Plan Concept 2 Wetlands

| Wetland ID | Acres | Wetland Type | Concept Element Category | Element Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW-57 | 0.0050 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| SW-91 | 0.0080 | Seasonal Wetland | Critical Element | C\&D |
| S-13 | 4.8824 | Swale | Critical Element | SW Pond |
| VP-01 | 0.0061 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-02 | 0.0067 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-03 | 0.0147 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-04 | 0.0104 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-05 | 0.0026 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-06 | 0.0048 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-07 | 0.0163 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-08 | 0.0050 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-09 | 0.0137 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-10 | 0.0649 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-11 | 0.0033 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-12 | 0.0173 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-13 | 0.0061 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-14 | 0.0158 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-15 | 0.0327 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-16 | 0.0354 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-17 | 0.0283 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-18 | 0.0422 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-19 | 0.0027 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-20 | 0.0044 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-24 | 0.0050 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-25 | 0.0147 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-26 | 0.0043 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-27 | 0.0100 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-28 | 0.0082 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-29 | 0.0063 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-31 | 0.5498 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-32 | 0.0729 | Vernal Pool | Critical Element | Landfill |
| VP-33 | 0.0460 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-34 | 0.0088 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-35 | 0.0028 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-36 | 0.0638 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-37 | 0.0991 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-38 | 0.0294 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-39 | 0.0530 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-40 | 0.0156 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| VP-41 | 0.0076 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | SW Pond |
| AP-01 | 1.3314 | Agricultural Pond | Critical Element | Landfill |

Plan Concept 0 Wetlands

| Wetland ID | Acres | Wetland Type | Concept Element Category | Element Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SW-42 | 0.0975 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-43 | 0.0413 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-44 | 0.0082 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-45 | 0.0019 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-46 | 0.0013 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-47 | 0.1179 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-48 | 0.0159 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-49 | 0.0528 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-33 | 0.0460 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-34 | 0.0088 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-35 | 0.0028 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SP-1 | 0.2367 | Seasonal Wetland (Pond) | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-36 | 0.0638 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-37 | 0.0991 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-50 | 0.0043 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-38 | 0.0294 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-51 | 0.0501 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| VP-39 | 0.0530 | Vernal Pool | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-52 | 0.0034 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |
| SW-53 | 0.0059 | Seasonal Wetland | Necessary Supporting Elements | Stormwater Pond |

*Note: All Concept 0 wetlands are in the southern triangle area of the site
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Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation Site Beautification

## Plan Concept 1 Quantities

Site Beautification (vegetation line):


Plan Concept 1 Quantities


Site Beautification (new fencing and gates):



Plan Concept 2 Quantities
Site Beautification (vegetation):


Plan Concept 2 Quantities


Plan Concept 2 Quantities
Site Beautification (fencing):


Plan Concept 2 Quantities


Appendix 4A-1
Design Documentation Site-wide Demolition and Disposal

Site-wide demolition:


From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:48 PM
To: McRae, Jennifer/SJC; Lopez, Lyndsey/PDX
Subject:
FW : another question

Good news, looks like demo of the $60 \%$ or whatever you used is good, but should be for all options I believe, as it is not level with the good pad. Don't use the repair part, assume we demo on all 3

From: Keith Schmidt [mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:41 PM
To: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com](mailto:Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: another question
If you want them on the same plane (elevation), then you would have to demo because they are not close (ie. $3-6$ difference). If the location/elevation was fine, then I would probably spend $\$ 150-200 \mathrm{k}$ to repair the surface as needed.


The area I've marked for demo has seen a lot of repairs and wear, and it would need probably $\$ 150-200 \mathrm{k}$ in repair to make the surface condition good again.

Keith J. Schmidt, P.E. | Senior Civil Engineer | Western Placer Waste Management Authority | (Mail) 11476 "C" Ave. Auburn, CA 95603 | (Physical) 3033 Fiddyment Rd. Roseville, CA 95747 | (916) 543-3986 (Direct) | (916) 543-3990 (Fax)

From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC [mailto:Janet.Goodrich@jacobs.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:27 PM
To: Keith Schmidt
Subject: RE: another question
This may make more sense, trying to decide if this area needs demolition before construction or if we can assume this pad stays. See the red part.


From: Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 1:25 PM
To: Keith Schmidt [KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov](mailto:KSchmidt@placer.ca.gov)
Subject: another question
Just to verify. Is the existing C\&D area on the NEWer, S, good pad, meaning we can keep it or is it old pad that needs to be demolished regardless?


NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

Appendix 4A-1

## Design Documentation Site Utilities

Site Utilities:


Plan Concept 2 Quantities
Site Utilities:


Appendix 4A-2
Capital Cost Estimates

## Appendix 4A-2. Capital Cost Estimates

This subappendix contains the details of the capital cost workbooks that were prepared by the consulting team. Capital costs are organized by Plan Concept and then by site element.

The capital costs presented in these estimates are for initial build only; capital replacement costs are tallied in the Present Value Analysis (Section 4).

## Appendix 4A-2 Capital Cost Estimates Plan Concept 0

Rough Order of Magnitude (Class 4) Cost Opinion
Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Roseville, CA
Date: Oct-30-2018

| Description | Qty | Unit | Unit Cost w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee | Total Cost w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee | Subtotals w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan Concept 0 Critical Elements |  |  |  |  | \$319,213,050 |
| Public Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - Roadways | 1 | LS | \$1,799,189 | \$1,799,189 |  |
| Public Area - Buyback ( 220 x $230{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$2,655,780 | \$2,655,780 |  |
| Public Area-HHW ( $300{ }^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$1,787,519 | \$1,787,519 |  |
| Public Area - Reuse Store Area (155' $\times 140$ ') | 1 | LS | \$1,909,078 | \$1,909,078 |  |
| Public Area - Tipping Area | 1 | LS | \$8,856,534 | \$8,856,534 |  |
| C\&D |  |  |  |  |  |
| C\&D - C\&D Pad ( $1000{ }^{\prime} \times 530^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$10,175,809 | \$10,175,809 |  |
| C\&D - Processing Line | 1 | LS | \$7,922,881 | \$7,922,881 |  |
| Composting |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost - Green Waste Pad ( $\left.210^{\prime} \times 225^{\prime}\right)$ | 1 | LS | \$1,404,545 | \$1,404,545 |  |
| Compost - Wood Waste Pad (115' $\times 225$ ) | 1 | LS | \$769,156 | \$769,156 |  |
| Compost - Outdoor Receiving Area ( $90^{\prime} \times 200{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$2,462,377 | \$2,462,377 |  |
| Compost - Screening and Product Storage Pad ( $400{ }^{\prime} \times 350{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$5,932,451 | \$5,932,451 |  |
| Compost - Temporary Positive ASP System |  | LS | \$470,829 | \$470,829 |  |
| Compost - Active Composting System (205' x 880') | 1 | LS | \$14,811,623 | \$14,811,623 |  |
| Compost - Biofilter ( $135^{\prime} \times 880{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$5,122,623 | \$5,122,623 |  |
| Compost - ASP Curing System ( $185{ }^{\prime} \times 880$ ') | 1 | LS | \$12,196,234 | \$12,196,234 |  |
| Compost - Dedicated Storm Water Ponds | 1 | LS | \$1,057,713 | \$1,057,713 |  |
| Compost - Miscellaneous Equipment | 1 | LS | \$12,409 | \$12,409 |  |
| Landfill |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stockpile Relocation | 1 | LS | \$40,091,688 | \$40,091,688 |  |
| Landfill Construction | 1 | LS | \$54,214,085 | \$54,214,085 |  |
| Unlined Area Waste Excavation | 1 | LS | \$102,344,916 | \$102,344,916 |  |
| Landfill Closure | 1 | LS | \$43,215,610 | \$43,215,610 |  |
| Plan Concept 0 Necessary Supporting Elements |  |  |  |  | \$20,114,766 |
| Admin |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Staff Bldg (5,000 sf or $50{ }^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$6,310,623 | \$6,310,623 |  |
| Admin Staff Parking (10,000 sf) | 1 | LS | \$74,376 | \$74,376 |  |
| Main Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Entrance - Roadways | 1 | LS | \$802,788 | \$802,788 |  |
| Main Entrance - Scale/Building | 1 | LS | \$1,548,557 | \$1,548,557 |  |
| Western Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance - Roadways | 1 | LS | \#N/A | Not included in concept |  |
| Western Entrance - Scale/Building | 1 | LS | \#N/A | Not included in concept |  |
| Overpass |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overpass | 1 | LS | \#N/A | Not included in concept |  |
| Recovered Materials Storage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recyclables Storage Building | 1 | LS | \$8,281,730 | \$8,281,730 |  |
| Primary Maintenance Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Maintenance - Maintenance Area (250' x 300') | 1 | LS | \$1,842,538 | \$1,842,538 |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Maintenance Area ( $250^{\prime} \times 300^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \#N/A | Not included in concept |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Staff Bldg and Parking Area (100' x 220') | 1 | LS | \#N/A | Not included in concept |  |
| Stormwater Pond |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Storm Water Ponds | 1 | LS | \$1,254,153 | \$1,254,153 |  |
| Plan Concept 0 Non-Critical Elements |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| Main Site HHW Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Concept 0 Existing Features to be Removed |  |  |  |  | \$217,629 |
| Compost Pond Removal Compost Pond Removal | 1 | LS | \$217,629 | \$217,629 |  |
| Plan Concept 0 General Elements |  |  |  |  | \$12,704,494 |
| Special Permits and Allow |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Permits | 1 | LS | \$4,423,996 | \$4,423,996 |  |
| Geotechnical Investigations | 1 | LS | \$60,000 | \$60,000 |  |
| Wetlands Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wetlands Mitigation | 1 | LS | \$987,453 | \$987,453 |  |
| Site Beautification |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Beautification | 1 | LS | \$889,230 | \$889,230 |  |
| Site-wide Demolition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site-wide Demolition and Disposal | 1 | LS | \$2,866,952 | \$2,866,952 |  |
| Site Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Site Utilities | 1 | LS | \$3,061,096 | \$3,061,096 |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS | 1 | LS | \$415,766 | \$415,766 |  |
| Total Probable Cost |  |  |  | \$352,249,939 | \$352,249,939 |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$352,250,000 |
|  |  |  | Low Range | -30\% | \$246,575,000 |
|  |  |  | High Range | 50\% | \$528,375,000 |

Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan

## Roseville, CA

Date: Oct-30-2018

| Common Construction Unit Rates | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Unit | Variable | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  |  |
| Strip topsoil (12" deep) and stockpile onsite | \$1.30 | SY | topsoil_strip | Assumes stockpile along west property boundary, scraper haul |
| Fine grade site, machine | \$1.20 | SY | finegrade | MEANS 312216 |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2' deep) | \$3.90 | CY | common_ex | MEANS 3320 15, Assume stockpile along west property boundary |
| Subgrade preparation | \$1.30 | SY | subgrade_prep |  |
| Granular sub-base (3" minus, 6 " thick) | \$7.30 | SY | gran_subbase | CALTRANS Historical 260203 |
| Granular base (DGA, 12 "thick) | \$36.00 | CY | gran_base | CALTRANS Historical 260303 |
| Curb and gutter | \$14.00 | LF | curb_gutter | MEANS 321613 |
| Asphalt paving (9" thick) | \$65.00 | SY | asphalt | CH2M estimate |
| Roadway/Perimeter Ditching | \$1.50 | LF | ditching | Grader/dozer work |
| Environmental Protection |  |  |  |  |
| Clay liner ( 0.5 m thick) | \$3.40 | SF | clay_liner | CH2M Estimate \$55/cy, 20" thick |
| Groundwater monitoring wells | \$7,500.00 | LS | GW_wells | CH2M Estimate ( 3 wells to 30 ft , casing protector) |
| Synthetic pond liner (supply and install) | \$6.30 | sy | HDPE_liner | CH2M Historical, 40 mil |
| Buildings and Concrete |  |  |  |  |
| Strip Footing ( $2^{\prime}$ 'thick, $3^{\prime}$ wide) | \$176.00 | LF | strip_footing | 0.22 cy per LF |
| Push Wall Footing ( 2 'thick, $8^{\prime}$ wide) | \$570.00 | LF | push_wall_footing | 0.6 cy per LF |
| Push Walls (12' high, 12' thick at top, 18" thick at base) | \$600.00 | LF | push_wall | 0.6 cy per LF |
| Slab-on-Grade concrete floor (8") | \$12.00 | SF | concrete_slab | after verbal discussions with local contractor |
| Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Sanitary sewer connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Electrical tie-in to transformer | \$75.00 | LF | buried_elec | 450 KVA total connected load/ 300 KVA operating demand |
| Telecom connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Natural gas connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Markups and Fees | Rate | Unit | Variable | Notes |
| Contractor Mob and General Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office | 5.0\% |  | CHO | Assumes multi-trade GC does most all of the work |
| Contractor General Conditions | 8.0\% |  | CGC | Assumes 12 month construction schedule |
| Contractor Fee | 8.0\% |  | CF |  |
| Project Bond/lnsurance | 2.6\% |  | PBI |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization | 3.0\% |  | Mob_Demob |  |
| Contingencies: |  |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design | 25\% |  | design_cntngy |  |
| Market adjustment factor | 5\% |  | MAF | Construction market is very busy |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering design and municipal permitting fee | 8.0\% |  | Eng_fee |  |
| Construction management fee | 8.0\% |  | CM_fee |  |
| Estimate Ranges: |  |  |  |  |
| Low Range | -30\% |  | low_range |  |
| High Range | 50\% |  | high_range |  |

Notes:
The cost estimates are based on 1st quarter 2016 rates from the CALTRANS historical costs (concrete and import fill), MEANS (earthwork), CH2M historical values, Golder historical values, and calculated values where indicated. Cost estimates are largely based on 2016/2017 values because cost development commenced in 2017, prior to Board meeting in Dec 2017. A CH2M/Jacobs cost estimator has been involved in the review process
2 These AACEI Classification Class 4 cost estimates are assumed to represent the actual total installed cost within the range of -30 percent to +50 percent (\% based on AACEI) of the cost indicated.
3 The estimate is prepared with due diligence with the available information and under normal operations. However this should be subject to market demands and circumstances. The possibility of securing a competive bid process is questionable and should be taken into consideration
4 Factors that may affect the estimate on the following issues include escalation, premium on labor, engineering
5 The final cost do the project will be subject to labor rates, material cost, actual site conditions, availability of labor, material and equipment, final project scope, final project schedule (flexible or fixed), public consultation and input, and other mitigating factors (e.g. timing of construction and award). As a result, the final project cost may defer from the presented budget. Due to facts mentioned, the funding of the project should be carefully reviewed prior to establishing the final budget.
6 It is assumed that there is no hazardeous materail to remove and dispose
7 It is assumed that the work will performed under a 40-hr, normal workweek schedule. No acceleration costs included..
8 It's assumed that all materials are readibly available at no premium costs, that delivery is normal costs, and the contractor has adequate laydown and site facilities.

## Exclusions/Qualifications:

Equipment specifications not identified
Federal and state sales tax are included in unit rates.
3 Municipal fees \& licences not included
4 As the design is at conceptual stage, the tie-ins to existing equipment and facilities have not being identified
5 Rock excavation not included
6 Dewatering is not included
7 Escalation is not included. Values are in 1st Qtr 2016 value



| Description | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { Cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cosen }}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8D-C8D Pad ( $1000^{\circ} \times 530^{\prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  | \$5,330,082 |  | \$10,175,809 |  |
| Earthorks, Pads and Roadways Strip opsoil (12 deep) and stockpile onsite | 55.889 | sY | \$1.30 | 576.56 |  | \$146,155 |  |  |
| Fine grade site, , machine | ${ }_{56,889}^{50,69}$ | sr | \$1.20 | ${ }_{\text {S70,667 }}$ |  | \$134,912 |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2'deep) | 50,889 | cr ${ }_{\text {cr }}^{\text {sr }}$ | ¢ | \$70,556 |  | S146,155 |  |  |
| Granular sub-asase (3" minus, $6^{4 \prime}$ thick) | ${ }_{0}$ | cr | \$36.00 | ${ }_{50}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Granular base (DGA, $12^{\prime \prime}$ thick) | 2,181 | Cr | 836.00 | \$78.519 |  | \$149,902 |  |  |
| Curb and outter ${ }_{\text {Asphat }}$ (9xing thick) | ${ }_{58,889}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { sr }}$ | $\$ 14.00$ 865.00 | ${ }_{\text {S3, }}^{\text {s22,785 }}$ |  | s7,307,732 |  |  |
| RooadwayPerimeter Ditching Alowance for aspholt removal | \% | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LS }}$ |  | so |  | So |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overang Roof $\begin{gathered}\text { Overimang with structural column support (no wals) }\end{gathered}$ | 20,000 | sF | \$60.00 | \$1,200,000 |  | \$2,290,954 |  | Assume cover for $100^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ portion of C\&D pad to shield processing line from rain; not a building, just an open-air roof structure |
| Environmental Protection Clay liner | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { sF }}{\text { LF }}$ | $\$ 3.40$ $\$ 7.500 .00$ | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C8D- - 40 Pocessing $L$ Line |  |  |  |  | \$4,150,000 |  | s7,92,881 |  |
| Processing line, includuing shipping, instalation, and statup | 1 | EA | \$4,000,000.00 | \$4,000,000 |  | \$7,63,512 |  | Bukk Handiling Quote, Sept 2018 |
| Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water connection | ${ }^{2}, 000$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { L }}$ | S0.00 50.00 | \$0 |  | so |  | Assume can use for rocoess water and potable use |
| Sane | 2,000 | LF | ${ }_{\text {\$75.00 }}$ | \$150,000 |  | \$286,369 |  | Assume electrical supply is present for exising C\&D and can use this with extension |
| Telecom connection Natural gas oonnection | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | \$80.00 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | So ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| Subtalal |  |  |  | \$9,480,082 |  | S18,098,690 | S18,098,690 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Contractor Markup and General Conditions }}{\text { Contracoro tom onfrice }}$ |  |  |  |  | \$2,521,702 |  | 10,00,000 |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{8.0 \%}^{5.0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | ${ }^{8.0 \%}$ | \$758,407 |  |  |  |  |
| Project Bondl/ nsurance |  |  | 2.6\% | ${ }_{\text {S226,482 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$284,402 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$12,001,784 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$3,60,535 |  |  |  |
| Fracilit design alowences based on level of design | 1 | ${ }_{\text {Per }}^{\text {PER }}$ | ${ }_{5 \%}^{25 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PeR }}^{\text {PeR }}$ | 0\% | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S600,089 } \\ \text { so }}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$2,496,371 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for feotechnical investigation | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { S30.00.00 } \\ \text { S0.00 }}]{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{50}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Engineering design and municipal permiting fee | 1 | ${ }_{\text {Per }}^{\text {Per }}$ | 8.0\% | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{\$ 1,248,185}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management fee |  | PER | 8.0\% | \$1,248,185 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Low Range } \\ \text { High Range }}}{\text { Len }}$ | -30\% | $\$ 18,099,000$ $\$ 27,149,000$ |  |  |  |



Roseville, CA
Date: Oct-30-2018

| Dosesifition | ay | Unit | $\underset{\substack{\text { Unit } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { coser }}$ | $\substack{\text { Toat } \\ \text { cost }}$ | Subtorals |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compost Temporay Postitive ASP Ssstem |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{524,620}$ |  | S470,829 |  |
| Aeation laterasheeseder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{15}^{200}$ | ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {LF }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s90.588 } \\ 55,57}}$ |  |  |
|  |  | EA |  | cisisize |  | (sa3039 |  | Hoper |
| Aeration riser piping ( 6 " SDR17, 26 per lateral, 12 " per riser) In-slab SS aeration grates (supply) | ${ }_{910}^{910}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Les }}{\text { E }}$ | (ssise | cisisisi |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 45,87 \\ & \$ 66949 \\ & \$ 692 \end{aligned}$ |  | HDPE quotes received from Wolseley 12/9/16 Historical Price |
| Latearaleadees wediding and instalaion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30\%\% ofauimenert ost |
| Aeation Menito and and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS manifold (24") <br> (1 per aeration zone) <br> S my fitting and 45 degree fitlin | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LeA } \\ \text { EAB } \\ \hline \text { EA } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 13,000 \\ & 575.500 \\ & 5,375 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\$ 24,819$ $\$ 14,318$ $\$ 6.25$ |  | Ecco Supply Quote, converted to $\$$ US at 1.2 exchange rate GMT quote Mar-13-2016 <br> Ecc Suply Qute converted to SUS at 12 exchange rate |
|  | ${ }_{4}^{200}$ | ${ }_{\text {LF }}^{\text {LF }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \text { ST50,000 }}}$ | cistisiono |  | ¢ |  | Hisiorial Oest - Crs |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\text {EA }}^{\text {EA }}$ |  | cis |  |  |  |  |
| SS wye-fitting and 45 degree fitting VFD (supply) | $!$ | ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {EA }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { S} 9.050 \\ 5050 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\substack{\$ 1,250 \\ \$ 0 \\ \$ 0}$ |  | Ecco Supply Quote, converted to \$US at 1.2 exchange rate incl in I\&C |
|  |  |  |  |  | s7,756,39 |  | S14,811,623 |  |
|  | 22.045 | s\% | ${ }^{51.30}$ | 520.59 |  | ${ }^{549,749}$ |  |  |
|  | $\underbrace{2}_{\substack{20.045 \\ 1,085}}$ | cros | Sti. |  |  | $\underbrace{\text { S }}_{\substack{\text { S45,922 } \\ \text { S11,55 }}}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{20,045}$ | ${ }_{\text {cr }}^{\text {cr }}$ | ( | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S26,059 } \\ \text { so }}}^{\text {S20, }}$ |  | S499799 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{7}^{722}$ | $\substack { \text { cre } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { cr }{ \text { cre } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { cr } } } \end{subarray}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (e) | ${ }^{20.045}$ | ¢ | cos |  |  |  |  | Free pauig beause ssphat o o |
| Peimeter Wals nad fior |  |  |  | 5336000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{40,00 \\ 470}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { cie }}}{\substack{\text { che }}}$ | cistision |  |  | cis |  |  |
| Araion haeasasheader |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aeration header piping ( $18^{\prime \prime}$ SDR17, 5 zones, $40^{\prime}$ per zone) Allowance for misc header fittings (3 per zone) | 200 415 4725 |  |  | cism |  | (istisi |  | HDPE quotes received from Wolseley 12/9/16 Engineer estimate |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{4725 \\ 980}}^{\substack{170}}$ | 唇 |  | cis |  |  |  | Horeme |
| Aeration riser piping ( 6 " SDR17, 26 per lateral, 12" per riser) In-slab SS aeration grates (supply) <br> Latera/header welding and installation | $\underbrace{910}_{1}$ |  |  | cis |  |  |  | HDPE quotes received from Wolseley 12/9/16 Historical Price <br> 30\% of equipment cost |
| Aeation Marifid and Fans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{200}$ | Le |  | Sis, |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{200}$ | $\stackrel{\text { EA }}{\text { Le }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{4}$ | Lse |  |  |  | cisint |  | ERyineer esimate |
|  | 1 | ¢ |  |  |  | cis |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { ea }}{\text { cis }}$ | Sis.on |  |  | S25,365 |  |  |
| Instumen and Controls |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5124093}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }_{\text {EA }}^{\text {EA }}$ |  | ¢ 53.250 |  | Stios |  | Reoemp probe @ ind 100 twiwe, insonotal ost |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s13,746 }}$ |  | cost |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{15}$ | EA | Sissoo |  |  | Stile |  |  |
|  | 200 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Lis } \\ \text { Le }}}^{\text {cos }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\text {EA }}$ |  | Stis |  | Stis |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { asp }}$ - -same as aspl | 1 | $\stackrel{15}{15}$ | $\$ 1,221,826.28$ $\$ 1,221,826.28$ | \$1,221,826 |  | \$2,332,623 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uuily Conenetions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { L }}$ | Sos | som |  | so |  |  |
|  | 0 | $\stackrel{4}{\text { L }}$ | (isso. | (so |  | so |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | S2,683.27 |  | 55,122.63 |  |
| chen | 13220 13200 10 | sv | ${ }_{\substack{\text { si, } \\ 800}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (13200 | cic |  | Stis.00 |  | (33.241 |  |  |
|  | (13200 | cos | (isteo |  |  | (382761 |  |  |
|  | 489 | $\stackrel{\text { cre }}{\substack{\text { cre } \\ \text { L }}}$ | cisco | Siltsoo |  | ${ }_{\substack{533601}}^{\text {sin }}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{13,200}$ | $\stackrel{\text { sit }}{\substack{\text { LV }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Sl }}^{\text {S1,782000 }}$ |  |  |  | Assume concrete eaving beause ssphat to atalued for compost toeations. |
|  | ${ }^{80}$ | EA | s.00 | so |  | so |  | S150block $\mathbf{8 2 5 b}$ bock placement |
| Allunane tor mists sambers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | ${ }_{\text {Lis }}$ | Sose | so |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { so } \\ \text { so }}}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{L}{L}$ |  | Stis. |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{40}^{200}$ | 正 | (sission | (issis |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\substack{4.200 \\ 4200}_{\substack{0}}$ | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  | HDPE uvoeses reeived foom Wossely 12916 |
| cill | 4, 4 | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.444 |  | S25.500000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stoff material |
| HDPE drain line (4") to a/g leachate tank with sand bedding U/G fibreglass storage tank (incl bured concrete supports, straps, backfill) Allowance for float level/strobe alarm | : | $\underset{\substack{\text { Lis } \\ \text { Lis }}}{\text { Lis }}$ | So. | ¢0 |  | so |  |  |
| Ss |  |  | cois | ${ }_{50}$ |  | so |  | Ander |
| Biofilter 2 - same as Biofilter 1 <br> Biofilter 3 - same as Biofilter 1 <br> Biofiter 4-same as Biofit | 1 | (is | 206,491.64 \$206,491.64 206,491.64 | $\$ 206,492$ \$206,492 |  | \$394,219 $\$ 394,219$ $\$ 394,219$ \$394,2 |  |  |
| Enviommenala Procection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { chay lines } \\ \text { Grunuwater montioring wels }}}{ }$ | $i$ | $\underset{\text { cis }}{\substack{\text { sF }}}$ | ST,50000 | s\%.500 |  | Stian |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | s, 5 ,88, 330 |  | \$12,19, 2 , 24 |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{81,30}^{51,30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18,099 | cos |  | (in |  | cistisi |  |  |
|  | 18009 | cr |  | 52, |  | Stiso |  |  |
| cien | ${ }^{670}$ | ck |  | cis ${ }^{\text {S24,19 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{18,089}$ | $\stackrel{\text { sr }}{\substack{\text { SY }}}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { s.13,50 } \\ s .150}}$ | ${ }_{\text {s2 }}^{52}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { s4, } \\ \text { sos }}}{\text { sin }}$ |  |  |
| Aspl: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{19,900 \\ 396}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { sF }}}{\text { LF }}$ | stivoo | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { S225,200 } \\ 52250}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Asp peimeineer waveris | ${ }_{396}^{336}$ |  | Scoioc |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Stas, }}^{54}$ |  |  |  | HopE aubes reeived foom Wossey 129916 |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{15 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { EA }}{\text { E/ }}$ | ¢ | cis |  | cis |  |  |
| Als | (700 |  | (ist | cosk |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hismoran |
| Aeation Maniold and Eans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  | Stisoo |  |  |  | GMT quote Mar-13-2016 <br> Ecco Supply Quote, converted to \$US at 1.2 exchange rate |
|  | $\stackrel{200}{2}$ |  | Stis | Stion |  | (sitich |  | Historical Cost - CTS <br> Engineer estimate |
| Pestive aeatio fan (suppy) | ! | ${ }_{\text {EA }}^{\text {EA }}$ | Sspomo | ssomo |  | S17,182 |  | Aisss Suute (NYE 2061). |
|  | : |  | cissision | so |  | so |  |  |
| Laiearineadefran insalalion |  |  | S4,69300 | S4,693 |  | s8.50 |  | $20 \%$ oreauipment ost |
| Mstument nend Contross |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{0}^{10}$ | ${ }_{\text {EA }}^{\text {EA }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{58305000 \\ \text { siscoo }}}$ | cisisiso |  |  |  |  |
| Allunene ora |  |  | S7,20000 | St,20 |  | ${ }^{13,746}$ |  | Historical cost (3 days 2.2 man crem) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alowance for misc fittings <br> Underground precast leachate sump ( 30 " $\times 30$ " $\times 42^{\prime \prime}$ deep with cover) <br> SS submersible pump ( 1 hp ) with flex hose connection | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 15 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LF } \\ & \text { EA } \\ & \text { LS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,283 \\ & \$ 12148 \\ & \$ 688 \\ & \$ 2,64 \\ & \$ 2, \end{aligned}$ |  | istoric estimate <br> Historical Price |

Roseville, CA
Date: Oct-30-2018

| Dessription | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { Cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ | Total cost | btotal | Total Cost w/ <br> Markup, Cont., \& Fee | Subtotals wl <br> Markup, Cont., \& Fee | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aboveground leachate transfer piping (4" PVC) Aboveground HDPE leachate tank (10,000 gal) Lateral/header/fan installation | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LF } \\ \text { EA } \\ \text { LS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.00 \\ \substack{57,50000 \\ 52,309.40} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4900 \\ \substack{47500 \\ \hline 2,200} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Historical Price Historical Price 20\% of equipment cost |
| ASP 2 - same as ASP1 ASP 3 - same as ASP1 ASP 4 - same as ASP1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ls } \\ & \text { LS } \\ & \text { Ls } \end{aligned}$ | \$947,439.44 \$947,439.44 \$947,439.44 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 947,439 \\ & \$ 947,439 \end{aligned}$ $\$ 947,439$ |  | \$1,808,783 \$1,808,783 \$1,808,783 |  |  |
| Utility Connections <br> Potable water connection anitary sewer connection Telecom connection Natural gas connection | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 750 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{LF} \\ & \mathrm{LF} \\ & \mathrm{LF} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{LF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { So.00} \\ & 50.00 \\ & \text { s75.00 } \\ & \text { So.00 } \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { so } \\ \text { so } \\ \text { s56.250 } \\ \$ 0 \\ \$ 0 \\ \text { so } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { so } \\ \text { so } \\ \text { s107,388 } \\ \text { so } \\ \text { so } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Environmental Protection Clay liner <br> Groundwater monitoring wells | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { SF }}{\text { LF }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S3,40 } \\ \$ 7,50.00 \end{gathered}$ | s7,500 |  | S14,318 |  |  |
| Compost - Dedicated Storm Water Ponds |  |  |  |  | \$554,030 |  | \$1,057,713 |  |
| Pond Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Source: Pon } \\ & \text { year storm } \end{aligned}$ |
| Project Management <br> Desig | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { LS }}$ | $\$ 15,000.00$ \$100,000.00 | $\$ 15,000$ \$100,00 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S2,637 } \\ \$ 190,913}}$ |  |  |
| Mob and Demob | 1 | Ls | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$28,637 }}$ |  |  |
| Unload Geosynthetics | 1 | Ls | \$20,000.00 | \$220,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{538,183}$ |  |  |
| Claering and Grubing | 20,144 | sY | \$1.30 | \$226,188 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$44,996 }}$ |  |  |
| Excavaion $\begin{aligned} & \text { HPPE Double-Sided Textured Geomembrane }\end{aligned}$ | 312241 182,50 | $\mathrm{Sr}_{\mathrm{Cr}}^{\mathrm{Cr}}$ | \$2.50 $\$ 1.60$ | ¢ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$149,106 } \\ \$ 557,924}}{\text { S }}$ |  |  |
| Environmental Protection Clay liner Groundwater monitoring wells | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { SF }}{\text { LS }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.40 \\ 57,500.00 \end{gathered}$ | s7,500 |  | (14,318 |  |  |
| Compost- Miscellaneous Equipment |  |  |  |  | S6,500 |  | \$12,409 |  |
| Weather station (roof mounted ontripod) Aboversuoud 2 2-walled 9500 fuel storage tankpump | 1 | ${ }_{\text {EA }}^{\text {EA }}$ | S11.5000000 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S1,500 } \\ \text { so }}}^{\text {coin }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{52,864 \\ \text { so }}}^{\text {col }}$ |  |  |
|  | 1 | Ls <br> EA | S5.000.00 | S55000 |  | 59.546 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$17,637.074 | S17.637.074 | 533.677.432 | S33671432 |  |
| Contractor Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | \$4,691,462 |  | \% |  |
| Contractor Home Office |  |  | 5.0\% | \$881,854 |  |  |  |  |
| Contrator General Conditions Contractor fee |  |  | ${ }^{8.0 \% \%}$ | $\xrightarrow{\$ 1,410,966} \begin{aligned} & \$ 1,10,966\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Proiect Bondilnsurane Mobilization $\mathbf{L}$ mobilization |  |  |  | ( ${ }_{\text {S455,564 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | 522,328,536 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | \$6,69,561 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design Marke adiustment factor |  | ${ }_{\text {Per }}^{\text {Per }}$ | ${ }^{25 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\substack{\text { Marcela adisstment tactor } \\ \text { Escalaion }}$ | 1 |  | 0\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$1, 11, } 6,427 \\ \$ 0}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Consultant and Subcortractor Fees }}{\text { Alowance oro }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {LS }}^{\text {LS }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S30,000.00 } \\ \text { s0.00 }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{50}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation alowances per parcel. |
| Engineering design and municipal permititing feeConstuction management fee | 1 | ${ }_{\text {Per }}^{\text {Per }}$ | 8.8.0\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { S2, 32, } 168 \\ \$ 232168}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 32,32, 168 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$33,672,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - ${ }_{\text {-30\% }}$ | $\$ 23,571,000$ $\$ 50,508,000$ |  |  |  |





| Doscripion | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { unit }}}_{\text {cost }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { roat } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { cost }}$ | Subtat |  | Sumbotas wim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recylablest Sorase eisulding |  |  |  |  | \$4,33,7,96 |  | S8,28,7,30 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {sV }}^{\text {sV }}$ | ¢1,30 | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
|  | $\substack{1.30 \\ 600}_{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | cor |  | Stis |  |  |  |  |
| comer | 0 | ${ }_{\text {cr }}$ | S3800 | 50 |  | so |  |  |
| Cuthen | 60 | $\stackrel{\text { cren }}{\substack{\text { sr }}}$ |  | \% |  | some |  |  |
|  | 0 | ${ }_{\text {Lis }}^{\text {LF }}$ | Sis.0.50, | Stio. |  | S19091 |  | ngtemoval ofexsising sasplat. isposal onsite |
| Storase iuliding (175 $\times$ x00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7i, | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sF } \\ \text { sF }}}^{\text {cher }}$ | cistition | cis |  | cois |  |  |
| Liole | 70,000 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sF } \\ \text { SFe }}}^{\text {sfe }}$ | cisisio |  |  |  |  | MEANS D5520 115, 05220210 |
| Mornd | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ${ }_{\text {SFe }}^{\text {SEA }}$ | Stisision | Stition |  | sseifire |  |  |
|  | 70.000 |  | ciol | Skilicoo |  |  |  | Hisens ioflo |
| Alowno forsechityssem | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {L5 }}^{15}$ |  | so |  | so |  |  |
|  | : |  | Sill | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{50}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | Hessorial Ssost |
| Uuiliy Connexions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.00 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\sim}}$ | (siols | (is |  | cois |  |  |
|  | \% |  | (sion | cois |  | cois |  | Inclin staff building |
| Enviommenal Procection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Clay liner } \\ \text { Grunuxaer montoring wels }}}{ }$ | : | ${ }_{\text {LF }}^{\text {SF }}$ | ST,50000 | ${ }_{\substack{50 \\ 50}}$ |  | so |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | S4,37.965 |  | S8,281,730 | S8,28,7,30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (20\% | S130, 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable construction cost |  |  |  |  | 55,991,864 |  |  |  |
| Coningencies |  |  |  |  | \$1,677,59 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design Market adjustment factor Escalation | 1 | (ekR | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultan and subcontratere Feas |  |  |  |  | S1,1423,38 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | - | soiouo | so |  |  |  | Assume 2 geolectivestigation alownexes per parcel. |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PeR }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total Probable Cost } \\ \text { Low Range } \\ \text { High Range } \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}^{50 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |


| Description | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { Cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ |  | btotal | Total Cost wl Markup, Cont. $\&$ \& Fee | Subtotals w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Maintenance - Maintenance Areal $250^{\circ} \times 300^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  | \$965,120 |  | \$1,84, 538 |  |
| Stirio topsoil (12" deep and stoctokpile or | 0 | sY | 51.30 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Fine grade site, , machine | 0 | sy | \$1.20 | ${ }_{50}$ |  | so |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2'd deep) | \% | cr ${ }_{\text {cr }}$ | S3.90 si.30 | so ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | so |  |  |
| Granular sub--asese (3" minus, 6 " thick) | 0 | cr | \$36.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Granuar base (DGA, $12^{\prime \prime}$ thick) | 0 | Cr | S36.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Curb and outer ( }}^{\text {Asphat paving (9 thick) }}$ | - | SY | 514.00 S65.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| RooawwyyPrerimeter Ditching | 0 | LF | \$1.50 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Alowance for ashphalt removal | 1 | Ls | 875,000.00 | \$75,000 |  | \$143,185 |  | Cutingremoval of exisiting asphatt. Disposal onsite. |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { 4-Bay Builing (750 } \\ \text { Stio Footing }}}{\text { (160) }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{882.720}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Stirib-ootings Slade concrete floor ( $8^{\prime \prime}$ ) | 12,000 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { sF }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S17200 }}^{\$ 17.00}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S } 144,000}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s }}}^{\text {s157,923 }}$ |  |  |
| Pre-Engineered Meatal Building wsisid walls | ${ }^{12,000}$ | SF | S35.00 | \$420,000 |  | \$801, 834 |  |  |
| Lighting, Conduit, Wire \& Receeptacas | 12,000 150 150 | SF | S5.70 | Scisi,400 |  | \$130.584 |  | MEANS D5020 115, D5020 210 |
| Man doors | 7 | EA | S22000.00 | \$14,000 |  | \$26,728 |  |  |
|  | 12,000 | SA | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S11,00.00 } \\ \$ 3.00}}^{\text {S }}$ | S34,000 $\$ 80$ |  | ¢ |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Histarical cost } \\ \text { MEANS } \\ 04010}]{ }$ |
| Allowance for securits system | 0 | ${ }^{15}$ | \$0.00 | \$0 |  | So |  |  |
| Allownanee for warehuse shelving | 1 | Ls | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {s38,183 }}$ |  | Historical cost |
| Aboveground $2 \times$-walled 9500 L fuel storage tankpump | 2 | EA | \$11,000.00 | \$22,000 |  | \$42,001 |  | \$9500 purchase + \$1500 allowance for delivery/install |
| Utilit Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water connection | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | ss0.00 | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| Electrical tiein to transormer | 250 | LF | \$75.00 | \$18,750 |  | 535,796 |  |  |
| - Telecom connection Natura gas connection | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | \$80.00 | so |  | so |  | Incl in starf building |
| Environmental Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Clay liner } \\ \text { Grundwater monitoring wells }}}{\text { a }}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { SF }}{\text { LS }}$ | S3.40 $\$ 7.500 .00$ | so |  | so |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Subtotal }}{\text { Contrator Markups and General Conditions }}$ |  |  |  | \$965,120 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 965,120 \\ \hline \$ 256.722 \end{array}$ | S1,842,538 | \$1,842,538 |  |
| Contracior Home Office |  |  | 5.0\% | ${ }^{548,256}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Contrator General Conditions |  |  | 8.0\% | S77,210 |  |  |  |  |
| Poniractor ${ }_{\text {Pree }}$ |  |  | 8.6\% | ${ }_{\text {S25,093 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$28,954 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$1,221,842 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | ¢366,553 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allownces based on level of design | 1 |  | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marke adiustment factor | 1 | ${ }_{\text {Per }}^{\text {PER }}$ | 5\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { s61,092 } \\ \text { So }}}{\text { a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$254,143 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotechnical invesitigation | 0 | ${ }_{\text {LS }}$ | S33,000.00 | ${ }^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation alowances per parcel. |
| Allowance for envirommental permititing | 1 | ${ }^{\text {Ls }}$ | s0.00 | so |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering design and municipal permiting fee | 1 | Per | 8.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Low Range | - ${ }_{\text {50\% }}$ | $\$ 1,291,000$ $\$ 270500$ $\$ 1,291,000$ $\$ 25000$ |  |  |  |





| Dosesripion | aty | Unit |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Tooal } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { cos }}$ | sumbatas |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wellands Mitigation |  |  |  |  | \$654,810 |  | 5987,433 |  |
| Vernal pools mitigation | ${ }^{0} 1.9$ | ${ }_{\text {EA }}^{\text {EA }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s411,096 }}^{\text {s50, } 58}$ |  | Assume 3:1 mitigation area for vernal pools only_ per Jacobs biologist, 10/25/2018 |
| Agticulural Pons, Mrimgated weland | 0.0 | EA | \$300,000.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Subbal |  |  |  | S64, ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | S654.810 | S987,453 | 5987,453 | Noentarames |
|  |  |  | 0.0\%\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contracio (eneraic Condin |  |  | ${ }^{\text {0,00\% }}$ | so |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{0.0 \% \% \\ 0.0 \%}}^{0}$ | ¢0 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Constuction Cost |  |  |  |  | S664.810 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{25 \%}}_{\text {25\% }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S196,433 }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}^{\text {PeR }}$ | \%\% | $\underset{\substack{53274 \\ 50}}{\substack{\text { che }}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultat and subucontrater Foess |  |  |  |  | \$136,200 |  |  |  |
|  | ! | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\substack{\text { Ls }}}$ |  | so |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PeR }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





High Rang Sis3,000
$\qquad$

| Description | aty | Unit | Unit Cost | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { Cost }}}^{\text {ate }}$ | btotals | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site-wide Demolition and Disposal |  |  |  |  | \$1,501,708 |  | \$2,86,952 |  |
| Demolition of exisiting infrastructure Pad demolitoon |  |  | \$55.00 | \$1.074.231 |  |  |  |  |
| Buididing demolition | ${ }^{191,511}$ | Cr | \$54.00 |  |  |  |  | Assume three $100^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$ building demo with density factor 0.2 |
| Demolition debiris disposal | 15,321 |  | \$25.00 | \$383,032 |  | \$731,258 |  |  |
| Subtoal |  |  |  | \$1,501,708 | \$1,501,708 | \$2,86,952 | \$2,86,952 |  |
| Contractor Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | \$399,454 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office |  |  | 5.0\% | ${ }_{\text {s75,085 }}^{\text {s720 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Conditions Contractor Fee |  |  | - ${ }_{8}^{8.0 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {\$120, }}{ }_{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Project Bondl/nsurance |  |  | 2.6\% | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$45,051 |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Probable Construction Cost }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | \$1,901,162 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$550,349 |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Contugenclies }}$ Facily design allowances based on level of design |  | PER | 25\% | \$475,290 |  |  |  |  |
| Mater Marke adiustent factor | 1 | PeR PER | 5\% | $\underset{\text { s95.058 }}{\text { s0 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$395,442 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotecenhical investigation | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{L s}{ }$ | \$30,000.00 | ${ }_{50}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Alownece for environmental permitting Engineering design and municipal permiting fee | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{50.00 \\ 8.0 \%}}$ | (1907 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management fee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$197,721 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Low Range } \\ \text { High Range }}}{\text { Len }}$ | . ${ }_{\text {50\% }}$ | $\$ 2,007,000$ $\$ 4,301,000$ |  |  |  |



| Description | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { Cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ | $\underbrace{\text { ate }}_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { Cost }}}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Subtotals w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRF Upgrade to TS |  |  |  |  | \$217,778 |  | \$415,766 |  |
| Earthworks | 111 | cr | \$30.00 | \$3,333 |  | S6,364 |  | Assume excavate two bays to instal scales, 100 feet lengt by 20 feet width with 9 " thick exsiting asphat |
| Existing asphalt removal Asphalt paving (9" thick) | 222 | sy | s65.00 | \$14,444 |  | \$27,576 |  |  |
| Scales and Instrumentation <br> Truck scale (100') supply and install include concrete footings | 2 | เs | \$100,000.00 | \$200,000 |  | \$381,826 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$217,778 | \$2217,778 | \$415,766 | \$415,766 |  |
| Contractor Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | S55,929 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home office |  |  | ${ }_{\text {5.0\% }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S10,889 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Conditions Contrator Fee |  |  | ${ }^{8.0 \% \%}$ | S17,422 S17.422 |  |  |  |  |
| Proied Bondin hurance |  |  | 2.6\% | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s5, } 662 \\ 56.531}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | 56,533 |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Probable Construction Cost }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | \$275,707 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4A-2
Capital Cost Estimates
Plan Concept 1

Rough Order of Magnitude (Class 4) Cost Opinion
Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Roseville, CA
Date: Oct-30-2018


| Total Probable Cost |  |
| :--- | :--- |


|  |  | $\$ 521,233,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low Range | $\mathbf{- 3 0} \%$ | $\$ 364,864,000$ |
| High Range | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\$ 781,850,000$ |

Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Roseville, CA
Date: Oct-30-2018

| Common Construction Unit Rates | Unit Cost | Unit | Variable | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  |  |
| Strip topsoil (12" deep) and stockpile onsite | \$1.30 | SY | topsoil_strip | Assumes stockpile along west property boundary, scraper haul |
| Fine grade site, machine | \$1.20 | SY | finegrade | MEANS 312216 |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2' deep) | \$3.90 | CY | common_ex | MEANS 3320 15, Assume stockpile along west property boundary |
| Subgrade preparation | \$1.30 | SY | subgrade_prep |  |
| Granular sub-base ( $3^{\prime \prime}$ minus, 6 " thick) | \$7.30 | SY | gran_subbase | CALTRANS Historical 260203 |
| Granular base (DGA, 12" thick) | \$36.00 | CY | gran_base | CALTRANS Historical 260303 |
| Curb and gutter | \$14.00 | LF | curb_gutter | MEANS 321613 |
| Asphalt paving (9" thick) | \$65.00 | SY | asphalt | CH2M estimate |
| Roadway/Perimeter Ditching | \$1.50 | LF | ditching | Grader/dozer work |
| Environmental Protection |  |  |  |  |
| Clay liner ( 0.5 m thick) | \$3.40 | SF | clay_liner | CH2M Estimate \$55/cy, 20" thick |
| Groundwater monitoring wells | \$7,500.00 | LS | GW_wells | CH2M Estimate ( 3 wells to 30 ft , casing protector) |
| Synthetic pond liner (supply and install) | \$6.30 | sy | HDPE_liner | CH2M Historical, 40 mil |
| Buildings and Concrete |  |  |  |  |
| Strip Footing ( $2^{\prime}$ 'thick, $3^{\prime}$ wide) | \$176.00 | LF | strip_footing | 0.22 cy per LF |
| Push Wall Footing ( $2^{\prime}$ 'thick, $8^{\prime}$ wide) | \$570.00 | LF | push_wall_footing | 0.6 cy per LF |
| Push Walls (12' high, 12' thick at top, 18" thick at base) | \$600.00 | LF | push_wall | 0.6 cy per LF |
| Slab-on-Grade concrete floor (8") | \$12.00 | SF | concrete_slab | after verbal discussions with local contractor |
| Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Sanitary sewer connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Electrical tie-in to transformer | \$75.00 | LF | buried_elec | 450 KVA total connected load/ 300 KVA operating demand |
| Telecom connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Natural gas connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |


| Markups and Fees | Rate | Unit | Variable | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractor Mob and General Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office | 5.0\% |  | CHO | Assumes multi-trade GC does most all of the work |
| Contractor General Conditions | 8.0\% |  | CGC | Assumes 12 month construction schedule |
| Contractor Fee | 8.0\% |  | CF |  |
| Project Bond/Insurance | 2.6\% |  | PBI |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization | 3.0\% |  | Mob_Demob |  |
| Contingencies: |  |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design | 25\% |  | design_cntngy |  |
| Market adjustment factor | 5\% |  | MAF | Construction market is very busy |
| Escalation | 0\% |  | escalation | use 3\% per year |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees: |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering design and municipal permitting fee | 8.0\% |  | Eng_fee |  |
| Construction management fee | 8.0\% |  | CM_fee |  |
| Estimate Ranges: |  |  |  |  |
| Low Range | -30\% |  | low_range |  |
| High Range | 50\% |  | high_range |  |

Notes:
The cost estimates are based on 1 st quarter 2016 rates from the CALTRANS historical costs (concrete and import fill), MEANS (earthwork), CH2M historical values, Golder historical values, and calculated values where indicated. Cost estimates are largely based on 2016/2017 values because cost development commenced in 2017, prior to Board meeting in Dec 2017. A CH2M/Jacobs cost estimator has
2 These AACEI Classification Class 4 cost estimates are assumed to represent the actual total installed cost within the range of -30 percent to +50 percent (\% based on AACEI) of the cost indicated.
3 The estimate is prepared with due diligence with the available information and under normal operations. However this should be subject to market demands and circumstances. The possibility of securing a competive bid process is questionable and should be taken into consideration.
4 Factors that may affect the estimate on the following issues include escalation, premium on labor, engineering
5 The final cost do the project will be subject to labor rates, material cost, actual site conditions, availability of labor, material and equipment, final project scope, final project schedule (flexible or fixed), public consultation and input, and other mitigating factors (e.g. timing of construction and award). As a result, the final project cost may defer from the presented budget. Due to facts mentioned, the funding of the project should be carefully reviewed prior to establishing the final budget.
6 It is assumed that the facility is constructed on a green field site and there is no demolition required or hazardeous materail to remove and dispose.
7 It is assumed that the work will performed under a $40-\mathrm{hr}$, normal workweek schedule. No acceleration costs included..
8 It's assumed that all materials are readibly available at no premium costs, that delivery is normal costs, and the contractor has adequate laydown and site facilities.

## Exclusions/Qualifications:

Equipment specifications not identified
2 Federal and state sales tax are included in unit rates
3 Municipal fees \& licences not included
4 As the design is at conceptual stage, the tie-ins to existing equipment and facilities have not being identified
5 Rock excavation not included
6 Dewatering is not included
7 Escalation is not included. Values are in 1st Qtr 2016 values



| Description | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cose }}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8D-C8D Pad (700' 3 3 $30^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | \$3,00, 112 |  | 55,72, 598 |  |
| Strip topsoil (12" deep) and stockpile onsite | 25,667 | sr | \$1.30 | \$33,367 |  | \$63,702 |  | Assume 1/3 pad is new; demo cost is induded in Site-wide Demo sheet |
| Fine grade site, machine | 25,667 | sy | \$1.20 | \$30,800 |  | \$58.802 |  |  |
| Common excevation to Stockpile (2'd deep) | ${ }_{25667}$ | cr | s3.90 si.30 | ${ }_{\text {s33,367 }}{ }^{\text {S0, }}$ |  | ¢63.702 |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { 25,667 }}{ }$ | cr | S37.00 Sta | S33,307 |  | s63,702 |  |  |
| Granular base (DGA, $12^{\prime \prime}$ thick) | 951 | cr | S36.00 | \$34,223 |  | \$65,335 |  |  |
| Curb and gutter | 0 | LF | \$14.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asphat paving (99. thick) | 25,667 | SY | ${ }_{\text {Sc5s.00 }}$ | \$1,688,355 |  | \$3,185,103 |  |  |
| Rooaway Perimeter Ditching Alowance oforshphat removal | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LS }}$ | ${ }_{\text {ctic,00.00 }}$ | so |  | so |  |  |
| Overrnang Roof |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overtang with structural column support (no wals) | 20,000 | SF | S60.00 | \$1,200,000 |  | \$2,290,954 |  | Assume cover for $100^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ portion of $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{D}$ pad to shield processing line from rain; not a building, just an open-air roof structure; includes foundation |
| Enviormental Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Clay liner } \\ \text { Groundwater monitoring wells }}}{ }$ | \% | $\stackrel{\text { SF }}{\text { LS }}$ | S3.40 \$7,50.00 | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| C8D - Processing Line |  |  |  |  | \$4,026,250 |  | \$7,686,627 |  |
| Processling line, includuding stippeing, instalation, and startup | 1 | EA | \$4,000,000.00 | \$4,000,000 |  | \$7,636,512 |  | Buk Handiling Quote, Sept 2018 |
| Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water comnection |  | LF | \$0.00 | so |  |  |  | Assume can use for process water and potable use |
| Santiary sewer coonection | ${ }_{350}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | 50.00 57500 | (50, |  | ${ }_{\text {s50, }}$ |  | Assume electrical supply is present for existing C8D and can use this with extension |
| Telecom toonection | 50 | LF | \$0000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gas connection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal ${ }_{\text {contrater }}$ Carkup and General Conditions |  |  |  | \$7,026,362 | \$7,026,362 |  | S13,414,225 |  |
| Contrator Home office |  |  | 5.0\% | ${ }_{\text {S351, } 318}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Conditions |  |  | 8.0\% | \$562,109 |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor eee Project Bondl/ Surance |  |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {2.0\% }}$ | ( 5 S662, 109 |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demoboilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$210,91 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | ¢8,95,375 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | \$2.68.612 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design | 1 | PER | 25\% | \$2,223,844 |  |  |  |  |
| Mater | 1 | PER PER | 5\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { s44, } \\ \text { so }}}{\text { a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$1,850,238 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotechichialinvestigation Allowance for eviromental permiting | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { LS }}$ | \$30,000.00 so.00 | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Engineering desigig and municical permiting fee Construction manaement | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PeR }}^{\text {Per }}$ | ${ }^{8.0 \%}$ | \$995.119 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management fee |  | PER | 8.0\% | \$925,119 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - $\begin{aligned} & -3 \% \% \\ & 50 \%\end{aligned}$ | \$9,391,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cta }}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stockpile Relocation |  |  |  |  | s7,00,000 |  | \$13,363,996 |  |
|  | 1,400,000 | Cr | \$5.00 | \$7,000,000 |  | \$13,363,996 |  | As of $6 / 30 / 2017$, there are 1.4 MCY of soil stockpiled on Modules $6-8$, nearly all of it on $6-7$, compared to the pre-development grades of 1978 (Keith Schmidt, 10/15/2018) |
| Landfill Construction |  |  |  |  | S100,946,270 |  | \$192,719,350 |  |
| New Landill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: Golder Associates, WRSL Cost Estimate - REVV1-120717_101018_rdh.xsx, Sheet "Table 5 (1a)" |
| Design and Permititing | 10 | ea | \$100,000.00 | \$1,000,000 |  | \$1,099,128 |  | Assumes 10 cells |
| Mobilizaion/Demobilization | 10 | ${ }^{\text {ea }}$ | \$100.00.00 | \$1,000,000 |  | \$1,099,128 <br> S477 288 |  | Assumes 10 cells |
| Layout of Work and Surreys | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }^{\text {ea }}$ | \$255000.00 | \$255,000 |  | \$477,282 |  | Assumes 10 cells |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Claxing and Grubbing } \\ \text { Excavation }}}{\text { ata }}$ | ${ }_{8,328,071}{ }^{257}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | \$ 5 \$735.9.969 |  |  |
| Overexcavation of Unsuitable Subgrade Material | 200000 | cy | \$10.00 | \$2,000,000 |  | 53,818,256 |  | Assumes 20,000 cy per cell |
| Earthill |  | cy | \$4.00 | 8800,000 |  | \$1,57, 302 |  | Assumes 20,000 cy per cell |
| Subgrad Preparation | $11,159,400$ $11,159,400$ | sf | So.15 |  |  | S3,195,708 S17,4,778 |  |  |
| ${ }^{60} 6$-mil Hop Double Sided Textred Geomembrane | 9,477,161 | sf | S0.75 | ¢ |  | \$ |  | Floor Only |
|  | ${ }_{9,477,161}^{11,15900}$ | ${ }_{\text {sf }}^{\text {sf }}$ | ¢0.750 |  |  | (1514.978,422 |  | Floor Only |
| ${ }_{\text {Bozzsy }}$ Sornuoven Geotextile | 9,477,161 | sf | so.20 | \$1,895,432 |  | ${ }_{\text {S3, } 218,623}$ |  | Floor Only |
| Anchor Trenches | 10,000 351,06 | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | S 513.00 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {\$13,338,000 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S25,46, } 382}^{524,187}$ |  |  |
| Sumageayer | ${ }^{351,750}$ | cy | ${ }_{\text {S82, }} 5300$ | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ \$143,500 |  |  |  | Assumes 175 pers sump |
| Base Operations Layer | 351,006 | cy | ${ }_{55.60}$ | \$1,965,633 |  | S3,75, 646 |  | Floor Only $\times 1$ ft |
| Side Slope Operations Layer 6 C-inch Diameer SOR 11 HPP LCRS Pipe | 63,000 27000 | cy |  | ¢ |  | ( 9781,788 |  | Side Slipex 1 \tit |
|  | cin, ${ }_{6}^{27,000}$ | \|f | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ \$20.00 | \$954,000 |  | ¢ |  | Assumes 2.700 per cell |
|  | ${ }^{16,000}$ | ${ }_{15}^{1 f}$ | \$520.00 ${ }_{\text {s30.000.00 }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S610,921 } \\ \$ 572,738}}$ |  | Perimeter of entire site |
| Leak Detection Survey | 10 | is | \$17,000.00 | \$170,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$324,552 }}$ |  |  |
|  | 100 480000 | ac | ( 51.5050 .00 | \$150,000 $\$ 1200000$ |  | ( 5288.369 |  | Assumes 10 acres per cell |
| Perimeerer Road | 488,000 18.000 | ${ }_{\text {st }}^{\text {cy }}$ | (\$3500 | \$1,200,000 |  | ¢ |  |  |
|  | 3, 32,867 | ${ }_{\text {If }}^{\text {If }}$ | \$55.00 | ¢ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \text { S230, } 137 \\ \hline 187}}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Stormwater Controls }}$ | ${ }^{2,10}$ | ea | \$2,500.00 | \$25,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Stormwater Polution Preventio Plan Preparation Stromwater Polution Prevention Plan Inplementation | 10 10 | ${ }_{\text {ea }}^{\text {ea }}$ | \$8800 | \$778,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: Golder Associate, WRSL Cost Estimate - REVV1-120717_101018_rdh.x\|xx, Sheet "Table 5 (11)" |
| Monitoring System Design Serices |  | is | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000 |  | \$190,913 |  |  |
| Decomision Replace Groundwater Wells | 7 | ea | S20.000.00 S10.000.00 | \$140,000 |  | 5267.278 538.183 |  |  |
|  | 1 | ea | \$ 510.0000000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| L-GG Extraction Weess | 321 | $\underset{\text { is }}{\substack{\text { ea } \\ \text { ea }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {\$420, }}^{\text {S } 50.00000}$ | \$ |  | Sticheren |  |  |
|  | 32,100 16.000 | If | s20.00 $\$ 110.00$ | S642,000 S1,760000 |  |  |  | 100 fetr per well Peimeter Only |
| LFG Well Heads | ${ }_{321}^{10.000}$ | ea | ${ }_{\text {\$250.00 }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Flare System ${ }_{\text {conden }}$ | 10 | is | S2.000 550000000 | \$2,000,000 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Con }}^{\text {Condensate Sumps }}$ 2-in Sor 9 Hop Condensate Piping | 32,100 | ea | \$520.00 | S56000 |  | ST, 5 S.256, |  | ${ }^{1} \mathbf{p e r}$ cell |
|  | ${ }_{8}^{32,100}$ | ${ }_{\text {ea }}^{\text {lif }}$ | Stion ${ }_{\text {sc.000.00 }}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {S48,000 }}$ |  |  |  | Assume average 50 -foot depth for each @ \$120/ft |
| Decomisision R Replace Suction Lysimeters | 1 | is | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000 |  | s38,183 |  |  |
| Leachate Collection and Removal System Design | 1 | Is | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000 |  |  |  | Source: Golder Associates, WRSL Cost Estimate - REV1-120717_101018_rdh.xlsx, Sheet "Table 5 (1a)" Plan Concept 1 has a higher level of complexity to manage leachate. Leachate piping/sump configuration |



| Unlined Area Waste Excavation |  |  |  |  | 542,670,200 |  | \$81,462,874 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unined Unit - Waste Exavation and Relocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: Golder Associates, WRSL Cost Estimate - REVV1-120717_101018_dd...Xs, Sheet "Table 5 (1a) |
| Design and Permititing | 4 | ea | \$100,000.00 | \$400,000 |  | \$763,651 |  | Assumes 4 cells |
| MobilizationDemomotiration Layout of Work and Survers | 5 | ${ }_{\text {ea }}^{\text {ea }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {\$15,000.00 }} \mathrm{\$ 30.000.00}$ | \$875,000 |  | ( |  | Assumes 4 cells + waste removal Assumes 4 cells + waste removal |
| Remove Wasite in Ulinined Unit | 3.64,000 |  | \$ 81.50 | \$41,929,000 |  | \$880,047,828 |  | Cost source: Waste Exceavation and Relocation Cost Comparison.x |
| Subgrade Preparation | O | sf | S0.15 | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifil element above |
| 60-mil Hope l ouvile Sided Textured Geomembrane |  | ${ }_{\text {sf }}$ | so.75 | so |  | so |  | Reconstruction under New Landifil lemenent above |
| 60 -mil White Single Sided Textured HDPE Geomembrane | 0 |  | \$0.75 | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifil element above |
| Geocomposite | 0 | sf | 50.80 | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifil element above |
| 8ozsy Nonwoven Geotexilie Anchor Trenches | $\bigcirc$ | sf | \$80.20 | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifil element above |
| Anchor | 0 |  | \$38.00 | so |  | so |  | Reconstruction under New Landifil elemenent above |
| Base Operations Layer | 0 | cy | ${ }_{95.60}$ | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifil lement above |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { S }}}^{\text {Side Slope Operations Layer }}$ 6-inch Diameter SDR 11 HPPE LCRS Pipe | $\bigcirc$ | cy | S 5 S6.50 | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifil element above |
| Sip Rene | $\bigcirc$ | Is | \$330.000.00 | so |  | so |  | Re-construction under New Landifill element above |
| Leak Detection Survey Revegation | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | \$0 |  | S0 |  | Re-constuction under New Landifil element above |
| Revegetation | 200 | $\underset{\substack{\text { ac } \\ \text { fi }}}{ }$ | \$1.500.00 | S15,000 |  | ( 50.68 |  | Re-construction under New Landifil element above |
| Storrwwater Controls | 4 | еа | \$2,500.00 | S10,000 |  | S19,091 |  |  |
| Stormwater PPolution Prevention Plan Preparation Stormwater Polution Prevention Plan Inplementation | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {ea }}^{\text {ea }}$ | \$87,800.00 | S63,2000 |  | \$ ${ }_{\text {ST99,65 }}^{\text {S14,548 }}$ |  |  |
| Landifill Closure |  |  |  |  | \$41,529,520 |  | \$79,285,170 |  |
| Closure Construction Cost Mobilization/Demobilization | 9 | is | \$75,000.00 | S675,000 |  | \$1,288,661 |  | Source: Golder Associates, WRSL Cost Estimate - REV1-120717_101018_rdh.xlsx, Sheet "PC 1 olosure"; assume closure is 321 ac consistent with estimated postclosure acres Assumes partial closure completed in 9 events |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Description \& aty \& Unit \& Unit
cost \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cta }}$ \& Subtotals \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trotal Cost wI } \\
\text { Markup, Cont. } \& \text { Fee }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Subtotals w/ } \\
\text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ <br>
\hline Main Entrance-Roadway \& \& \& \& \& \$420,500 \& \& \$802,788 \& <br>
\hline Earthoorks, Pads and Roadways (45' development width assumed)
Rooaway - Single Lane \& 950 \& ${ }^{\text {LF }}$ \& \$290.00 \& \$275,500 \& \& \$525,965 \& \& <br>
\hline Roadway - Doull Lane \& 250 \& LF \& \$580.00 \& \$145,000 \& \&  \& \& <br>
\hline Curb and gutter \& 0 \& LF \& \$14.00 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Main Entrance - Scale/Building \& \& \& \& \& \$611,133 \& \& \$1,166,732 \& <br>
\hline Earthworks, Pads and Roadways \& 1.000 \& SY \& \$1.30 \& \$1,300 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Fine grade site, machine \& ${ }_{1}^{1,000}$ \& sy \& \$1.20 \& \$1,200 \& \& ¢ ${ }_{\text {S2,291 }}$ \& \& <br>
\hline Common excavation to Stockpile (2' deep) \& 0 \& cr \& s3.90 \& so \& \& so \& \& <br>
\hline Subgrade preparation \& 1,000 \& sY \& \$1.30 \& \$1,300 \& \& \$2,482 \& \& <br>
\hline  \& ${ }_{37}$ \& cr
Cr

dr \& s36.00
S3600 \& \$1333 \& \& so \& \& <br>
\hline Curb and gutuer \& ${ }_{0}$ \& LF \& \$14.00 \& so \& \& ${ }_{\text {sol }}^{5}$ \& \& <br>
\hline Asphat paving (99 thick)
Roadway \& $\bigcirc$ \& $\underset{\text { SY }}{\substack{\text { SY }}}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S65.00 } \\ \text { S150 }}}$ \& so \& \& so \& \& <br>
\hline RoadwayPerimeter Diththing \& 0 \& LF \& \$1.50 \& so \& \& so \& \& <br>
\hline Scale Buiding and Scales \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Truck sale (100) supply and instal include encriele footings \& 3
4 \& $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ \& \$ 5100.0000 .00 \& $\$ 350,000$
$\$ 20,000$ \& \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5572,7788}$ \& \& Assume 2 scales incoming, 1 scale outgoing <br>
\hline Allownce for traficil lights gatess/signs \& \& Ls \& \$20,000.00 \& \$20,000 \& \& ${ }_{\text {S38, } 183}$ \& \& <br>
\hline Allowance for CCTV system \& 1 \& Ls \& \$10,000.00 \& \$10,000 \& \& \$19,091 \& \& <br>
\hline Utility Connections \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Potaile waier connection \& ${ }_{800}^{800}$ \& $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ \& \$885.00 \&  \& \& ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$ 109,911}$ \& \& Stubbed from lla scalenouse <br>
\hline Electrical liein to transtormer \& 800 \& ${ }^{\text {LF }}$ \& \$75.00 \& \$60,000 \& \& \$114,548 \& \& Stubed from old scalehouse; 120/220 V single phase senice <br>
\hline Telecom connection
Natural gas connection \& ${ }_{0}^{800}$ \& $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ \& ¢ ${ }_{\text {S60.00 }}^{\text {s800 }}$ \& $\underset{\text { s48,000 }}{\text { so }}$ \& \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s91, } \\ \text { so }}}{\text { cei }}$ \& \& Stubed from old scalehouse <br>
\hline Subtotal \& \& \& \& \$1,031.633 \& \$1,031,633 \& \$1,969,520 \& \$1,969,520 \& <br>
\hline Contractor Markups and General Conditions \& \& \& \& \& \$274,414 \& \& \& <br>
\hline Contractor Home office
Contrator General Conditions \& \& \& 8.0\%\% \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S51,582 } \\ \text { s82,531 }}}^{\text {a }}$ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Contractor General Conditions \& \& \& ${ }^{8.0 \% \%}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S88,531 }}$ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Project Bondlnsurance
Mobilization/Pemobilization \& \& \& ${ }_{3.0 \%}^{2.6 \%}$ \& \$26,822 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Probable Construction Cost \& \& \& \& \& \$1,306,048 \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Contingencies \& \& \& \& \& \$391,814 \& \& \& <br>
\hline Facility design alowances based on level of design
Marke adiusment actor \& 1 \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PeR }}}$ \& ${ }^{25 \%}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{5326.512 \\ \$ 65302}}^{\text {S }}$ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Mester Escaltion \& 1 \& PER \& 0\% \& S6.502 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Consultant and Subcontractor Fees \& \& \& \& \& \$271,658 \& \& \& <br>
\hline Alowance for geotechnieal investigation \& ${ }_{1}$ \& ${ }_{\text {LS }}^{\text {LS }}$ \& \$30.000.00 \& ${ }_{50}{ }_{50}$ \& \& \& \& Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. <br>
\hline Allownefef for environmental permititigg
Engineering design and municipal permiting fee \& 1 \& $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { PER }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {80,0\% }}^{\text {s0.00 }}$ \& \$135,829 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Construction management fee \& 1 \& PER \& 8.0\% \& \$135,829 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& Total Probable Cost \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& Low Range

High Range \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& -30 \% \\
& 50 \% \\
& 50
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\$ 1,3,39,000$

$\$ 2,955,000$ \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Description | aty | Unit | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Unit } \\ \text { cost }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cta }}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trotal Cost wI } \\ \text { Markup, Cont. } \& \text { Fee } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Entrance - Roadways |  |  |  |  | \$2,218,500 |  | \$4,23,400 |  |
| Earthworks. Pads and Roadways (45' development width assumed) Roadway - Single Lane | 6,750 | LF | \$290.00 | \$1,957,500 |  | ¢3,73, ,118 |  |  |
| Roadway - Doull Lane | ${ }_{450}$ | LF | \$580.00 | $\underset{\text { s261,000 }}{\substack{\text { sion }}}$ |  | s498,282 |  |  |
| Curb and gutter | 0 | LF | \$14.00 | so |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance - Scale/Rusilding |  |  |  |  | \$322,633 |  | \$615,948 |  |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strip topsoil (12" deep) and stockpilie onsite Fine arade site | 1,000 | SY | \$1.30 | \$1,300 |  | ${ }_{\text {S2,482 }}$ |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2'deep) | ${ }^{1,000}$ | cr | S1.20 83.90 | som |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 | SY | 51.30 83600 | \$1,300 |  | \$2,482 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{37}$ | Cr | s36.00 S3600 | \$1333 |  | s0 |  |  |
| Curb and gutuer (ea, 12 'thick) | 3 | $\stackrel{\text { cr }}{\text { ci }}$ | S36.00 S14.00 | sol |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | $\underset{\substack{\text { SY } \\ \text { LF }}}{\text { cher }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S65.00 } \\ \text { S150 }}}$ | so |  | so |  |  |
| RoadwayPerimeter Diththing | 0 | LF | \$1.50 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Scale Buiding and Scales |  |  | \$24.000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck scale (100)'supply and instal include concrete footings | 2 | ${ }_{\text {Ls }}$ | \$100,000.00 | \$200,000 |  | \$381,826 |  | Assume 1 incoming and 1 outgoing |
| Allowance for concrete approach slabs (2 eer scale deck) | 4 | ${ }^{\text {Ls }}$ | \$5,000.00 | S20,000 |  | ¢538,183 |  |  |
| Allowance for trafici lights agaess Signs Alowance for cCTV s system | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { LS }}$ | \$20,000.00 \$1,000.00 | \$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$20,000 } \\ & \$ 10,000\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Utiliy Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water connection | 150 | LF | 570.00 | \$10,500 |  | \$20,046 |  | Stubbed from Fiddyment R R utility coridor |
| Sanitary sever coonection Electrical iein to trastormer | ${ }^{150}$ | Lf | S85.00 | \$12,750 |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S24,3,31 }}$ |  |  |
|  | 150 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { L }}$ | s60.00 | Ss,000 |  | \$ |  | Stubed from Firadment R R untiy corrior, $120 / 22 \mathrm{~V}$ single phase senvice |
| Natural gas connection | 15 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{ }$ | \$80.00 | ${ }_{\text {spo }}$ |  | so |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$2.541,133 | \$2,541,133 | \$4,85, ,349 | \$4,851,349 |  |
| Contractor Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | S6675,941 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office Contrator General Conditions |  |  | ${ }_{8.0 \%}^{5.0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | 8.0\% | \$203,291 |  |  |  |  |
| Proiect Bondlnsurance |  |  | ${ }_{\text {3.0\% }}^{2.6 \%}$ | S66,069 |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Probable Construction Cost }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | \$3,217,075 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | 5966,122 |  |  |  |
| Fracilit design alowances based on level of design | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PER }}}$ | ${ }_{5 \%}^{25 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mascalaion | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}$ | 0\% | ssio, ${ }_{\text {So }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$669,152 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotech hical investigation | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {LS }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S30,000.00 }}$ | So |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}^{\text {LS }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s.0.00 }}^{\text {s.0\% }}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢34,576 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management tee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$334,576 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Low Range High Range | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-30\% } \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | \$3,397,000 $\$ 7,278,000$ |  |  |  |


| Doscripion | ${ }^{\text {aty }}$ | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { cost }}_{\text {Unitt }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { cose }}$ | Subotals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overpass |  |  |  |  | \$4, 860,037 |  | 59,278,433 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{sF} \\ & \mathrm{sF} \\ & \text { sF } \\ & \mathrm{sF} \\ & \mathrm{sF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4.00 \\ \$ 2.00 \\ \$ 20 \\ \$ 150 \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl1512000 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Subital }}{\text { Contactor Tarkus sand General Conditions }}$ |  |  |  | S4, 860.037 |  | s9,278,433 | S9,278,433 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coin |  |  | (i.c. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Constuction Cost |  |  |  |  | S6,152807 |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | per <br> per <br> per | $\begin{gathered} 250 \% \\ 5.5 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consulutan And sutucontratiof Foess |  |  |  |  | \$1,297,74 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotechnical investigation Engineering design and municipal permitting fee <br> Construction management fee |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lser } \\ \text { PRer } \\ \hline \text { PR } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0 \\ \$ 0 \\ \$ 639,892 \\ \$ 639,892 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 goolect invesigation alownoces per parcel |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Total Probable Cost } \\ \hline \text { Low Range } \\ \text { High Range } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | com |  |  |  |  |


| osesripion | ${ }^{\text {aty }}$ | Unit |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Tooal } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { cos }}$ | sumbatals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recrycabes Starage Euluting |  |  |  |  | S4,28,7,15 |  | 88,77, 342 |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{8}^{\text {sr }}$ | s1.30 | so |  | so |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1,300}^{0}$ | sr ${ }_{\text {cr }}^{\text {cr }}$ | ¢ | S50, |  | s. ${ }_{\text {s.ar9 }}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{6} 6$ | cr ${ }_{\text {sr }}^{\text {cr }}$ | cist | Sess |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { si,613 } \\ \text { so }}}^{\text {cose }}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ | cres | (siseo | ${ }_{5}^{523400}$ |  | satici4 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{650}$ |  | (inctis | ciste |  | sso.601 |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\substack{\text { Lf }}}$ | Ssi,000.00 | S10,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {S19,909 }}^{\text {So }}$ |  | Cutitiglemoval ofexsing spspalt. Disposal onste. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sticle |  | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { s. } \\ \mathrm{s}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | (siction |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70,000 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sF } \\ \text { SF }}}$ | Stis | s3s900 |  | s761.702 |  | 5020 115, 050202 |
|  | ${ }_{6}$ | EA | Ssiolen | (sitaon |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70.000 | SF | ${ }^{53300}$ | S210,000 |  | S400.997 |  | MEANS Posio |
| Allamen | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{ }$ | Soiol | so |  | so |  |  |
|  | : |  |  | so |  | so |  |  |
| Uulity Conenetions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{250}$ | $\stackrel{\text { L }}{\substack{\text { L } \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{F}}}$ | Sols | so |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { L }}{\substack{\text { L }}}$ | Stion | so |  | so |  | noci insaff builiding |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | ${ }_{\text {LT }}^{\text {sF }}$ | St.30.0.00 | so ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| Subtalal Contaror Makups and General Condtitions |  |  |  | S4,281,715 | $\frac{54}{51,28,7175}$ | S8,17, 3, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contracio fee |  |  | (e.c. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Momer |  |  | (20\% | \$120,451 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction cost |  |  |  |  | S5,420.651 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | s1.626.195 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \% \\ & \substack{50 \%} \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | S1,127,495 |  |  |  |
| Aliounceio | 1 |  | sion siouou | ( |  |  |  | arcel |
|  | ! | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PER }}}$ | 8.8.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {com }}^{\substack{30 \% \\ 50 \%}}$ |  |  |  |  |



| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost | Total Cost cose | Subtotals | Total Cost wl Markup, Cont., \& Fee | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Maintenance Area ( $250^{\circ} \times 300^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  | \$1,254,184 |  | \$2,39,397 |  |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  | \$10,834 |  |  |  |  |
| Stire topsoil (12" deep) and stockple onstie | ${ }_{8,334}^{8,344}$ | sr | \$1.30 | \$10,001 |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S } 10,093}$ |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2' deep) | 0 | cr | \$3.90 | so |  | So |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{8,334}$ | SY | 51.30 $\$ 3600$ | S10,834 |  | \$20,684 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{30}$ | cr Cr | s36.00 s36.00 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s20 }}^{\text {s014 }}$ |  |  |
| Curb and gutter | 0 | LF | \$14.00 | ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| Asphalt paving (90 thick) Roadway ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (erimeter Ditcting | ${ }^{8,334}$ | $\stackrel{\text { SY }}{\text { LF }}$ | \$56.00 | ${ }_{\text {s0 }}^{541,710}$ |  | \$1,034,194 |  |  |
| 3-Bay Builiding (65' $125^{\prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{8,125}^{380}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { sF }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S17200 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S66,880 } \\ 597,50}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\text {\$1876, } 140}$ |  | Incl in Receiving Pad Cost |
| Pre-Engineered Metal Building wsisid walls | 8.125 | SF | ${ }^{535.00}$ | \$284,375 |  | \$542,908 |  | 120115 |
| Lighting, Conduit, Wire \& Receeptacles | $\underset{\substack{8,125 \\ 150}}{ }$ | SF |  | S4, S40,313 s20 |  |  |  | MEANS D5020 115, D5020 210 |
| Man doors | 5 | EA | \$2,000.00 | \$10,000 |  | \$19,091 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{8.125}^{3}$ | EA | \$11.000.00 | S33,000 S24,375 |  | ${ }_{\substack{563.001 \\ \$ 46535}}$ |  | Hititerical ost |
| Allownace for security system | 8,125 | Ls | S0.00 | S\% |  | Stiso |  |  |
| Allowance for cocts system Alowance for warehuse sheving | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | S0.00 s20,000.00 | \$80, |  | ¢30, |  | Historical cost |
| Aboveground $2 \times$ walled 9500 L Luel storage tankpump | 2 | EA | \$11,000.00 | S22,000 |  | \$42,001 |  | \$9500 purchase + \$1500 allownce for delivery/instal |
| Utiliy Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water comnection | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | s0.00 | \$0 ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | Incl $\begin{aligned} & \text { n staf building } \\ & \text { Incli in staff building }\end{aligned}$ |
| Electrical liei-i to transformer | 500 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{ }$ | \$75.00 | 537,500 |  | \$71,592 |  |  |
| (elecom connection | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | S0.00 s80.00 | so |  | so |  | Incl in staff building |
| Enviromental Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Clay liner }}{\text { Grundwater monitoing wells }}$ | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { SF }}{\text { LS }}$ | \$3.40 $\$ 7.50 .00$ | s70,500 |  | \$14,318 |  |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Staff Bidg and Parking Area (100 ${ }^{\circ} \times 220^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  | \$480,476 |  | \$917,290 |  |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways Strip opsoil (12 deep) and stockpile onsite | 2.445 | sY | \$1.30 | 53,179 |  | 96,068 |  |  |
| Fine grade site, machine | 2.445 | sY | \$1.20 | \$2,934 |  | \$5,601 |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2'd deep) | ${ }_{2.45}$ | cr | s3.90 S130 | -50 |  | S0088 |  |  |
|  | 2,45 | cr | \$36.00 | So |  | so |  |  |
| Granular base (DOEA, 12 "thick) Curb and guter | ${ }_{0}^{91}$ | $\underset{\text { cr }}{\substack{\text { cr } \\ \text { cre }}}$ | S36.00 | S3, ${ }_{\text {S0 }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S6, } 224 \\ 50}}{\text { cose }}$ |  |  |
| Asphalt pauing (99" thick) | 2,445 | sr | \$65.00 | \$158,925 |  | \$303,408 |  |  |
| Roadway Perimeter Ditching | 0 | LF | \$1.50 | \$0 |  | so |  |  |
| Staff Building <br> 60' Pre-fab Changeroom Contruction Trailer | 2 | เs | \$90,000.00 | \$180,000 |  | \$343,643 |  |  |
| Utilit Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sanitar sewer comenection | 600 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{ }$ | ${ }_{885}^{5800}$ | ${ }_{\text {S51,000 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {¢907,366 }}$ |  |  |
| Electrical iei-in to transtormer | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { L }}$ | S57.00 | ${ }_{530}{ }^{50}$ |  | S0 |  | Incli in maintenance building |
| Telecom connection Natural gas connection | ${ }_{0}^{600}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | S60.00 | $\underset{\substack{\text { S36,000 } \\ \text { S0 }}}{\text { St }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S68,729 } \\ \text { so }}}{\text { cen }}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Subtotal }}{\text { Contrator Markus and General Conditions }}$ |  |  |  | \$1,744,660 | $\frac{51,734,660}{\text { S461490}}$ | \$3,31, 687 | \$3,311,687 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Contractor Markups and General Conditions }}{\text { Contractor Home ofice }}$ |  |  |  |  | \$461,419 |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Condtions |  |  | 8.0\% | ${ }_{\text {\$138,73 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor fee Proiect Bondl/ nurance |  |  | 8.6\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$45,101 }}}{\text { \$138,73 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$55,240 |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Probable Construction Cost }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | \$2,196,079 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | 5656,824 |  |  |  |
| Fracilty design llowances based on level of design |  | PER | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market adiustment factor | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PER } \\ \text { PER }}}$ | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Consultant and Subcontractor Fees }}{\text { Allowance for geotechnical investigation }}$ |  |  |  |  | \$456,784 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotechical ivestigation Allownece forenviromental perrititing | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | S30,000.00 s0.00 | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Engineering desigig and municizal permiting fee Constrution manaement | 1 | PeR PeR | - | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S228,392 } \\ \$ 228,922}}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management fee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$228,392 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$3,312,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {Low Range }}$ High Range | - | \$2,319,000 \$4,968,000 |  |  |  |



| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { Cost }}}^{\text {cose }}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total Cost wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HHW Building (65 $\times 75^{\prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  | \$124,125 |  | S236,971 |  |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No new pad needed since building upgrade |
| Strip topsoil (12" deep) and stokkile onsite Fine grade ste, machine | : | SY ${ }_{\text {sY }}$ | S1.30 81.20 | s0 |  | so |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2'deep) | : | cr | 53.90 81.30 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Granular sub-basee ( 3 " minus, $6^{\prime \prime}$ thick) | 0 | cr | \$36.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Granuar base (DGAA, 12 " thick) Curb and guter | \% | $\underset{\text { cr }}{\substack{\text { cr } \\ \text { L }}}$ | s36.00 S14.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Asphatt paving (90 thick) | 0 | sr | \$655.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| RoadwayPPerimeter Ditching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Bay Builiding Uprades (65' ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (55) |  |  | \$176.00 | so |  |  |  |  |
| Stirib-on-Grade concreete floor (8") | 0 | SF | \$\$12.00 | so |  | so |  |  |
| Pre-Engineered Meata Builing ysisid walls | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { sF }}{\text { sF }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{535.00 \\ 5570}}$ | \$0 |  | so |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | SF | \$55.70 | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | so |  | MEANS D5022 115, D5020 210 |
| Man doors | 0 | EA | S2,00000 | \$0 |  | so |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{4.875}$ | EA | \$11.00.00 | \$14,625 |  | s227,921 |  | Historical cost |
| Allowance for security ssitem | 1 | $\stackrel{15}{ }$ | \$5,000.00 | 55,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {S9,546 }}$ |  |  |
| Allowance for AlTre sis sitem | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { LS }}$ | S20,000 S200 | \$20,000 |  | s38,183 |  | Historical cost |
| Abovegronn 2x-waled 9500L Lstorge tanks | ${ }_{1}$ | EA | \$11,000.00 | S22,000 |  |  |  | \$9500 purchase + \$1500 allowance for deliveryinstall |
| Allownane for epopxy coating of exisiting soncratet floor | 1 | Ls | \$10,000.00 | S10,000 |  | \$19,991 |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { LS }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\$ 15,000000000}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S20,000 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water coonnection | 0 | LF | \$0.00 | so |  | so |  | Incl in staff building |
| Sen $\begin{aligned} & \text { Saniarir sewer conneation } \\ & \text { Electrical tiein tot tansomer }\end{aligned}$ | 0 | Lif | \$75.00 | s0 |  | so |  |  |
| Telecom connection Natural gas oonection | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | \$80.00 | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | so |  | in staff buildin |
| Environmental Protection Clay liner <br> Groundwater monitoring wells | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LF }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s7, } 500}^{\text {s0 }}$ |  | (\$0 |  |  |
| Subtotal Contrator Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  | \$124,125 | ${ }_{\text {\$124,425 }}^{\text {S33,017 }}$ | S236,971 | \$236,971 |  |
| Contractor Home Office |  |  | 5.0\% | 56,206 |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Conditions |  |  | ${ }^{8.0 \%}$ | ${ }_{\$ 9,930}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Contractor } \\ \text { Proee } \\ \text { Priect Bond/nsurance }}}{ }$ |  |  | 8.6\% | ¢59,930 |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | ${ }_{\text {S3, }}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$157,142 |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { Contingencies }}$ |  |  |  |  | 547,143 |  |  |  |
| Facailiy design alowances based on level of design Marke adiusment accor | 1 | ${ }^{\text {PeR }}$ | ${ }^{25 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }} 539,286$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Marketadijustment factor } \\ \text { Escalation }}}{\text { a }}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PER } \\ \text { PeR }}}$ | 5\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { s7, } \\ \text { \$0 }}}{\text { 27 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$32,686 |  |  |  |
| Allowactio for foitichicalinivestigation | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { LS }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S30,00.00 } \\ \text { S0.00 }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation alowances per parcel. |
| Enginering desigig and municipal permiting fee Constuction manaement ee | 1 | PER PeR | 8.0\% $8.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | S237,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Low Range }}$ High Range | - | ¢\$166,000 |  |  |  |






| $\overline{\text { Contingencies }}$ |  |  |  |  | \$536,647 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design | 1 | PER | 25\% | ${ }^{\text {\$447,206 }}$ |  |
| Market adjustment factor | 1 | PER | 5\% | \$89,441 |  |
| Escalation |  | PER | 0\% | so |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$372,075 |
| Allowance for geotechnical investigation | 0 | LS | \$30,000.00 | So |  |
| Allowance forenvironmental permititing | 1 | Ls | \$0.00 | so |  |
| Engineering design and municipal permiting fee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$186,038 |  |
| Construction management fee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$188,038 |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$2,698,000 |
|  |  |  | Low Range | -30\% | \$1,889,000 |


| Description | aty | Unit | Unit Cost | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { Cost }}}^{\text {ate }}$ | btotals | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site-wide Demolition and Disposal |  |  |  |  | \$1,501,708 |  | \$2,86,952 |  |
| Demolition of exisiting infrastructure Pad demolitoon |  |  | \$55.00 | \$1.074.231 |  |  |  |  |
| Buididing demolition | ${ }^{191,511}$ | Cr | \$54.00 |  |  |  |  | Assume three $100^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$ building demo with density factor 0.2 |
| Demolition debiris disposal | 15,321 |  | \$25.00 | \$383,032 |  | \$731,258 |  |  |
| Subtoal |  |  |  | \$1,501,708 | \$1,501,708 | \$2,86,952 | \$2,86,952 |  |
| Contractor Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | \$399,454 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office |  |  | 5.0\% | ${ }_{\text {s75,085 }}^{\text {s720 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Conditions Contractor Fee |  |  | - ${ }_{8}^{8.0 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {\$120, }}{ }_{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Project Bondl/nsurance |  |  | 2.6\% | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$45,051 |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Probable Construction Cost }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | \$1,901,162 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$550,349 |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Contugenclies }}$ Facily design allowances based on level of design |  | PER | 25\% | \$475,290 |  |  |  |  |
| Mater Marke adiustent factor | 1 | PeR PER | 5\% | $\underset{\text { s95.058 }}{\text { s0 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$395,442 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotecenhical investigation | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{L s}{ }$ | \$30,000.00 | ${ }_{50}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Alownece for environmental permitting Engineering design and municipal permiting fee | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{50.00 \\ 8.0 \%}}$ | (1907 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management fee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$197,721 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Low Range } \\ \text { High Range }}}{\text { Len }}$ | . ${ }_{\text {50\% }}$ | $\$ 2,007,000$ $\$ 4,301,000$ |  |  |  |




Appendix 4A-2

## Capital Cost Estimates <br> Plan Concept 2

Rough Order of Magnitude (Class 4) Cost Opinion
Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Roseville, CA
Date: Oct-30-2018

| Description | Qty | Unit | Unit Cost w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee | Total Cost w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee | Subtotals w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan Concept 2 Critical Elements |  |  |  |  | \$569,855,665 |
| Public Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - Roadways | 1 | LS | \$1,799,189 | \$1,799,189 |  |
| Public Area - Buyback ( $220{ }^{\prime} \times 230^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$2,655,780 | \$2,655,780 |  |
| Public Area-HHW ( $300 \mathrm{x} \times 100^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$1,787,519 | \$1,787,519 |  |
| Public Area - Reuse Store Area ( $155^{\prime} \times 140^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$1,909,078 | \$1,909,078 |  |
| Public Area - Tipping Area | 1 | LS | \$8,856,534 | \$8,856,534 |  |
| C\&D |  |  |  |  |  |
| C\&D - C\&D Pad ( $1000{ }^{\prime} \times 530$ ) | 1 | LS | \$10,175,809 | \$10,175,809 |  |
| C\&D - Processing Line | 1 | LS | \$7,922,881 | \$7,922,881 |  |
| Composting |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost - Green Waste Pad ( 210 ' $2225^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$1,404,545 | \$1,404,545 |  |
| Compost - Wood Waste Pad (115' $\times 225$ ) | 1 | LS | \$769,156 | \$769,156 |  |
| Compost - Outdoor Receiving Area (90' $\times 200$ ) | 1 | LS | \$2,462,377 | \$2,462,377 |  |
| Compost - Screening and Product Storage Pad ( $400{ }^{\prime} \times 350$ ) | 1 | LS | \$5,932,451 | \$5,932,451 |  |
| Compost - Temporary Positive ASP System | 1 | LS | \$470,829 | \$470,829 |  |
| Compost - Active Composting System (205' x 880') | 1 | LS | \$14,811,623 | \$14,811,623 |  |
| Compost - Biofilter ( $135{ }^{\prime} \times 880$ ) | 1 | LS | \$5,122,623 | \$5,122,623 |  |
| Compost - ASP Curing System ( $185{ }^{\prime} \times 880{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$12,196,234 | \$12,196,234 |  |
| Compost - Dedicated Storm Water Ponds | 1 | LS | \$1,057,713 | \$1,057,713 |  |
| Compost - Miscellaneous Equipment | 1 | LS | \$12,409 | \$12,409 |  |
| Landfill |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stockpile Relocation | 1 | LS | \$26,727,792 | \$26,727,792 |  |
| Landfill Construction | 1 | LS | \$254,936,766 | \$254,936,766 |  |
| Unlined Area Waste Excavation | 1 | LS | \$102,344,916 | \$102,344,916 |  |
| Landfill Closure | 1 | LS | \$106,499,440 | \$106,499,440 |  |
| Plan Concept 2 Necessary Supporting Elements |  |  |  |  | \$45,428,470 |
| Admin |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Staff Bldg ( 10,000 sf or $100{ }^{\prime} \times 100$ ) | 1 | LS | \$15,493,528 | \$15,493,528 |  |
| Admin Staff Parking ( $25,000 \mathrm{sf}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$172,583 | \$172,583 |  |
| Main Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Entrance - Roadways | 1 | LS | \$802,788 | \$802,788 |  |
| Main Entrance - Scale/Building | 1 | LS | \$1,548,557 | \$1,548,557 |  |
| Western Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance - Roadways | 1 | LS | \$775,106 | \$775,106 |  |
| Western Entrance - Scale/Building | 1 | LS | \$360,125 | \$360,125 |  |
| Overpass |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overpass | 1 | LS | \$9,278,433 | \$9,278,433 |  |
| Recovered Materials Storage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recyclables Storage Building | 1 | LS | \$8,281,730 | \$8,281,730 |  |
| Primary Maintenance Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Maintenance - Maintenance Area ( $250^{\prime} \times 300{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$1,842,538 | \$1,842,538 |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Maintenance Area (250' $\times 300^{\prime}$ ) | 1 | LS | \$2,394,397 | \$2,394,397 |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Staff Bldg and Parking Area ( $100{ }^{\prime} \times 220$ ) | 1 | LS | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| Stormwater Pond |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Storm Water Ponds | 1 | LS | \$4,478,684 | \$4,478,684 |  |
| Plan Concept 2 Non-Critical Elements |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| Main Site HHW Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Concept 2 Existing Features to be Removed |  |  |  |  | \$217,629 |
| Compost Pond Removal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Concept 2 General Elements |  |  |  |  | \$24,862,737 |
| Special Permits and Allow |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Permits | 1 | LS | \$6,973,364 | \$6,973,364 |  |
| Geotechnical Investigations | 1 | LS | \$180,000 | \$180,000 |  |
| Wetlands Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Beautification |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Beautification | 1 | LS | \$3,143,189 | \$3,143,189 |  |
| Site-wide Demolition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Site Utilities | 1 | Ls | \$3,061,096 | \$3,061,096 |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS | 1 | LS | \$415,766 | \$415,766 |  |
| Total Probable Cost |  |  |  | \$640,364,501 | \$640,364,501 |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$640,365,000 |
|  |  |  | Low Range High Range | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-30 \% \\ & 50 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 448,256,000 \\ \$ 960,548,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan

## Roseville, CA

Date: Oct-30-2018

| Common Construction Unit Rates | Unit Cost | Unit | Variable | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  |  |
| Strip topsoil (12" deep) and stockpile onsite | \$1.30 | SY | topsoil_strip | Assumes stockpile along west property boundary, scraper haul |
| Fine grade site, machine | \$1.20 | SY | finegrade | MEANS 312216 |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2' deep) | \$3.90 | CY | common_ex | MEANS 3320 15, Assume stockpile along west property boundary |
| Subgrade preparation | \$1.30 | SY | subgrade_prep |  |
| Granular sub-base (3" minus, 6 " thick) | \$7.30 | SY | gran_subbase | CALTRANS Historical 260203 |
| Granular base (DGA, 12" thick) | \$36.00 | CY | gran_base | CALTRANS Historical 260303 |
| Curb and gutter | \$14.00 | LF | curb_gutter | MEANS 321613 |
| Asphalt paving (9" thick) | \$65.00 | SY | asphalt | CH2M estimate |
| Roadway/Perimeter Ditching | \$1.50 | LF | ditching | Grader/dozer work |
| Environmental Protection |  |  |  |  |
| Clay liner ( 0.5 m thick) | \$3.40 | SF | clay_liner | CH2M Estimate \$55/cy, 20" thick |
| Groundwater monitoring wells | \$7,500.00 | LS | GW_wells | CH2M Estimate ( 3 wells to 30 ft , casing protector) |
| Synthetic pond liner (supply and install) | \$6.30 | sy | HDPE_liner | CH2M Historical, 40 mil |
| Buildings and Concrete |  |  |  |  |
| Strip Footing ( 2' thick, $3^{\prime}$ wide) | \$176.00 | LF | strip_footing | 0.22 cy per LF |
| Push Wall Footing ( $2^{\prime}$ 'thick, 8 ' wide) | \$570.00 | LF | push_wall_footing | 0.6 cy per LF |
| Push Walls (12' high, 12' thick at top, 18" thick at base) | \$600.00 | LF | push_wall | 0.6 cy per LF |
| Slab-on-Grade concrete floor (8") | \$12.00 | SF | concrete_slab | after verbal discussions with local contractor |
| Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Sanitary sewer connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Electrical tie-in to transformer | \$75.00 | LF | buried_elec | 450 KVA total connected load/ 300 KVA operating demand |
| Telecom connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Natural gas connection | \$0.00 | LF |  |  |
| Markups and Fees | Rate | Unit | Variable | Notes |
| Contractor Mob and General Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office | 5.0\% |  | CHO | Assumes multi-trade GC does most all of the work |
| Contractor General Conditions | 8.0\% |  | CGC | Assumes 12 month construction schedule |
| Contractor Fee | 8.0\% |  | CF |  |
| Project Bond/Insurance | 2.6\% |  | PBI |  |
| Mobilization/Demobilization | 3.0\% |  | Mob_Demob |  |
| Contingencies: |  |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design | 25\% |  | design_cntngy |  |
| Market adjustment factor | 5\% |  | MAF | Construction market is very busy |
| Escalation | 0\% |  | escalation | use 3\% per year |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees: |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering design and municipal permitting fee | 8.0\% |  | Eng_fee |  |
| Construction management fee | 8.0\% |  | CM_fee |  |
| Estimate Ranges: |  |  |  |  |
| Low Range | -30\% |  | low_range |  |
| High Range | 50\% |  | high_range |  |

Notes:
The cost estimates are based on 1st quarter 2016 rates from the CALTRANS historical costs (concrete and import fill), MEANS (earthwork), CH2M historical values, Golder historical values, and calculated values where indicated. Cost estimates are largely based on 2016/2017 values because cost development commenced in 2017, prior to Board meeting in Dec 2017. A CH2M/Jacobs cost estimator has
These AACEI Classification Class 4 cost estimates are assumed to represent the actual total installed cost within the range of -30 percent to +50 percent (\% based on AACEI) of the cost indicated.
3 The estimate is prepared with due diligence with the available information and under normal operations. However this should be subject to market demands and circumstances. The possibility of securing a competive bid process is questionable and should be taken into consideration.
4 Factors that may affect the estimate on the following issues include escalation, premium on labor, engineering
5 The final cost do the project will be subject to labor rates, material cost, actual site conditions, availability of labor, material and equipment, final project scope, final project schedule (flexible or fixed), public consultation and input, and other mitigating factors (e.g. timing of construction and award). As a result, the final project cost may defer from the presented budget. Due to facts mentioned, the funding of the project should be carefully reviewed prior to establishing the final budget.
6 It is assumed that there is no hazardeous materail to remove and dispose
7 It is assumed that the work will performed under a 40-hr, normal workweek schedule. No acceleration costs included..
8 It's assumed that all materials are readibly available at no premium costs, that delivery is normal costs, and the contractor has adequate laydown and site facilities.

## Exclusions/Qualifications:

Equipment specifications not identified.
2 Federal and state sales tax are included in unit rates.
3 Municipal fees \& licences not included
4 As the design is at conceptual stage, the tie-ins to existing equipment and facilities have not being identified.
5 Rock excavation not included
Dewatering is not included
7 Escalation is not included. Values are in 1st Qtr 2016 values



| Description | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { Cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {ate }}$ | Subtotals |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals } w / 1 \\ \text { Markup, Cont., } \& \text { Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8D.C8DPad (1000' ${ }^{5350^{\circ} \text { ) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thworks, Pads and Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stirine topsoil (12" deep) and stockpile onste | ${ }_{5}^{58,889} 5$ | sy | \$1.30 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s70,067 } \\ \text { s7,566 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S146,155 }}$ S134,912 |  |  |
| Common excavation to Stockpile (2' deep) | 0 | cr | S3.90 | ${ }_{50}$ |  | so |  |  |
|  | 58.889 | sY | \$1.30 | 576.556 |  | \$146,155 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{2} .181$ | cr | S36.00 | S78.519 |  | \$149.902 |  |  |
| Curb and gutter | 0 | LF | S14.00 | ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  | so |  |  |
| Asphalt paving (9"thick | ${ }^{56,889}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { SY } \\ \text { LF }}}{\text { ck }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S17 }} 865000$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s, } \\ \text { sol }}}{\text { c/85 }}$ |  | st, ${ }_{\substack{\text { so }}}^{\text {s7 }}$ |  |  |
| Roaway Peorimeter itiching | 0 | Ls | \$75,000.00 | ${ }_{\text {S0 }}$ |  | so |  |  |
| Overhang Roof <br> Overhang with structural column support (no walls) | 20,000 | SF | S60.00 | \$1,200,000 |  | \$2,290,954 |  | Assume cover for $100^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ portion of C\&D pad to shield processing line from rain; not a building, just an open-air roof structure |
| Enviromental ProtectionClay liner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay y iner Groundwater monitoring wells | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { SF }}{\text { LF }}$ | S3,40 \$7,50.00 | so |  | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { C8D - Processing Line }}$ 40-5 ton |  |  |  |  | \$4,150,000 |  | s7,92,881 |  |
| -Processing Ine, inculuding shipping, instalation, and startup | 1 | EA | \$4,000,000.00 | \$4,000,00 |  | \$7,63,512 |  | Buik Handiling Quote, Sept 2018 |
| Utilit ConnectionsPotable water connection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potable water comnection | 2,000 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | Assume can use for rocoess water and potable use |
| Eleatrical itiol to tonstormer | 2,000 | Le | \$57.00 | \$150,000 |  | S286,369 |  | Assume electrical supply is present for existing C8D and can use this with extension |
| Telecom commection Natural gas oonnection | 0 | $\stackrel{\text { LF }}{\text { LF }}$ | S0000 S0000 | ${ }_{\text {S0 }}^{\text {S0 }}$ |  | ¢0 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$9,480,082 | \$9,480,082 | \$18,098,690 | \$18,098,690 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Contractor Markups and General Conditions }}{\text { Contractor Home ofice }}$ |  |  |  |  | \$2,521,702 |  |  |  |
| Contractor General Conditions |  |  | ${ }^{\text {8.0\%\% }}$ | ( |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Proee Priect Bondl nusuance |  |  | 2.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization/Demoboilization |  |  | 3.0\% | \$284,402 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faailit design allowances based on level of design |  |  | 25\% | \$3,000.446 |  |  |  |  |
| Market adiustment factor | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PER } \\ \text { PER }}}$ | 5\% | $\underset{\substack{\text { s600,089 } \\ \text { so }}}{\text { coser }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (2,96, 371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotechnical investigation | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S30.000.00 } \\ \text { S0.00 }}}{\text { a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation alowances per parcel. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Low Range <br> High Range | -30\% | $\$ 12,670,000$ <br> \$27,149,000 |  |  |  |








| Doscripion | ${ }^{\text {aty }}$ | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { cost }}_{\text {Unitt }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { cose }}$ | Subotals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overpass |  |  |  |  | \$4, 860,037 |  | 59,278,433 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{sF} \\ & \mathrm{sF} \\ & \text { sF } \\ & \mathrm{sF} \\ & \mathrm{sF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4.00 \\ \$ 2.00 \\ \$ 20 \\ \$ 150 \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl1512000 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Subital }}{\text { Contactor Tarkus sand General Conditions }}$ |  |  |  | S4, 860.037 |  | s9,278,433 | S9,278,433 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corrasio fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 36,12, 807 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies Facility design allowances based on level of design Market adjustment factor Escalation |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRERER } \\ & \substack{\text { Per }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 250 \% \\ 50 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{51,845.842}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$1,297,74 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotechnical investigation Engineering design and municipal permitting fee Construction management fee |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lise } \\ \text { per } \\ \hline \text { PR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{530.0 .0000000 \\ \text { join } \\ 8.00 \%} \\ 8.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Assume 2 goolect invesigation alownoces per parcel |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost Low Range High Range | come |  |  |  |  |


| Doscripion | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { unit }}}_{\text {cost }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { roat } \\ \text { cost }}}{\text { cost }}$ | Subtat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$4,33,7,96 |  | S8,28,7,30 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {sV }}^{\text {sV }}$ | ¢1,30 | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
|  | $\substack{1.30 \\ 600}_{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | cor |  | Stis |  |  |  |  |
| comer | 0 | ${ }_{\text {cr }}$ | S3800 | 50 |  | so |  |  |
| Cuthen | 60 | $\stackrel{\text { cren }}{\substack{\text { sr }}}$ |  | (540 |  | some |  |  |
|  | \% | ${ }_{\text {Lis }}^{\text {LF }}$ | Sis.0.50, | Stion |  | S19091 |  | Inglemoval ofexsing sasplat. Disposal onsite |
| Storage iulidig (175 $\times$ 400) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (10,500 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sF } \\ \text { sF }}}^{\text {cher }}$ | cistition |  |  | cois |  |  |
|  | 70,000 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sF } \\ \text { SFe }}}^{\text {sfe }}$ | cisisio | Sssesioo |  |  |  | MEANS D5520 115, 05220210 |
|  | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ${ }_{\text {SFe }}^{\text {SEA }}$ | Stisision | Stitaoo |  | sseifire |  |  |
|  | 70.000 |  | ciol | S220,000 |  |  |  | Hisens torio |
|  | - | ${ }_{\text {L5 }}^{15}$ |  | so |  | so |  |  |
|  | : |  | cis | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{50}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  | Hessorial Ssost |
| Uulity Conneraios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ind in staft buic |
|  | 1.000 | , | (sin) | Sis. |  | sisi, |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ |  | (s.0.00 | so |  | so |  | Ind in staff builiding |
| Enviommenal Proaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : | ${ }_{\text {sf }}{ }^{\text {sf }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s7,500.00 }}^{\text {S.a }}$ | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ |  | so |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 54.377 .965 |  | S8,281,730 | S8,281,730 |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cos }}^{5.0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (20\% | S130,139 |  |  |  |  |
| Probable construction cost |  |  |  |  | 55,991,864 |  |  |  |
| Contigonnies |  |  |  |  | S1,647,59 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design Market adjustment factor Escalation | 1 | (ekR | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 0 \% \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | S1,1423,38 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | - | soiouo | ${ }_{50}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geolectivestigation alownexes per parcel. |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PeR }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Total Probable Cost } \\ \hline \text { Low Range } \\ \text { High Range } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}^{\text {50\% }}$ |  |  |  |  |




| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost cost | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cta }}$ | Subtotals | Total Cost w/ Markup, Cont., \& Fee | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Storm Water Ponds |  |  |  |  | \$2,345,932 |  | \$4,47,684 |  |
| C8D Storwater Pond | 1 |  | \$15,000.00 | \$15000 |  | ${ }_{528.637}$ |  | Source: Pond Costs, XISx; Prainage Calculations. XISx; costs from Golder WRSL Estimate; assume 1000-ye |
| Prosioct Management | I | Ls | \$88,000.00 | S80,000 |  | \$152,730 |  |  |
| Mob and Demob | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { cs }}{ }$ | \$15.000.00 | \$15,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {s28,637 }}$ |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Clearing and Grubing } \\ \text { Exavation }}}{\text { a }}$ | ${ }^{8.167}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { SY }}$ | \$ ${ }_{\text {\$1.30 }}$ | \$\$10.617 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landilils Stormwater Pond | 1 | 15 | \$15000.00 | \$15,000 |  | ${ }_{528.637}$ |  | Source: Pond Costs, x\sx; Drainage Calculations. $\times$ XSx; Costs from Golder WRSL Estimate; assume 1000-ye |
| ${ }^{\text {Project Management }}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {LS }}$ | \$1550,000000 | \$1550,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {S286,369 }}^{52867}$ |  |  |
| Mob and Demob | 1 | ${ }^{\text {Ls }}$ | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000 |  | ${ }^{528,637}$ |  |  |
| Unoad Geosynthetics | ${ }_{86,667}^{1}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {cr }}^{\text {s\% }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S20.000.00 } \\ \$ 130}}{\text { cise }}$ | \$20.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{80,667} 1084$ | ${ }_{\text {cr }}$ | \$ ${ }_{\text {s.250 }}$ | \$112,667 |  | \$215,09 S919,644 |  |  |
| HDPE Doublesided Textured Geomembrane | 783,950 | SF | \$1.60 | \$1,25, 320 |  | \$2,39,657 |  |  |
| Public Crea Starmwater Pond |  |  | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000 |  |  |  | Source: Pond Costs.xssx; Drainage Calculations.xIsx; costs from Golder WRSL Estimate; assume 1000-ye |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {LS }}$ | \$88,000.00 | ${ }_{\text {s80,000 }}$ |  | \$152,730 |  |  |
| Mob and Demob | 1 |  | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {S22,637 }}$ |  |  |
| Cleating and Grubbing | 8,333 10, 162 | SY CY | ( $\begin{gathered}\$ 1.30 \\ \$ 250\end{gathered}$ | \$ $\begin{gathered}\text { \$10,833 } \\ \$ 25.504\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation |  |  | \$2.50 | \$25,404 |  | \$48,500 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$2,35,932 | \$2,345,932 | \$4,478,684 | \$4,47,684 |  |
| Contractor Markup and General Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{5.0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | ${ }^{8.0 \%}$ | \$1877,675 |  |  |  |  |
| Prober Pondil surance |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2.0\% }}^{2.6 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Probable Construction Cost }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | \$2,969,950 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | \$880,985 |  |  |  |
| Facility design alowancos based on level of design Marke adiustment factor | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PeR }}$ | ${ }^{25 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {S7424,487 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Marker | 1 | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { PER } \\ \text { PER }\end{gathered}$ | 5\% | \$148,497 ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$617,750 |  |  |  |
| Allowarce for feotechnical investigation | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ls }}$ | \$30,000.00 | so |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Alownef for environmental permititig | 1 | ${ }_{\text {LS }}^{\text {LS }}$ | 50.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineesing design and municipal permiting fee Construction management fee | 1 | PeR PER | 8.0\% | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { \$3088,875 } \\ \$ 30875\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$4,479,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Low Range High Range | - | S4,43,000 <br> $56,790,000$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Description | aty | Unit | $\underbrace{\text { Cost }}_{\text {Unit }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {ate }}$ | Subtotals |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wis } \\ \text { Markup. Cont, } \& \text { Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Permits |  |  |  |  | \$6,973,364 |  | \$6,973,364 |  |
| Soid Waste Facility Permiting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source: pemitillitxisx Assume arrady covered in LF Modues she |
| ${ }_{\text {Len }}^{\text {Landius }}$ Compostaciliy | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{ }$ | \$4,423,996.24 | ${ }_{\text {S4,423,996 }}$ |  | \$4,423,996 |  |  |
| Environmental/ Land Use/ Local Permitting Entire facility | 1 | เs | 2,549,367.66 | \$2,549,368 |  | \$2,54,368 |  | Assume cost is $1 \%$ of landilil construction capital cost applied 2 years before landifl construction on weste |
| Geotechnical Investigations |  |  |  |  | \$180,000 |  | \$180,000 | Assume 6 investigations beginning in Year 1 every 5 years. |
| Geotechnical investigation Allownef for seotechnical investigation | 6 | Ls | \$30,000.00 | \$180,000 |  | \$180,000 |  | Assume 2 per parcel |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 57,153,364 | s7,153,364 | S7,153,364 | 57,15,364 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Contractor Markup and General Conditions }}{\text { Contracor Home office }}$ |  |  | 0.0\% | so | so |  |  | No contractor markups for permititing |
| Contractor General Conditions |  |  | 0.0\% | so |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | 0.0\% | ${ }^{50}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Proied Bondilnsurance |  |  | - | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$7,15,364 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | so |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design |  | PER |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency already builit int costs from Compost |
| Marke adiustment factor | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PER } \\ \text { PER }}}$ | 0\% | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | so |  |  |  |
| Allowace for geotechnical investigation | ${ }_{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | S30.000.00 ${ }_{\text {so.00 }}$ | so |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Engineering desigig and municical permiting fee | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PeR }}^{\text {PeR }}$ | - $0.0 \%$ | \$0 |  |  |  | Permiting fee built in |
| Construction management fee | 1 | PER | 0.0\% | so |  |  |  | No construction management fee |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$7,154,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - | \$5,008,000 10,731,000 |  |  |  |



| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost | Total cost | tals | Total Cost w/ <br> Markup, Cont., \& Fee |  | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Beautification |  |  |  |  | \$1,646,400 |  | ¢3,143,189 |  |
| Uigatio from exisiting non-potale water surree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main irigation contros system (8.ssation controler) | ${ }_{8}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Ls }}{\text { EA }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S2, } 200000}$ \$1,20.00 |  |  |  |  | Includes |
| Irrigation main piping and trenching | 33,200 | LF | \$14.00 | \$464,800 |  | 5887,363 |  | Assume perimeter of western property + perimeter of main and eastern merged; mixed vegetation |
| Lateral piping | 16,600 |  | \$12.00 | \$199,200 |  | \$380,298 |  | Assume lateral piping is is 5 \% of main piping, includes sprinker heads |
| Signal wiring Tiein $_{\text {connection to exisiting main header }}$ | 3,320 | EA | S0.80 | $\underset{\substack{\text { S2,.56 } \\ \text { \$700 }}}{ }$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 1,336}}{\text { S }}$ |  | Assume connection to vave stations; $10 \%$ of main piping |
| Enhanced vegetation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Topsoil | 6,148 | cr | 530.00 | \$184,444 |  | ¢352,128 |  | Imported topsil spread along perimeter, 10 ftwide 6 " deppth |
| Vegetation along perimeter of site | 33,200 | LF | \$3.00 | S99,600 |  | \$190, 149 |  | Assume new fencing perimeter to enclose new landifll on westerm property + perimeter of main and C\&D |
| Landscaping/vegetation at new admin building Landscaping/vegetation at main entrance | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{SF}_{\text {SF }}$ | $\$ 2.00$ $\$ 3.00$ | $\begin{gathered} s 2,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\$ 3,818$ $\$ 2,864$ |  | Assume $1,000 \mathrm{sf}$; mixed vegetation (trees, shrubs) for commercial property Assume 500 sf; mixed vegetation (trees, shrubs) for commercial property |
| Fencing <br> 6-ft chain link or comparable <br> Fence gates for maintenance truck access, if needed | ${ }^{18,900} 6$ | EA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35.00 \\ \$ 3,000.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 661,500$ \$18,000 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,262,888 \\ \$ 34,364 \end{gathered}$ |  | Assume existing fencing will be used; this is only new fencing to enclose landfill on western property and $C$ Assume 6 gates |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$1,646,400 | \$1,646,400 | \$3,14, 189 | \$3,143,189 |  |
| Contractor Markup and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | \$437,943 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home oftice Contractor Genera Condions |  |  | 8.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | 8.0\% | \$131,712 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{3.0 \%}^{2.6 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$2,084,343 |  |  |  |




| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost cost | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { cost }}}^{\text {cose }}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shared S Site Utilities |  |  |  |  | \$1,603,400 |  | \$3,061,096 |  |
| Sewer line exter | 5,300 | LF | \$280.00 | \$1,484,000 |  | \$2833.146 |  | Assume 36" diameler or other standard size for sanitary sewer through industrial zone; length is along |
| Sewer line piping Stu--ut of future connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fiduyment Rd between Sunsee Elvd and Athens Ave |
| Manhole | 11 | EA | S9,000.00 | \$99,400 |  | \$182, 131 |  | Assume manhole every 500 If |
| Subtoal |  |  |  | \$1,603,400 | \$1,603,400 | \$3,061,096 | \$3,061,096 |  |
| Contractor Markups and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | \$426,504 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home office Contractor General Conditions |  |  | ${ }^{5.0 \% \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | 8.0\% | \$128,272 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2.6\% }}$ | ( ${ }_{\text {S41,688 }}^{\text {s4, }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$2,029,904 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | 5608,971 |  |  |  |
| Fasility desisn allowances based on level of design Marke adiustment factor | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PRe }}}$ | ${ }_{50 \%}^{25 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S507.476 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Marceladijustment factor } \\ \text { Escalation }}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PRR }}}$ | 0\% | S101,495 ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$422,220 |  |  |  |
| Allowance tor geotechnical investigation Allowance fore environmental permiting | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | \$30,000.00 50.00 | ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation alowances per parcel. |
| Engineering design and municipal permititing tee | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}$ | 8.0\% | \$211,110 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management tee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$211,110 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  | \$3,062,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Low respe }}$ | - ${ }_{\text {-30\% }}^{50 \%}$ | $\$ 3,062,000$ $\$ 4,144,000$ 593,000 |  |  |  |


| Description | aty | Unit | Unit cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Subtotals | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost w/ } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtotals wl } \\ \text { Markup, Cont., \& Fee } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Notes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRF Upgrade to TS |  |  |  |  | \$217,778 |  | \$415,766 |  |
| Crimores | ${ }_{211}^{112}$ | cy | 530.00 66500 | $\underset{\substack{53,333 \\ \text { s14,44 }}}{ }$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S6,364 } \\ \text { 2 } 2756}}{ }$ |  | Assume excavate two bays to instal scales, 100 feet length by 20 feet width with 9 "thick exising asphat |
| Asphat paving (99" thick) | ${ }^{222}$ | sY | \$65.00 | \$14,444 |  | \$27,576 |  |  |
| Scales and Instrumentation <br> Truck scale (100') supply and install include concrete footings | 2 | เs | \$100,000.00 | \$200,000 |  | \$381,826 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$217,778 | S2177,778 | \$415,766 | \$415,766 |  |
| Contractor Markup and General Conditions |  |  |  |  | \$57,229 |  |  |  |
| Contractor Home Office ${ }_{\text {contractor }}^{\text {ceneral Conditions }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{5.0 \%}$ | (10.889 |  |  |  |  |
| Contractor Fee |  |  | 8.0\% | \$17,422 |  |  |  |  |
| Proiect Bondinsurance |  |  | ${ }_{3.0 \%}^{2.6 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probable Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | \$275,707 |  |  |  |
| Contingencies |  |  |  |  | ¢82,712 |  |  |  |
| Facility design allowances based on level of design |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mater | 1 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { PeR } \\ \text { PER }}}$ | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant and Subcontractor Fees |  |  |  |  | \$57,347 |  |  |  |
| Allowance for geotech hicali ivestigation | 1 | $\stackrel{\text { LS }}{\text { LS }}$ | $\xrightarrow{533.000 .00} 5$ | s0 |  |  |  | Assume 2 geotech investigation allowances per parcel. |
| Alowner $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alownefor envionmental permiting } \\ & \text { Engineering design and municipal permititing tee }\end{aligned}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {PER }}^{\text {LS }}$ | 80.00 | S22,673 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction management fee | 1 | PER | 8.0\% | \$28,673 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Low Range High Range | $\xrightarrow{-30 \%}$ | s292,000 s624,000 |  |  |  |

Appendix 4A-3
Capital Cost Outlays

## Appendix 4A-3 <br> Capital Cost Outlay Plan Concept 0







## Client: WPWMA Proiect: Renewat <br> Proiect: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Workseet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 0



## Client: WPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshoet. Intital Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 0



## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Worksheet: Intitial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 0





|  |  |  | 2081 59 |  | 2082 60 |  |  | 2083 61 |  | 2084 62 | 2085 63 |  |  | 2086 64 |  |  | 2087 65 |  | 2088 66 |  | 2089 67 |  | 2090 68 |  |  | 2091 69 |  |  | 2092 70 |  | 2093 71 |  |  | 2094 72 |  |  | ${ }_{73}^{2095}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITIAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Necessary Supporting Elements }}{\text { dimin }}$ Seplacement Frequency Interval (Year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Admin }}^{\text {Admin Staff Bldg }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reppace Builing Replae evilit Connections | ${ }_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 105,957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Staff Parking Lot Replace Parking Lot | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin - Subtoal Initial Costs Admin - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | : | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$}$ | 105,957 \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | \$ | : | \$ | : | \$ |  | \$ ${ }_{\text {S }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : |
| Main Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Entrance- Roadway | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Entrancee-Scoadways ${ }_{\text {Relebuilding }}$ | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 9,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repalae Builing Replace cales | ${ }_{20} 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 610,921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Mechanical Replace Utilly Connections | 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | 577,274 442,918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | s |  | \$ |  | : | \$ | : | \$ | - \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | 1120.913 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : |
| Western Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance- Roadways Replace Roadways | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance - scalelBuiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace adas Replace Building | ${ }_{50}^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Scales Replace Mechanical | 20 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Utility Connections | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance - Subtoal Inital Costs Western Entrance - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | \$ | : | \$ | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  | : |
| Overpass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reppace Overpass Overass Subtial initl Costs Overpass - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - \$ |  | : | \$ |  |  | \$ | : | \$ | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | : |
| Recovered Materials Storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Builing | 20 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 155,718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Ulitity Connections | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recovered Materials Storge -Subtoal Intial Costs Recovered Materials storage-Subtoal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | \$ | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | : |
| Primary Maintenance Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Buiding | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pepplae Utility Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 35,796 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Maintenance - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ | 35,796 |  | - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | s | : | \$ | - | \$ |  | $\stackrel{\$}{\$}$ |  | - | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | \$ |  | s |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff FacilitySaielitie Maintenance and Staft-Maintenance Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace suiling | ${ }_{50}^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads <br> Replace Building <br> Replace Utility Connections | ( 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | : |
| Stormwater Pond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Stormwater Ponds (liner) | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 112,196 | \$ | 112,196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stormaier Pond - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ | 112,196 | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | 112,196 \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : |

## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 0



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 0

|  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { 2017 } \\ \text { Base Data }}}$ | $2018$ |  | ${ }_{-3}^{2019}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {2020 }}{ }_{-2}$ |  | ${ }^{2021}$ |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2022}$ |  | 2023 1 |  | $\underset{2}{2024}$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{2025}$ |  | 2026 4 |  |  | 2027 5 |  | 2028 6 |  | ${ }_{2029}^{7}$ |  | 2030 8 |  | $\stackrel{2031}{9}$ |  | 2032 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITIAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT Costs Replacement Frequency interval (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HHW Builiding (65' $\times 75^{\prime}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Buiding Replace Ulility Connections | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 50 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : | \$ | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | : | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - \$ |  |
| Existing Features to be Removed Compost Pond Removal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost Pond Removal Compost Pond Removal - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$}$ | 217,629 217,629 | \$ |  | s |  | s |  | s |  |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | s |  | s |  | s |  |
| General Elements <br> Special Permits and Allow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotechnical Investigation Special Permits and Allow - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | 30,000 30,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | (3, 30,000 | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  |
| Wellands Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weilands Mititgation - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | ${ }_{9877,453}^{98745}$ | S | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Site Beautification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Landscaping Replace Fencing | 15 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Beautififeation - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | - |  | - | \$ | 882,548 | \$ | 3,818 | \$ |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,864 | s |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Beautification- Subtoral Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | ${ }_{\$}$ | - | s | 882,48 | \$ | э,\%ィ | s |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 2,864 | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Site-wide Demolition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sit-wide Demolition and Disposal Site-wide Demolition - Subtoal litital Costs |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,866,952 \\ 2,866,952 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Site Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Site Uuilites Site Ulitites - Subtoal litital Costs |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | $3,061,096$ |
| MRF Upgrade to Ts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRF Uporrade Replace Pads TS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS- - uubtoal litial Costs |  | $\$_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\text {s }}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | : | \$ | - | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | : |

## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshoet. .nitial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: :



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshoet. .nitial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: :



## Client: WPWMA Project: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nove-16-2013 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshet. - intital Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 0



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Workshoet. .nitial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: :



## Client: WPWMA Project: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshoet. .nitial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: :

|  |  |  | ${ }_{75}^{2097}$ |  |  | 2098 76 |  | ${ }_{77}^{2099}$ |  |  | 2100 78 |  |  | ${ }_{29}^{2101}$ |  |  | 2102 80 |  |  | ${ }_{81}^{2103}$ |  | ${ }_{82}^{2104}$ |  |  | ${ }_{83}^{2105}$ |  |  | ${ }_{84}^{2106}$ |  | ${ }_{2107}^{85}$ |  | ${ }_{86}^{2108}$ |  |  | ${ }_{87}^{2109}$ |  | $\underbrace{\text { Life }}_{\substack{\text { Remaining } \\ \text { Useful Life }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIITIAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Critical Elements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HHW Builiding (65' ${ }^{\text {Repla }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pass Repolae usiling Replace Uutily Connections | 20 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Site HHW Facility - Subtoal Initial Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Main Site HHW Facility - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Features to be Removed Compost Pond Removal Compost Pond Remova |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Elements <br> Special Permits and Allow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotechnical Investigation Special Permits and Alow - Subtoal litital Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | s |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | \$ |  | s |  | - | \$ |  | s | - |
| Wetlands Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wellands Mititation |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |
| Wetands Mitigation - Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  |  | s | - | \$ |  |  | s |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | s |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Site Beautification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Reautification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Landscaping Replace Fencing | 15 40 |  |  |  | \$ | 263,120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (70,165.40) |
|  |  | s |  |  | \$ | 263,120 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$}$ |  | \$ | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | - | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ | (70,165) |
| Site-wide Demolition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site-wide Demolition - Subtotal Intial Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Site Utilites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Site Uilities ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Site Ulilies - Subtoal litital Costs }}$ |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | s |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | s |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRF Upgrade to TS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Scales | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }^{(3,394.01)}$ |
| MRF Uppraceide to TS - Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (38,182.56) |
| MRF Upgrade to TS - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | $\stackrel{\$}{5}$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | s |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | \$ | $(41,577)$ |

## Appendix 4A-3 <br> Capital Cost Outlay <br> Plan Concept 1




## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Proiect: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Workseet Intial Plan Concept: 1



## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Proiect: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 1




## Client: WPWMA Proiect: Renewat <br> Proiect: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Workseet Intial Plan Concept: 1



## Client: WPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> | Date: :Nov-16-2018 |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Workshoet. } \\ \text { Plan Conitial Capital and Replacement Inputs }\end{array}$ |




## client: WPWMA proiect Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> 





## Client: wpwna Proioct Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> $\underset{\substack{\text { Worksheet: Initial Capital and Replacement Inputs } \\ \text { Plan Concept: } 1}}{1}$



## Client: WpwnA Project Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 1



##  <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 $\substack{\text { Workshoet. } \\ \text { Plitan Concept: } 1}$ Capital and Replacement Inputs



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 $\begin{gathered}\text { Workshoet. } \\ \text { Plan Contital Cept: } 1\end{gathered}$ Capital and Replacement Inputs



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> 



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 $\substack{\text { Workshoet. } \\ \text { Plan Contial Concept } 1}$




## Appendix 4A-3 <br> Capital Cost Outlay Plan Concept 2



|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2033 \\ \hline 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2034 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2036 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2037 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2038 \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2039 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2040 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{19}^{2041}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2042 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2043 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | 2044 22 |  | ${ }_{23}^{2045}$ |  | 2046 24 |  | 2047 25 |  | 2048 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Elements <br> Replacement Frequency Interval (Year: <br> Public Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area Public Area - Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Roadways Public Area - Buyback 220 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,799,189 |
| Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 786,391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Builiding Replace Uutily Connections | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{30}^{50}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pubica frea - Reuse Store Area (155' $\times 140^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 342,244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Builing ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | ${ }_{30}^{50}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Utility Connections Public Area - Tipping Area | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Tioping Builing | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,116,291 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - Subtotal Initial Costs <br> Public Area - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | s | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | 3,833,052 \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | 1,799,189 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {C8D }}$ C\&D-C8D Pad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | ${ }_{50}^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,884,855 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C8D Propeessing Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Utility Connections <br> C\&D - Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,636,512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,636,512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - |  |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C\&D - Subtotal Initial Costs <br> C\&D - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  | \$ |  | s | 7,636,512 | s |  | s |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | S |  | \$ | 15,521,367 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Composting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost - Green Waste Pad ( $210^{\prime} \times 2255^{\prime}$ )Replace PassCompost - Wood Waste Pad ( $115^{\prime} \times 2255^{\prime}$ ) |  |  | 351,136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 351,136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 702273 |
|  | 20 |  | 192,289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192,289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |
| Replace Pads Compost - Outcoor Receiving Area (90' $\times 200{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 20 |  | 116,835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116,835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 384,578 |
| Replace PadsReplace Specialy Equipment | ${ }_{10}^{20}$ |  | 116,835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116,835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 226.510 |
|  | 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,995,039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,995,039 |
|  |  |  | 1,076,230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,076,230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Specialty Equipment | 20 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,627,532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{\substack{2 \\ 1,627,532}}^{2,868}$ |
|  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Compost- Temporary Positive ASP System Compost Active Composting System (205' ${ }^{\text {880 }}$ 880) |  | 3,702,906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,702,906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost - Active Composting System (205' ${ }^{\text {R }}$ R $8800^{\circ}$ ) Replace Pads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,686,872 |
| Replace Pads Replace ASPs (concrete replacement schedule) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }_{20}^{20} 0$ | 20 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 645,371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 322,685 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }^{4,665,246} 32,685$ |
|  | Replate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1280,656 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\leqslant$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,280,656 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,280,656 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 788,438 |
| Compost - Asp Curing System (1855' $\times 880^{\prime}$ ) ${ }^{\text {Remede }}$ |  |  | 1,835,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,835,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace AsPs (concrete erplacement schedule) | 20 20 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{3}^{2,617,566}$ |
| Replace Mechanical | 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 448,509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 224,255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost- Dedicated Stormwater Ponds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (emplace Storwwater Ponds (liner) | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Mechanical Compost - Subtotal Initial Costs Compost - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,409 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{8,55,682}$ | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ | ${ }_{\text {8,716,450 }}^{8.55682}$ \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 12,409 | + | 546,940 \$ |  | \$ | $\div$ | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | s | 23,507,272 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landilil Landifil Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 36,419,538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stockpie Relocation |  |  | 15,214,206 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 15,214,206 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15,214,206 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 36,419,538 | \$ | 15,214,206 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |

Client: WPWMA
Project: Renewab
Proiect: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Date:
Date: Nov-16-2018
Workseet Intial
Plan Concept: 2

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2049 \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2050 28 |  | 2051 29 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2052 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{31}^{2053}$ | 2054 32 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2055 \\ \hline 33 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2056 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 2057 35 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & 36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2059 \\ & \\ & \hline 37 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2060 38 |  | 2061 39 |  | 2062 40 |  | ${ }^{2063}$ | 2064 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Elements <br> Public Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | 20 50 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 786,391 |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Replace Uutily }}^{\text {Reornections }}$ | 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 59,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - $\mathrm{HHW}\left(300^{\circ} \times 100^{\circ}\right.$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Builing | 20 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 588,126 |  |  |
| Replace Utilit Connections ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 143,185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - Reuse Store Area ( $155^{\prime} \times 140^{\prime}$ ) Replace Pads | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 342,244 |  |  |
|  | 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 37,771 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area - Tipping Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,116,291 |  |  |
|  | 50 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pubic Area - Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ | \% |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | s | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ |  |  |
| Public Area - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  | s |  | \$ |  | s |  |  | 381,908 \$ |  | \$ | - | s |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | 3,833,052 \$ |  |  |
| C8D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C8D - CzD Pad Replace Pads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Overhang Structure | 20 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C\&D - Processing Line Replace Processing Equipment | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Utilits Connections Ref | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{\text {286,369 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C8D- Subtoal intial osts |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | s | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {s }}$ | 7,922,881 | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | : | \$ | - \$ |  |  |
| Composting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost-Green Waste Pad ( $\left.210^{\prime} \times 225^{\prime}\right)$ Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 351,136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 351,136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compostl- Wood Waste Pad (115' ${ }^{\text {225 }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost Outdoor Receiving Area (90' ${ }^{\text {Rel }}$ 200') | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 192,289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 192,289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads ${ }_{\text {Replace Ste }}$ | 20 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 113,255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 113,255 $1,995,039$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rel | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | ${ }_{\text {, }}^{7,159}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 3,580 |  |  |
| Compost - Screening and Product Storage Pad (400' $\times 350^{\prime}$ ) Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,040,434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reploace Specialy Equipment Replace Uvility Connecions | 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,647,4342 \\ & \hline 11,592 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 35,796 |  |  |
| Compost - Temporary Positive ASP SystemCompost Active Composing system (205' 880') |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace ASPs (concrete replacement schedul) Replace Mechanical | 20 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,332,623 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{2,332,623} \mathbf{6 4 , 3 7 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Utility Connections | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }^{6} 53,694$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{\text {26, }}^{2247}$ |  |  |
| Compost - Biofitere ( $\left(135^{\prime} \times 880^{\prime}\right)$ Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Biofiters (concrete replacement schedule) | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{394,219}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{394,219}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost - ASP Curin System ( $\left(1855^{\prime} \times 880^{\prime}\right.$ ) Replace Pads | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace ASPs (concrete replacement schedule) | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,808,783 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,888,783 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Mechanical | 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 448,599 53,694 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 224,255 26,847 |  |  |
| Compost - Dediciated Stormwater Ponds Replace Stormwater Ponds (iner) | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 557,924 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (eomost - - Miscellaneous Equipment | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $s$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Mechanical Compost - Subtoal Iitial | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost- Stubotal inital Cosis Compost - Subtalal eeplacement Costs |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | $570 \cdot \stackrel{\square 33}{ }$ | \$ | 9,668,881 ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 14,571,471 | \$ |  | s |  |  |  | \$ | 12,409 | \$ | 640,010 \$ |  |  |
| Landilil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landifil Construction Unined Area ExavationBackill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 36,419,538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 36,419,538 |  |  |  |  |
| Stor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 36,419,538 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | s |  |  |  | \$ | 36,419,538 | \$ | 15,214,206 |  |  |
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## Client: WPWMA Proiect: Renewat <br> Proiect: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Workseet Intial Plan Concept: 2



## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Werksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshoet. .initial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Worksheet: Initial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



## Client: WPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 Workshet. - intital Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



## Client: wpwnA Proiet Renematu <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Worksheet: Initial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2

|  |  |  | 2081 59 |  | 2082 60 |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2083}$ |  | 2084 <br> 62 |  | ${ }_{2}^{2085}$ |  |  | 2086 64 |  |  | 2087 65 |  | 2088 66 |  | 2089 67 |  | 2090 68 |  | ${ }_{69}^{2091}$ |  |  | 2092 70 |  | 2093 71 |  |  | 2094 <br> 72 |  |  | ${ }_{73}{ }^{295}$ |  | 2096 74 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITIAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Builiding Replace Uutity Connections | 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 105,957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Staff Parking Lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | 105,957 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | . | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | - | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | - |
| Main Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | 20 | Meeplace Roadways ${ }_{\text {Rain Entrance-Scale/suilding }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Builing Replace Scales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ental | 20 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 510,921 442.274 4.918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | s | : | s | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | : |
| Main Entrance - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | . | \$ |  |  |  | 1,120,913 |  | - | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  |  | - | s |  |  |
| Western Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western EntranceWestern Erance- RoadwaysReplace Roadwas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westepmatee Rearacways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 9,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repliace Scales | 20 10 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 210,004 57274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reppace Mectanical | 10 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance- - Subtotal Sitial Costs Western Entrance - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ |  |  | \$ | 277,078 | $\stackrel{\text { \$ }}{\$}$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | - | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | . | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : |
| Overpass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Overpass (paving Overpass - -ubtotal Intial Costs | 25 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | s | - | s |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  |  | - | \$ |  | - |
| Overpass - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | s |  | \$ |  |  | s | - | \$ |  | s |  |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | s | . | s |  | \$ |  | s |  |  | \$ |  | s |  | - | \$ |  | s |  | - | s |  | - |
| Recovered Materials Storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Recyclables Storage Builing }}{\text { Replace Pads }}$ | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 155,718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reppace Builing | ${ }_{50}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Utility Connections Recovered Materials Storage-Subtotal Intital Costs | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 143,185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recovered Materials Storge - Subtotal Intial Costs Recovered Materials torage - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ | $\div$ | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | $\therefore$ | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ | : | \$ | 298,903 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | : |
| Primary Maintenance Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replice builing | (20 ${ }_{50}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repolace Utility Connections | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Maintenance - Subotal Initial Costs Primary Maintenance - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 35,796 | $\stackrel{\$}{\$}$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$}$ | - | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff Facility <br> Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Maintenance Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Building $\qquad$ | 20 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,115,869 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reeplace Utitit Connections ${ }_{\text {Satelite Maintenance and Staft }}$ Staff Bldg and Parking Area ${ }^{30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads 20 <br> Replace Building 50 <br> Replace Utility Connections 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | : | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | : | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | 1.115.869 | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\$}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : |
| Stormwater Pond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Stormwater Ponds (liner) | 30 |  |  | s |  |  | \$ | 1,197,329 | \$ | 1,197,329 | s |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | s |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | - |
| Stormwater Pond - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  | s |  |  |  | 1,197,329 |  | 1,197,329 |  |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | s |  |  | s |  | \$ |  | - | s |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Client: PPWMA Proiect: Renewab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Worksheet: Initial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2

|  |  |  | 2097 75 | 2098 76 |  |  | 2099 77 |  | 2100 78 |  |  | 2101 79 |  |  | 2102 80 |  | 2103 81 |  | 2104 82 |  | 2105 83 |  |  | 2106 <br> 84 |  | 2107 85 |  | 2108 86 |  | 2109 87 |  | (emaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITIAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Necessary Supporting Elements $\begin{aligned} & \text { Admin }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Eutiding ${ }^{\text {Replae Uutily Conections }}$ | ${ }_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $s$ | $\underset{\substack{(4,616,272) \\(17,699)}}{(0,59)}$ |
| Admin Staff Parking Lot Replace Parking Lot | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 172,583 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (103,550) |
| Admin - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | \$ |  |  |  | s | 172 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  |
| Admin - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ | 172,583 | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - \$ |  | s | - | \$ |  | \$ | (4,737,481) |
| Main Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main Entrance - Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 802,788 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (578,008) |
|  | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 9,800 |  |  |  |  | s | (8,820) |
| Replace Builing | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
| Replace Scales Replace Mechanical | 20 10 | s | 57,274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 610,921 57,274 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ | $(549,889)$ $(45,819)$ |
| Replace Utility Connections | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (118,111) |
| Main Entrance - Subtotal Intial Costs ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {Main Entance - Subtoal Replacement Costs }}$ |  | \$ | 57,274 ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {S }}$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  |  | \$ | 802,788 | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ | 677,995 | s | : | \$ |  |  | $(1,317,082)$ |
| Western Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance- - Roadways Replace Roadways | 25 | s | 775,106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Entrance - Scale/Builing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Builing | 20 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 9,800 |  |  |  |  | s | (8,820) |
| Replace Scales | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 210,004 |  |  |  |  | \$ | (189,004) |
| Replace Mechanical Replace Utilit Connections | 10 30 | s | 57,274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 57,274 83,047 |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(45,819)$ $(77,511)$ |
| Western Entrance - Subtotal Litial Costs Western Entrance- Sutotal Replacement |  | \$ | 832.380 \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | : | \$ | - | \$ |  | $\therefore$ \$ |  | \$ |  | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | - \$ | 360,125 | s | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Overpass $\begin{gathered}\text { Overpass } \\ \text { Ofer }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | \$ | 213,822 |  | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | s |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | (111,188) |
| Overpass - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recovered Materials Storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recyclables Storage Builing Replace Pads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace Buiding | 20 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 155,718 |  |  | \$ | ${ }_{(3,033,475)}^{(147,932)}$ |
| Replace Ulility Connections Recovered Materials Storage - Subtal Initial Costs | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (42,955) |
| Recovered Materials Storage - Subtotal Initial Costs Recovered Materials Storage - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | \$ | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{8}$ |  | $\therefore$ - ${ }^{8}$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | - \$ |  | \$ | 155,718 | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | \$ | $(3,224,362)$ |
| Primary Maintenance FacilityPrimary Maintenance - Maintenance Area (250' ${ }^{\prime}$ 300') |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads | ( $\begin{gathered}20 \\ 50\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Uutily Connections | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (4,773) |
| Primary Maintenance - Subtotal Intial Costs Primary Maintenance - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | \$ | : ${ }^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ | : | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | \$ | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | $\therefore{ }^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | : | \$ | - | \$ | (470, 569 ) |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff FacilitySatelite Maintenance and Staft-Maintenance Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Pads Replace suiling | ( $\begin{gathered}20 \\ 50\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,192,618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ | ${ }_{(19,154,8,094)}^{(1,1)}$ |
| Replace Uuiling |  |  |  |  |  | s | 1,192,618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 71,592 | \$ | $\underset{(71,592)}{(954,094)}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 50 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satelite Maintenance and Staff- Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satellite Maintenance and Staff - Subtotal Replacement Costs |  | s | - \$ |  | - | s | 1,192,618 | s |  |  | s |  | - | s |  | s |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | - | ${ }_{\$}$ |  | - ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : | s | : | \$ | 1,187,461 | s | $(2,141,555)$ |
| Stormwater Pond ${ }_{\text {New Stornwater Ponds }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {New Stormwater Ponds }}^{\text {Replace Stormwater Ponds (liner) }}$ | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stormwater Pond- Subtotal Intitial Costs |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | s |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | s |  | \$ | - | s | - | \$ |  | s | (35, 199) |
| Stormwater Pond - Subtoal Replacement Costs |  |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  | (359,199) |

## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



##  <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: :Nov-16-2018 $\begin{gathered}\text { Workshoet. } \\ \text { Plan Contital Cept: } 2\end{gathered}$ Capital and Replacement Inputs



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> 



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 Worksheet Intial Capital and Replacement Inputs Plan Concept: 2



## Client: propert: Renemabab <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Date: Nov-16-2018 $\begin{gathered}\text { Workshoet. } \\ \text { Plan Coitial Concept: } 2\end{gathered}$ Capital and Replacement Inputs

|  |  |  | 2097 75 |  | 2098 76 |  | 2099 77 |  | 2100 78 |  |  | 2101 79 |  | 2102 80 |  |  | ${ }_{81}^{2103}$ |  | ${ }_{82}^{2104}$ |  | 2105 83 |  |  | 2106 84 |  | 2107 85 |  |  | 2108 86 |  | 2109 87 |  | Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITIAL CAPITAL AND REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Critical Elements <br> Main Site HHW Facility <br> HHW Building (65' x 75') | yuenc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Buildin <br> Replace Utility C <br> Main Site <br> Costs | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 50 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | : | ${ }_{\$}^{\$}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\text {\$ }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | : | \$ |  | \$ | : |
| Existing Features to be Removed <br> Compost Pond Removal Compost Pond Remova Compost Pond Removal - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | s | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Special Permits and Allow Special Permits Geotechnical Investigation Special Permits and Allow - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | s |  | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | s |  | \$ |  |  | s | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Wetlands Mitigation Wetlands Mitigation Wetlands Mitigation - Subtotal Initial Costs |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Site Beautification <br> Facility Beautification Replace Landscaping Replace Fencing <br> Site Beautification - Subtotal Initial Costs <br> Site Beautification - Subtotal Replacement Costs | 15 40 |  |  | $\$$ $\$$ $\$$ | 548,959 548,959 | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,297,252 \\ & 1,297,252 \end{aligned}$ | \$ |  | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\text {\$ }}$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | : | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Site-wide Demolition <br> Site-wide Demolition and Disposal <br> Site-wide Demolition - Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | s |  |
| Site Utilities Shared Site Utilities Site Utilities - Subtotal Initial Costs |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| MRF Upgrade to TS <br> MRF Upgrade to TS <br> Replace Pads <br> Replace Scales <br> MRF Upgrade to TS - Subtotal Initial Costs <br> MRF Upgrade to TS - Subtotal Replacement Costs | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 33,940 381,826 415,766 | \$ |  | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & (33,243) \\ & (362,73) \\ & (394,977) \end{aligned}$ |

Appendix 4B
Operational Cost Basis

## Appendix 4B. Operational Cost Basis

This appendix contains the basis for operational costs developed for the Plan Concepts. The Operations and Maintenance (O\&M) cost for each Plan Concept was based on the following overarching assumptions:

- The existing O\&M costs for the Western Placer Waste Management Authority (WPWMA) facility provide a reasonable basis for projecting O\&M cost changes.
- The existing O\&M cost structure can be used to project O\&M costs for each Plan Concept, meaning the relative public/private operating cost components and breakdown.
- General assumptions about the anticipated increase in operating cost attributable to implementing the different Plan Concepts can be based overall on percent change, as backed up, with the exception of long-haul trucking and post-closure care.
- The model for long-haul trucking of waste for remote disposal assumes that this is performed by a private entity under contract, and that all waste transport and disposal is included as an O\&M cost and is not part of the capital cost.
- Post-closure care costs can be obtained and developed from a combination of the current landfill O\&M costs and the facility's existing post-closure cost estimate.

The primary basis of operational costs was derived from WPWMA's operational costs for the facility in year 2017, provided in "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm." WPWMA staff worked with the CH2M Team to identify the applicable costs to include in the overall O\&M cost estimate. The WPWMA operating cost data extracted from this spreadsheet were then prorated into the related operating components, and operating costs per ton were developed for 2017 as a "base year." These per-ton operating costs were back-checked with the tonnage to confirm that the applicable costs were reflected in the unit rates. The CH2M Team then reviewed the unit costs with WPWMA staff and the operator to gather input on whether these rates appeared to be applicable and what increases to these unit costs might be necessary to reflect operating condition changes outside of the master planning project. Using this input, the CH2M Team made adjustments to the base year unit costs, and incorporated other increases for the near planning term. Using this method, the following unit operating costs were developed for each of the following categories:

- Landfill operations (per ton)
- Public Area operations (per ton)
- C\&D Area operations (per ton)
- Compost Area operations (per ton)
- WPWMA administrative operations (per ton)

For each of these O\&M cost categories, unit costs were multiplied by waste stream projections to calculate future operational costs. Note that for the Public Area, C\&D Area, and Compost Area, the unit cost was developed by extracting the tonnages allocated from these areas and the relative portion of the fees paid to the facility operator in 2017 for either the landfill or material recovery facility (MRF), as applicable, and as indicated in the waste stream flow contained in "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm."

O\&M costs for two additional components were developed with approaches specific to the cost type and as described in the following sections of this appendix:

- Offsite disposal and long-haul trucking operations (per ton, after closure of the landfill)
- Post-closure care operations (per acre)

In general, the offsite disposal and long-haul trucking O\&M cost is a function of assumptions for haul distance, receiving site tip fee, and trucking fleet cost allocation. Post-closure cost is a function of the acres in post-closure at a given time, duration of post-closure within the analysis period, and the unit cost for post-closure.

The offsite disposal and long-haul trucking unit costs were multiplied by waste stream projections to calculate future operational costs for the years in which long-haul trucking and offsite disposal occurred for each Plan Concept. For post-closure care operations, the unit cost was applied to the total acres in post-closure in the year after the site reached capacity under each Plan Concept, and continued for a period of 30 years. Partial phased closure was considered as further described in this appendix.

For all of the O\&M cost categories listed above, other adjustment factors were applied based on anticipated conditions as described in the following sections along with detail on the methodology to derive applicable operational costs.

Detailed operational costs for each Plan Concept are provided in Appendix 4B-1.

## 4B. 1 Landfill Operations

The landfill base year unit operating cost was calculated by dividing the total landfill operational expenses by the total disposed tonnage as listed in the WPWMA spreadsheet "preliminary budget 18-19.xIsm." Total buried tonnage was taken from the "Landfill O\&M" sheet in the "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm" spreadsheet and the costs from the "LF Operational Expenses" spreadsheet. That base cost was then adjusted by the factors listed in Table 4B-1 for the applicable Plan Concepts.

Table 4B-1. Landfill Operations Adjustment Factors

| Plan <br> Concept |  | Year | Adjustment <br> Factor |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | All Rationale |  |

## 4B. 2 Public Area Operations

Because of the way the WPWMA currently pays for operating services at the facility, the public area base year unit operating cost was calculated using the same approach as the Compost and C\&D Areas. Nonlandfill operating costs are currently paid in one combined MRF operating fee by the WPWMA. Thus, calculating the base year unit operating cost for the non-landfill components consisted of a weighted average of the calculated per ton fee for landfill and MRF operating costs using the associated amount of waste that ultimately goes to the MRF and the landfill after it is received in the Public, Compost, and C\&D Areas. The data for these calculations were obtained from the "Tonnages \& Tip Fees" sheet and the "MRF Operating Expenses" sheet in the WPWMA spreadsheet "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm" and from differences between Plan Concepts. The base cost was then adjusted by the factors listed in Table 4B-2 for the applicable Plan Concepts.

Table 4B-2. Public Area Operations Adjustment Factors

| Plan <br> Concept | Year | Adjustment <br> Factor |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | All | $+2.12 \%$ | | Forecasted tonnage increase year over year to reflect increased tonnage from |
| :--- |
| population growth. |

## 4B. 3 C\&D Area Operations

Because of the way the WPWMA currently pays for operating services at the facility, the C\&D Area base year unit operating cost was calculated using the same approach as the Public and Compost Areas. Nonlandfill operating costs are currently paid in one combined MRF operating fee by the WPWMA. Thus, calculating the base year unit operating cost for the non-landfill components consisted of a weighted average of the calculated per-ton fee for landfill and MRF operating costs using the associated amount of waste that ultimately goes to the MRF and the landfill after it is received in the Public, Compost, and C\&D Areas. The data for these calculations were obtained from the "Tonnages \& Tip Fees" sheet and the "MRF Operating Expenses" sheet in the WPWMA spreadsheet "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm" and from differences between Plan Concepts. The base cost was then adjusted by the factors listed in Table 4B-3 for the applicable Plan Concepts.

Table 4B-3. C\&D Area Operations Adjustment Factors

| Plan <br> Concept |  | Year | Adjustment <br> Factor | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | All | $+2.12 \%$ | | Forecasted tonnage increase year over year to reflect increased tonnage from |
| :--- |
| population growth. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Adjustment factor is applied in Year -2 (prior to the Year 0 project start), but impacts the O\&M costs starting in Year 0.

## 4B. 4 Compost Area Operations

Because of the way the WPWMA currently pays for operating services at the facility, the Compost Area base year unit operating cost was calculated using the same approach as the Public and C\&D Areas. Non-landfill operating costs are currently paid in one combined MRF operating fee by the WPWMA. Thus, calculating the base year unit operating cost for the non-landfill components consisted of a weighted average of the calculated per-ton fee for landfill and MRF operating costs using the associated amount of waste that ultimately goes to the MRF and the landfill after it is received in the Public, Compost, and C\&D Areas. The data for these calculations were obtained from the "Tonnages \& Tip Fees" sheet and the "MRF Operating Expenses" sheet in the WPWMA spreadsheet "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm" and from differences between Plan Concepts. The base cost was then adjusted by the factors listed in Table 4B-4 for the applicable Plan Concepts.

Table 4B-4. Compost Area Operations Adjustment Factors

| Plan Concept |  |  | Year | Adjustment Factor | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | All | + 2.12\% | Forecasted tonnage increase year over year to reflect increased tonnage from population growth. |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | +30\% | Increase corresponds to an anticipated additional \$10 per ton over the current $\$ 34$ per ton cost to account for the implementation of aerated static pile (ASP) operating methods. |

## 4B. 5 WPWMA Administrative Operational Costs

The WPWMA Administrative base year unit operating cost was calculated by dividing the total of WPWMA Administrative operating costs in 2017 by the total of inbound tonnage at the facility during that period. Total inbound tonnage was taken from the "Tonnages \& Tip Fees" sheet of WPWMA's spreadsheet "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm." Administrative base year costs were compiled from the "255 Detail" and "Financial Forecast" sheets of the "preliminary budget 18-19.xlsm" spreadsheet. The cost categories used in the WPWMA Administrative Operating Costs base year were included based on consultation with WPWMA staff. These costs include staffing, operational, technical, consulting, and other costs that are not included in the facility operator's contract, but that are a part of operating the facility. The base cost was then adjusted by the factors listed in Table 4B-5 for the applicable Plan Concepts.

Table 4B-5. WPWMA Administrative Operations Adjustment Factors

| Plan <br> Concept | Year | Adjustment <br> Factor | Rationale |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | All | $+2.12 \%$ | | Forecasted tonnage increase year over year to reflect increased tonnage from |
| :--- |
| population growth. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Adjustment factor is applied in Year -2 (prior to the Year 0 project start), but impacts the O\&M costs starting in Year 0.

## 4B. 6 Offsite Disposal and Long-haul Trucking Operations

The offsite disposal and long-haul trucking unit cost was based on the assumption of 150 miles round-trip for remote disposal and the unit rate as calculated in the transportation cost model. The assumptions for offsite disposal location were developed by WPWMA staff based on a survey of currently permitted sites with existing or planned capacity in the analysis calculation period and that could reasonably be expected to accept the WPWMA waste for disposal. The trucking cost assumes that trucking is provided under contract; therefore, all trucking costs are included in the per-ton mile rate and are not included as separate capital in the analysis. This calculation includes a range of assumptions such as fuel cost; insurance; truck life and replacement; loading, unloading, and turnaround time; and labor.

The trucking unit cost was multiplied by the projected tonnage and was applied in applicable years as shown in Table 4B-6. The transportation cost model is provided in Figure 4B-1.

Table 4B-6. Offsite Disposal and Long-haul Trucking Operations Applicability

| Plan <br> Concept | Years | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 |  | 31 to 87 |
| 1 | 87 | Starts in year after Plan Concept 0 reaches landfill capacity until the last year of analysis. |
|  | Starts in year after Plan Concept 1 reaches landfill capacity (last year of analysis). |  |
| 2 | 71 to 87 | Starts in year after Plan Concept 2 reaches landfill capacity until the last year of analysis. |

## Client: WPWMA

Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Date: Nov-16-2018
Worksheet: JACOBS Transportation Cost Mode
Plan Concept: 0,1,2

Operating Assumptions
Origin Location
Destination
miles (one way)
Average miles per Hour Workdays per Week Annual Workdays
Annual Tons
Annual Trips
Average Tons per Trip Average Loading Time (min.) Average Unloading Time (min.) Average Roundtrip Time (hrs.) Total Time per Trip (hrs)

Labour Assumptions
Driver hours per day
Non-Driving hours per day
Total hours per day
Benefit Percentage
Driver Annual Wage + benefits
Driver Annual W
Trips per Driver
Loads per day
Drivers needed per day
Operational Assumptions
Overhead Percentage
Profit Margin Percentage
Interest Rate
Fuel Cost
Fuel miles/gallon
Fuel Cost per Gallon
Repair \& Maintenance
Truck Cost per mile
Trailer Cost per mile

|  | Annual Cost per Annual Trucking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Truck | Costs | Cost per Ton | Cost per mi |
| Truck | \$34,663 | \$1,474,892 | \$3.16 | \$0.84 |
| Trailer | \$8,761 | \$1,118,355 | \$2.40 | \$0.64 |
| Labor |  | \$3,663,520 | \$7.85 | \$2.09 |
| Fuel |  | \$2,332,690 | \$5.00 | \$1.33 |
| R\&M |  | \$1,224,662 | \$2.63 | \$0.70 |
| Insurance |  | \$297,850 | \$0.64 | \$0.17 |
| License \& Fees |  | \$94,589 | \$0.20 | \$0.05 |
| G\&A |  | \$1,530,984 | \$3.28 | \$0.88 |
| Profit |  | \$1,408,505 | \$3.02 | \$0.81 |
| Total |  | \$13,146,045 | \$28.18 | \$7.51 |

Equipment Cos
Tractor Make and Model
Percent spares
New 2018

| $15 \%$ |
| ---: |
| 42.6 |
| 5.0 |
| $15 \%$ |
| $\$ 155,000$ |
| $\$ 18,600$ |
| $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 173,600$ |
| $\$ 22,963$ |
| $\$ 196,563$ |
| 3.0 |
| 127.7 |
| 10.0 |
| $10 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000$ |
| $\$ 9,000$ |
| $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 84,000$ |
| $\$ 11,111$ |
| $\$ 95,111$ |

\$8,363,746
\$12,140,921
$\$ 20,504,667$
42.6

See also: https://www.dat.com/blog/post/what-does-it-cost-to-run-your-trucking-company
California
\$1,673 https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ohim/hwytaxes/2001/pt11b.htm
$\$ 550$ https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/f2290.pd
$\$ 7,000$
$\$ 297,850$
\$297,850

Cost per ton-mile
One-way
Two-way

Figure 4B-1. Transportation Cost Model

## 4B. 7 Post-closure Care Operations

Based on the most recent post-closure care estimate from SCS Engineers (Figure 4B-2), dated September 26, 2017, the post-closure care unit cost is estimated to be approximately $\$ 1,606$ per acre per year (\$369,290 annual divided by 230 acres as stated in Figure 4B-2).


Figure 4B-2. Post-closure Estimate by SCS Engineers, 2017
The post-closure care period begins at the end of landfill life. Therefore, the post-closure care unit cost of $\$ 1,606$ per acre per year was applied to the total post-closure acres for the 30 -year period starting the year after closure of the complete landfill. Table 4B-7 shows the estimated landfill closure years and associated post-closure acreages.

Table 4B-7. Post-closure Care Operations Summary

| Plan Concept | Post-closure Acres | Landfill Closure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 148 | Year 26 (2048) |
| 1 | 321 | Year 86 (2108) |
| 2 | 365 | Year 66 (2088) |

The analysis also considered that the site may be subject to partial final closure as different modules and landfill areas are filled to capacity. This situation was addressed by the assumption that the landfill operating unit cost would cover the costs of O\&M for the closed portions of the site until the time when post-closure is applicable to the entire site.

Appendix 4B-1
Operational Cost Estimates

|  | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) | \$2,026,382.93 | \$2,069,342.25 | \$2,113,212.30 | \$2,158,012.40 | \$2,203,762.27 | \$3,021,798.82 | \$3,085,860.95 | \$3,151,281.21 | \$3,218,088.37 | \$3,286,311.84 | \$3,355,981.65 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$646,038.46 | \$659,734.48 | \$673,720.85 | \$688,003.73 | \$702,589.41 | \$787,743.24 | \$883,217.73 | \$1,343,550.80 | \$1,372,034.08 | \$1,401,121.20 | \$1,430,824.97 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,088,179.75 | \$2,132,449.16 | \$2,177,657.08 | \$4,401,480.49 | \$4,494,791.87 | \$9,084,873.34 | \$9,277,472.65 | \$9,474,155.07 | \$9,675,007.16 | \$9,880,117.31 | \$10,089,575.80 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,291,087.92 | \$2,339,658.98 | \$2,389,259.75 | \$2,439,912.06 | \$2,491,638.19 | \$3,291,952.38 | \$3,361,741.77 | \$3,433,010.70 | \$3,505,790.52 | \$3,580,113.28 | \$3,656,011.68 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$4,492,155.83 | \$4,587,389.54 | \$4,684,642.19 | \$5,487,879.98 | \$5,604,223.04 | \$5,723,032.56 | \$5,844,360.85 | \$5,968,261.30 | \$6,094,788.44 | \$6,223,997.96 | \$7,602,919.97 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$11,543,844.88 | \$11,788,574.40 | \$12,038,492.17 | \$15,175,288.66 | \$15,497,004.78 | \$21,909,400.34 | \$22,452,653.96 | \$23,370,259.08 | \$23,865,708.58 | \$24,371,661.60 | \$26,135,314.07 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$2,026,382.93 | \$2,069,342.25 | \$2,113,212.30 | \$2,158,012.40 | \$2,203,762.27 | \$3,021,798.82 | \$3,085,860.95 | \$3,151,281.21 | \$3,218,088.37 | \$3,286,311.84 | \$3,355,981.65 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$646,038.46 | \$659,734.48 | \$673,720.85 | \$688,003.73 | \$702,589.41 | \$787,743.24 | \$804,443.40 | \$821,497.60 | \$921,063.11 | \$1,493,227.52 | \$1,524,883.94 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,088,179.75 | \$2,132,449.16 | \$2,177,657.08 | \$4,401,480.49 | \$4,494,791.87 | \$9,084,873.34 | \$9,277,472.65 | \$9,474,155.07 | \$9,675,007.16 | \$9,880,117.31 | \$10,089,575.80 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,291,087.92 | \$2,339,658.98 | \$2,389,259.75 | \$2,439,912.06 | \$2,491,638.19 | \$3,291,952.38 | \$3,361,741.77 | \$3,433,010.70 | \$3,505,790.52 | \$3,580,113.28 | \$3,656,011.68 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$4,492,155.83 | \$4,587,389.54 | \$4,684,642.19 | \$5,487,879.98 | \$5,604,223.04 | \$5,723,032.56 | \$5,844,360.85 | \$5,968,261.30 | \$6,094,788.44 | \$6,223,997.96 | \$8,538,149.91 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$11,543,844.88 | \$11,788,574.40 | \$12,038,492.17 | \$15,175,288.66 | \$15,497,004.78 | \$21,909,400.34 | \$22,373,879.63 | \$22,848,205.88 | \$23,414,737.60 | \$24,463,767.91 | \$27,164,602.98 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$2,026,382.93 | \$2,069,342.25 | \$2,113,212.30 | \$2,158,012.40 | \$2,203,762.27 | \$3,021,798.82 | \$3,085,860.95 | \$3,151,281.21 | \$3,218,088.37 | \$3,286,311.84 | \$3,355,981.65 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$646,038.46 | \$659,734.48 | \$673,720.85 | \$688,003.73 | \$702,589.41 | \$787,743.24 | \$883,217.73 | \$1,343,550.80 | \$1,372,034.08 | \$1,401,121.20 | \$1,430,824.97 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,088,179.75 | \$2,132,449.16 | \$2,177,657.08 | \$4,401,480.49 | \$4,494,791.87 | \$9,084,873.34 | \$9,277,472.65 | \$9,474,155.07 | \$9,675,007.16 | \$9,880,117.31 | \$10,089,575.80 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,291,087.92 | \$2,339,658.98 | \$2,389,259.75 | \$2,439,912.06 | \$2,491,638.19 | \$3,291,952.38 | \$3,361,741.77 | \$3,433,010.70 | \$3,505,790.52 | \$3,580,113.28 | \$3,656,011.68 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$4,492,155.83 | \$4,587,389.54 | \$4,684,642.19 | \$5,487,879.98 | \$5,604,223.04 | \$5,723,032.56 | \$5,844,360.85 | \$5,968,261.30 | \$6,094,788.44 | \$6,223,997.96 | \$7,914,663.28 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| otal Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$11,543,844.88 | 11,788,574.4 | 2,038,492 | 175,288. | 15,497,004.7 | 21,909,400. | 2,452,653 | \$3,370,259.08 | 23,865,708 | 24,371,661. | 26,447,057. |


|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 | 2038 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) | \$3,427,128.46 | \$3,499,783.59 | \$3,573,979.00 | \$3,649,747.35 | \$3,727,122.00 | \$3,806,136.98 | \$3,886,827.09 | \$3,969,227.82 | \$4,053,375.45 | \$4,139,307.01 | \$4,227,060.32 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$1,461,158.46 | \$1,492,135.02 | \$1,523,768.29 | \$1,556,072.17 | \$1,589,060.90 | \$1,622,748.99 | \$1,657,151.27 | \$1,692,282.88 | \$1,728,159.28 | \$1,764,796.25 | \$1,802,209.93 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$10,303,474.81 | \$10,521,908.47 | \$10,744,972.93 | \$10,972,766.36 | \$11,205,389.00 | \$11,442,943.25 | \$11,685,533.65 | \$11,933,266.96 | \$12,186,252.22 | \$12,444,600.77 | \$12,708,426.30 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,733,519.13 | \$3,812,669.74 | \$3,893,498.33 | \$3,976,040.50 | \$4,060,332.56 | \$4,146,411.61 | \$4,234,315.53 | \$4,324,083.02 | \$4,415,753.58 | \$4,509,367.56 | \$4,604,966.15 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$7,764,101.87 | \$7,928,700.83 | \$8,096,789.29 | \$8,268,441.22 | \$8,443,732.17 | \$8,622,739.30 | \$8,805,541.37 | \$8,992,218.85 | \$9,182,853.89 | \$9,377,530.39 | \$9,576,334.03 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$26,689,382.73 | \$27,255,197.65 | \$27,833,007.84 | \$28,423,067.60 | \$29,025,636.64 | \$29,640,980.13 | \$30,269,368.91 | \$30,911,079.53 | \$31,566,394.42 | \$32,235,601.98 | \$32,918,996.74 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$3,427,128.46 | \$3,499,783.59 | \$3,573,979.00 | \$3,649,747.35 | \$3,727,122.00 | \$3,806,136.98 | \$3,886,827.09 | \$3,969,227.82 | \$4,053,375.45 | \$4,139,307.01 | \$4,227,060.32 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$1,557,211.48 | \$1,590,224.36 | \$1,623,937.12 | \$1,658,364.59 | \$1,693,521.91 | \$1,729,424.58 | \$1,766,088.38 | \$1,803,529.45 | \$1,841,764.28 | \$1,880,809.68 | \$1,920,682.85 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$10,303,474.81 | \$10,521,908.47 | \$10,744,972.93 | \$10,972,766.36 | \$11,205,389.00 | \$11,442,943.25 | \$11,685,533.65 | \$11,933,266.96 | \$12,186,252.22 | \$12,444,600.77 | \$12,708,426.30 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,733,519.13 | \$3,812,669.74 | \$3,893,498.33 | \$3,976,040.50 | \$4,060,332.56 | \$4,146,411.61 | \$4,234,315.53 | \$4,324,083.02 | \$4,415,753.58 | \$4,509,367.56 | \$4,604,966.15 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$8,719,158.68 | \$8,904,004.85 | \$9,092,769.75 | \$9,285,536.47 | \$9,482,389.84 | \$9,683,416.51 | \$9,888,704.94 | \$10,098,345.48 | \$10,312,430.41 | \$10,531,053.93 | \$10,754,312.27 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$27,740,492.56 | \$28,328,591.00 | \$28,929,157.13 | \$29,542,455.26 | \$30,168,755.32 | \$30,808,332.93 | \$31,461,469.59 | \$32,128,452.74 | \$32,809,575.94 | \$33,505,138.95 | \$34,215,447.90 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$3,427,128.46 | \$3,499,783.59 | \$3,573,979.00 | \$3,649,747.35 | \$3,727,122.00 | \$3,806,136.98 | \$3,886,827.09 | \$3,969,227.82 | \$4,053,375.45 | \$4,139,307.01 | \$4,227,060.32 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$1,461,158.46 | \$1,492,135.02 | \$1,523,768.29 | \$1,556,072.17 | \$1,589,060.90 | \$1,622,748.99 | \$1,657,151.27 | \$1,692,282.88 | \$1,728,159.28 | \$1,764,796.25 | \$1,802,209.93 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$10,303,474.81 | \$10,521,908.47 | \$10,744,972.93 | \$10,972,766.36 | \$11,205,389.00 | \$11,442,943.25 | \$11,685,533.65 | \$11,933,266.96 | \$12,186,252.22 | \$12,444,600.77 | \$12,708,426.30 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,733,519.13 | \$3,812,669.74 | \$3,893,498.33 | \$3,976,040.50 | \$4,060,332.56 | \$4,146,411.61 | \$4,234,315.53 | \$4,324,083.02 | \$4,415,753.58 | \$4,509,367.56 | \$4,604,966.15 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$8,082,454.14 | \$8,253,802.17 | \$8,428,782.78 | \$8,607,472.97 | \$8,789,951.40 | \$8,976,298.37 | \$9,166,595.89 | \$9,360,927.73 | \$9,559,379.39 | \$9,762,038.24 | \$9,968,993.45 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$27,007,735.00 | \$27,580,298.99 | \$28,165,001.32 | \$28,762,099.35 | \$29,371,855.86 | \$29,994,539.20 | \$30,630,423.43 | \$31,279,788.41 | \$31,942,919.93 | \$32,620,109.83 | \$33,311,656.16 |


|  | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2039 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 | 2046 | 2047 | 2048 | 2049 | 2050 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) | \$4,316,674.00 | \$4,408,187.49 | \$4,501,641.06 | \$4,597,075.85 | \$4,694,533.86 | \$4,794,057.98 | \$4,895,692.01 | \$4,999,480.68 | \$5,105,469.67 | \$5,213,705.63 | \$0.00 |  |
| Public Area Operations (years $0-87$ ) | \$1,840,416.78 | \$1,879,433.62 | \$1,919,277.61 | \$1,959,966.30 | \$2,001,517.58 | \$2,043,949.76 | \$2,087,281.49 | \$2,131,531.86 | \$2,176,720.34 | \$2,222,866.81 | \$2,269,991.58 | \$2,318,115.40 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$12,977,844.94 | \$13,252,975.25 | \$13,533,938.33 | \$13,820,857.82 | \$14,113,860.01 | \$14,413,073.84 | \$14,718,631.01 | \$15,030,665.98 | \$15,349,316.10 | \$15,674,721.60 | \$16,007,025.70 | \$16,346,374.65 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$4,702,591.43 | \$4,802,286.37 | \$4,904,094.84 | \$5,008,061.65 | \$5,114,232.56 | \$5,222,654.29 | \$5,333,374.56 | \$5,446,442.10 | \$5,561,906.68 | \$5,679,819.10 | \$5,800,231.26 | \$5,923,196.17 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$9,779,352.31 | \$9,986,674.58 | \$10,198,392.09 | \$10,414,598.00 | \$10,635,387.47 | \$10,860,857.69 | \$11,091,107.87 | \$11,326,239.36 | \$11,566,355.63 | \$11,811,562.37 | \$12,061,967.50 | \$12,317,681.21 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$47,356,803.30 | \$48,360,767.53 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$33,616,879.47 | \$34,329,557.32 | \$35,057,343.93 | \$35,800,559.62 | \$36,559,531.49 | \$37,334,593.56 | \$38,126,086.94 | \$38,934,359.98 | \$39,759,768.41 | \$40,602,675.51 | \$83,733,707.34 | \$85,503,822.95 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$4,316,674.00 | \$4,408,187.49 | \$4,501,641.06 | \$4,597,075.85 | \$4,694,533.86 | \$4,794,057.98 | \$4,895,692.01 | \$4,999,480.68 | \$5,105,469.67 | \$5,213,705.63 | \$5,324,236.18 | \$5,437,109.99 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$1,961,401.32 | \$2,002,983.03 | \$2,045,446.27 | \$2,088,809.73 | \$2,133,092.50 | \$2,178,314.06 | \$2,224,494.32 | \$2,271,653.60 | \$2,319,812.65 | \$2,368,992.68 | \$2,419,215.33 | \$2,470,502.69 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$12,977,844.94 | \$13,252,975.25 | \$13,533,938.33 | \$13,820,857.82 | \$14,113,860.01 | \$14,413,073.84 | \$14,718,631.01 | \$15,030,665.98 | \$15,349,316.10 | \$15,674,721.60 | \$16,007,025.70 | \$16,346,374.65 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$4,702,591.43 | \$4,802,286.37 | \$4,904,094.84 | \$5,008,061.65 | \$5,114,232.56 | \$5,222,654.29 | \$5,333,374.56 | \$5,446,442.10 | \$5,561,906.68 | \$5,679,819.10 | \$5,800,231.26 | \$5,923,196.17 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$10,982,303.69 | \$11,215,128.53 | \$11,452,889.26 | \$11,695,690.51 | \$11,943,639.15 | \$12,196,844.30 | \$12,455,417.40 | \$12,719,472.25 | \$12,989,125.06 | \$13,264,494.51 | \$13,545,701.79 | \$13,832,870.67 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$34,940,815.39 | \$35,681,560.68 | \$36,438,009.76 | \$37,210,495.57 | \$37,999,358.08 | \$38,804,944.47 | \$39,627,609.29 | \$40,467,714.61 | \$41,325,630.16 | \$42,201,733.52 | \$43,096,410.27 | \$44,010,054.16 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$4,316,674.00 | \$4,408,187.49 | \$4,501,641.06 | \$4,597,075.85 | \$4,694,533.86 | \$4,794,057.98 | \$4,895,692.01 | \$4,999,480.68 | \$5,105,469.67 | \$5,213,705.63 | \$5,584,921.47 | \$5,703,321.80 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$1,840,416.78 | \$1,879,433.62 | \$1,919,277.61 | \$1,959,966.30 | \$2,001,517.58 | \$2,043,949.76 | \$2,087,281.49 | \$2,131,531.86 | \$2,176,720.34 | \$2,222,866.81 | \$2,269,991.58 | \$2,318,115.40 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$12,977,844.94 | \$13,252,975.25 | \$13,533,938.33 | \$13,820,857.82 | \$14,113,860.01 | \$14,413,073.84 | \$14,718,631.01 | \$15,030,665.98 | \$15,349,316.10 | \$15,674,721.60 | \$16,007,025.70 | \$16,346,374.65 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$4,702,591.43 | \$4,802,286.37 | \$4,904,094.84 | \$5,008,061.65 | \$5,114,232.56 | \$5,222,654.29 | \$5,333,374.56 | \$5,446,442.10 | \$5,561,906.68 | \$5,679,819.10 | \$5,800,231.26 | \$5,923,196.17 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$10,180,336.11 | \$10,396,159.23 | \$10,616,557.81 | \$10,841,628.83 | \$11,071,471.37 | \$11,306,186.56 | \$11,545,877.71 | \$11,790,650.32 | \$12,040,612.11 | \$12,295,873.09 | \$12,556,545.59 | \$12,822,744.36 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |


|  | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2051 | 2052 | 2053 | 2054 | 2055 | 2056 | 2057 | 2058 | 2059 | 2060 | 2061 | 2062 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,341,296.56 | \$2,364,709.52 | \$2,388,356.62 | \$2,412,240.19 | \$2,436,362.59 | \$2,460,726.21 | \$2,485,333.48 | \$2,510,186.81 | \$2,535,288.68 | \$2,560,641.56 | \$2,586,247.98 | \$2,612,110.46 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$16,509,838.39 | \$16,674,936.78 | \$16,841,686.14 | \$17,010,103.01 | \$17,180,204.04 | \$17,352,006.08 | \$17,525,526.14 | \$17,700,781.40 | \$17,877,789.21 | \$18,056,567.10 | \$18,237,132.77 | \$18,419,504.10 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$5,982,428.13 | \$6,042,252.41 | \$6,102,674.93 | \$6,163,701.68 | \$6,225,338.70 | \$6,287,592.09 | \$6,350,468.01 | \$6,413,972.69 | \$6,478,112.41 | \$6,542,893.54 | \$6,608,322.47 | \$6,674,405.70 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$12,440,858.02 | \$12,565,266.60 | \$12,690,919.26 | \$12,817,828.46 | \$12,946,006.74 | \$13,075,466.81 | \$13,206,221.48 | \$13,338,283.69 | \$13,471,666.53 | \$13,606,383.19 | \$13,742,447.03 | \$13,879,871.50 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$48,844,375.21 | \$49,332,818.96 | \$49,826,147.15 | \$50,324,408.62 | \$50,827,652.71 | \$51,335,929.23 | \$51,849,288.52 | \$52,367,781.41 | \$52,891,459.22 | \$53,420,373.82 | \$53,954,577.55 | \$54,494,123.33 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$86,356,484.30 | \$87,217,672.26 | \$88,087,472.11 | \$88,965,969.95 | \$89,853,252.77 | \$90,749,408.42 | \$91,654,525.62 | \$92,568,694.00 | \$93,492,004.06 | \$94,424,547.22 | \$95,366,415.81 | \$96,317,703.09 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$5,491,481.09 | \$5,546,395.90 | \$5,601,859.86 | \$5,657,878.46 | \$5,714,457.24 | \$5,771,601.82 | \$5,829,317.84 | \$5,887,611.01 | \$5,946,487.12 | \$6,005,952.00 | \$6,066,011.52 | \$6,126,671.63 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,495,207.72 | \$2,520,159.79 | \$2,545,361.39 | \$2,570,815.01 | \$2,596,523.16 | \$2,622,488.39 | \$2,648,713.27 | \$2,675,200.40 | \$2,701,952.41 | \$2,728,971.93 | \$2,756,261.65 | \$2,783,824.27 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$16,509,838.39 | \$16,674,936.78 | \$16,841,686.14 | \$17,010,103.01 | \$17,180,204.04 | \$17,352,006.08 | \$17,525,526.14 | \$17,700,781.40 | \$17,877,789.21 | \$18,056,567.10 | \$18,237,132.77 | \$18,419,504.10 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$5,982,428.13 | \$6,042,252.41 | \$6,102,674.93 | \$6,163,701.68 | \$6,225,338.70 | \$6,287,592.09 | \$6,350,468.01 | \$6,413,972.69 | \$6,478,112.41 | \$6,542,893.54 | \$6,608,322.47 | \$6,674,405.70 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$13,971,199.38 | \$14,110,911.37 | \$14,252,020.49 | \$14,394,540.69 | \$14,538,486.10 | \$14,683,870.96 | \$14,830,709.67 | \$14,979,016.76 | \$15,128,806.93 | \$15,280,095.00 | \$15,432,895.95 | \$15,587,224.91 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$44,450,154.71 | \$44,894,656.25 | \$45,343,602.82 | \$45,797,038.84 | \$46,255,009.23 | \$46,717,559.32 | \$47,184,734.92 | \$47,656,582.27 | \$48,133,148.09 | \$48,614,479.57 | \$49,100,624.37 | \$49,591,630.61 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$5,760,355.02 | \$5,817,958.57 | \$5,876,138.15 | \$5,934,899.54 | \$5,994,248.53 | \$6,054,191.02 | \$6,114,732.93 | \$6,175,880.26 | \$6,237,639.06 | \$6,300,015.45 | \$6,363,015.60 | \$6,426,645.76 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,341,296.56 | \$2,364,709.52 | \$2,388,356.62 | \$2,412,240.19 | \$2,436,362.59 | \$2,460,726.21 | \$2,485,333.48 | \$2,510,186.81 | \$2,535,288.68 | \$2,560,641.56 | \$2,586,247.98 | \$2,612,110.46 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$16,509,838.39 | \$16,674,936.78 | \$16,841,686.14 | \$17,010,103.01 | \$17,180,204.04 | \$17,352,006.08 | \$17,525,526.14 | \$17,700,781.40 | \$17,877,789.21 | \$18,056,567.10 | \$18,237,132.77 | \$18,419,504.10 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$5,982,428.13 | \$6,042,252.41 | \$6,102,674.93 | \$6,163,701.68 | \$6,225,338.70 | \$6,287,592.09 | \$6,350,468.01 | \$6,413,972.69 | \$6,478,112.41 | \$6,542,893.54 | \$6,608,322.47 | \$6,674,405.70 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$12,950,971.81 | \$13,080,481.52 | \$13,211,286.34 | \$13,343,399.20 | \$13,476,833.19 | \$13,611,601.53 | \$13,747,717.54 | \$13,885,194.72 | \$14,024,046.66 | \$14,164,287.13 | \$14,305,930.00 | \$14,448,989.30 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |


|  | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2063 | 2064 | 2065 | 2066 | 2067 | 2068 | 2069 | 2070 | 2071 | 2072 | 2073 | 2074 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,638,231.56 | \$2,664,613.88 | \$2,691,260.02 | \$2,718,172.62 | \$2,745,354.35 | \$2,772,807.89 | \$2,800,535.97 | \$2,828,541.33 | \$2,856,826.74 | \$2,885,395.01 | \$2,914,248.96 | \$2,943,391.45 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$18,603,699.14 | \$18,789,736.13 | \$18,977,633.50 | \$19,167,409.83 | \$19,359,083.93 | \$19,552,674.77 | \$19,748,201.52 | \$19,945,683.53 | \$20,145,140.37 | \$20,346,591.77 | \$20,550,057.69 | \$20,755,558.27 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$6,741,149.75 | \$6,808,561.25 | \$6,876,646.86 | \$6,945,413.33 | \$7,014,867.47 | \$7,085,016.14 | \$7,155,866.30 | \$7,227,424.97 | \$7,299,699.22 | \$7,372,696.21 | \$7,446,423.17 | \$7,520,887.40 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$14,018,670.21 | \$14,158,856.91 | \$14,300,445.48 | \$14,443,449.94 | \$14,587,884.44 | \$14,733,763.28 | \$14,881,100.91 | \$15,029,911.92 | \$15,180,211.04 | \$15,332,013.15 | \$15,485,333.28 | \$15,640,186.62 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$55,039,064.56 | \$55,589,455.21 | \$56,145,349.76 | \$56,706,803.26 | \$57,273,871.29 | \$57,846,610.00 | \$58,425,076.10 | \$59,009,326.87 | \$59,599,420.13 | \$60, 195,414.34 | \$60,797,368.48 | \$61,405,342.16 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$97,278,503.24 | \$98,248,911.39 | \$99,229,023.62 | \$100,218,936.98 | \$101,218,749.47 | \$102,228,560.08 | \$103,248,468.81 | \$104,278,576.61 | \$105,318,985.50 | \$106,369,798.47 | \$107,431,119.58 | \$108,503,053.89 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$6,187,938.35 | \$6,249,817.73 | \$6,312,315.91 | \$6,375,439.07 | \$6,439,193.46 | \$6,503,585.39 | \$6,568,621.25 | \$6,634,307.46 | \$6,700,650.53 | \$6,767,657.04 | \$6,835,333.61 | \$6,903,686.94 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,811,662.51 | \$2,839,779.14 | \$2,868,176.93 | \$2,896,858.70 | \$2,925,827.28 | \$2,955,085.56 | \$2,984,636.41 | \$3,014,482.78 | \$3,044,627.60 | \$3,075,073.88 | \$3,105,824.62 | \$3,136,882.87 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$18,603,699.14 | \$18,789,736.13 | \$18,977,633.50 | \$19,167,409.83 | \$19,359,083.93 | \$19,552,674.77 | \$19,748,201.52 | \$19,945,683.53 | \$20,145,140.37 | \$20,346,591.77 | \$20,550,057.69 | \$20,755,558.27 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$6,741,149.75 | \$6,808,561.25 | \$6,876,646.86 | \$6,945,413.33 | \$7,014,867.47 | \$7,085,016.14 | \$7,155,866.30 | \$7,227,424.97 | \$7,299,699.22 | \$7,372,696.21 | \$7,446,423.17 | \$7,520,887.40 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$15,743,097.16 | \$15,900,528.13 | \$16,059,533.41 | \$16,220,128.75 | \$16,382,330.03 | \$16,546,153.34 | \$16,711,614.87 | \$16,878,731.02 | \$17,047,518.33 | \$17,217,993.51 | \$17,390,173.45 | \$17,564,075.18 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$50,087,546.92 | \$50,588,422.39 | \$51,094,306.61 | \$51,605,249.68 | \$52,121,302.17 | \$52,642,515.19 | \$53,168,940.35 | \$53,700,629.75 | \$54,237,636.05 | \$54,780,012.41 | \$55,327,812.53 | \$55,881,090.66 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$6,490,912.22 | \$6,555,821.34 | \$6,621,379.55 | \$6,687,593.35 | \$6,754,469.28 | \$6,822,013.98 | \$6,890,234.11 | \$6,959,136.46 | \$7,028,727.82 | \$7,099,015.10 | \$7,170,005.25 | \$7,241,705.30 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,638,231.56 | \$2,664,613.88 | \$2,691,260.02 | \$2,718,172.62 | \$2,745,354.35 | \$2,772,807.89 | \$2,800,535.97 | \$2,828,541.33 | \$2,856,826.74 | \$2,885,395.01 | \$2,914,248.96 | \$2,943,391.45 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$18,603,699.14 | \$18,789,736.13 | \$18,977,633.50 | \$19,167,409.83 | \$19,359,083.93 | \$19,552,674.77 | \$19,748,201.52 | \$19,945,683.53 | \$20,145,140.37 | \$20,346,591.77 | \$20,550,057.69 | \$20,755,558.27 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$6,741,149.75 | \$6,808,561.25 | \$6,876,646.86 | \$6,945,413.33 | \$7,014,867.47 | \$7,085,016.14 | \$7,155,866.30 | \$7,227,424.97 | \$7,299,699.22 | \$7,372,696.21 | \$7,446,423.17 | \$7,520,887.40 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$14,593,479.19 | \$14,739,413.99 | \$14,886,808.13 | \$15,035,676.21 | \$15,186,032.97 | \$15,337,893.30 | \$15,491,272.23 | \$15,646,184.95 | \$15,802,646.80 | \$15,960,673.27 | \$16,120,280.00 | \$16,281,482.80 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$49,067,471.87 | \$49,558,146.59 | \$50,053,728.06 | \$50,554,265.34 | \$51,059,807.99 | \$51,570,406.07 | \$52,086,110.13 | \$52,606,971.24 | \$53,133,040.95 | \$53,664,371.36 | \$54,201,015.07 | \$54,743,025.22 |


|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2075 | 2076 | 2077 | 2078 | 2079 | 2080 | 2081 | 2082 | 2083 | 2084 | 2085 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,972,825.36 | \$3,002,553.62 | \$3,032,579.15 | \$3,062,904.94 | \$3,093,533.99 | \$3,124,469.33 | \$3,155,714.03 | \$3,187,271.17 | \$3,219,143.88 | \$3,251,335.32 | \$3,283,848.67 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$20,963,113.85 | \$21,172,744.99 | \$21,384,472.44 | \$21,598,317.16 | \$21,814,300.33 | \$22,032,443.34 | \$22,252,767.77 | \$22,475,295.45 | \$22,700,048.40 | \$22,927,048.89 | \$23,156,319.37 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$7,596,096.28 | \$7,672,057.24 | \$7,748,777.81 | \$7,826,265.59 | \$7,904,528.24 | \$7,983,573.53 | \$8,063,409.26 | \$8,144,043.35 | \$8,225,483.79 | \$8,307,738.63 | \$8,390,816.01 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$15,796,588.48 | \$15,954,554.37 | \$16,114,099.91 | \$16,275,240.91 | \$16,437,993.32 | \$16,602,373.25 | \$16,768,396.99 | \$16,936,080.96 | \$17,105,441.77 | \$17,276,496.18 | \$17,449,261.14 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$62,019,395.58 | \$62,639,589.54 | \$63,265,985.44 | \$63,898,645.29 | \$64,537,631.74 | \$65,183,008.06 | \$65,834,838.14 | \$66,493,186.52 | \$67,158,118.39 | \$67,829,699.57 | \$68,507,996.57 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 | \$237,688.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$109,585,707.55 | \$110,679,187.75 | \$111,783,602.75 | \$112,899,061.89 | \$113,787,987.63 | \$114,925,867.51 | \$116,075,126.18 | \$117,235,877.45 | \$118,408,236.22 | \$119,592,318.58 | \$120,788,241.77 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$6,972,723.81 | \$7,042,451.05 | \$7,112,875.56 | \$7,184,004.32 | \$7,255,844.36 | \$7,328,402.80 | \$7,401,686.83 | \$7,475,703.70 | \$7,550,460.74 | \$7,625,965.35 | \$7,702,225.00 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,168,251.69 | \$3,199,934.21 | \$3,231,933.55 | \$3,264,252.89 | \$3,296,895.42 | \$3,329,864.37 | \$3,363,163.02 | \$3,396,794.65 | \$3,430,762.59 | \$3,465,070.22 | \$3,499,720.92 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$20,963,113.85 | \$21,172,744.99 | \$21,384,472.44 | \$21,598,317.16 | \$21,814,300.33 | \$22,032,443.34 | \$22,252,767.77 | \$22,475,295.45 | \$22,700,048.40 | \$22,927,048.89 | \$23,156,319.37 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$7,596,096.28 | \$7,672,057.24 | \$7,748,777.81 | \$7,826,265.59 | \$7,904,528.24 | \$7,983,573.53 | \$8,063,409.26 | \$8,144,043.35 | \$8,225,483.79 | \$8,307,738.63 | \$8,390,816.01 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$17,739,715.93 | \$17,917,113.09 | \$18,096,284.22 | \$18,277,247.06 | \$18,460,019.53 | \$18,644,619.73 | \$18,831,065.93 | \$19,019,376.59 | \$19,209,570.35 | \$19,401,666.06 | \$19,595,682.72 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$56,439,901.56 | \$57,004,300.58 | \$57,574,343.58 | \$58,150,087.02 | \$58,731,587.89 | \$59,318,903.77 | \$59,912,092.81 | \$60,511,213.74 | \$61,116,325.87 | \$61,727,489.13 | \$62,344,764.02 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$7,314,122.36 | \$7,387,263.58 | \$7,461,136.21 | \$7,535,747.58 | \$7,611,105.05 | \$7,687,216.10 | \$7,764,088.26 | \$7,841,729.15 | \$7,920,146.44 | \$7,999,347.90 | \$8,079,341.38 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$2,972,825.36 | \$3,002,553.62 | \$3,032,579.15 | \$3,062,904.94 | \$3,093,533.99 | \$3,124,469.33 | \$3,155,714.03 | \$3,187,271.17 | \$3,219,143.88 | \$3,251,335.32 | \$3,283,848.67 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$20,963,113.85 | \$21,172,744.99 | \$21,384,472.44 | \$21,598,317.16 | \$21,814,300.33 | \$22,032,443.34 | \$22,252,767.77 | \$22,475,295.45 | \$22,700,048.40 | \$22,927,048.89 | \$23,156,319.37 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$7,596,096.28 | \$7,672,057.24 | \$7,748,777.81 | \$7,826,265.59 | \$7,904,528.24 | \$7,983,573.53 | \$8,063,409.26 | \$8,144,043.35 | \$8,225,483.79 | \$8,307,738.63 | \$8,390,816.01 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$16,444,297.63 | \$16,608,740.61 | \$16,774,828.02 | \$16,942,576.30 | \$17,112,002.06 | \$17,283,122.08 | \$17,455,953.30 | \$17,630,512.83 | \$17,806,817.96 | \$17,984,886.14 | \$18,164,735.00 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$55,290,455.47 | \$55,843,360.03 | \$56,401,793.63 | \$56,965,811.57 | \$57,535,469.68 | \$58,110,824.38 | \$58,691,932.62 | \$59,278,851.95 | \$59,871,640.47 | \$60,470,356.87 | \$61,075,060.44 |


|  | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2086 | 2087 | 2088 | 2089 | 2090 | 2091 | 2092 | 2093 | 2094 | 2095 | 2096 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,316,687.16 | \$3,349,854.03 | \$3,383,352.57 | \$3,417,186.09 | \$3,451,357.96 | \$3,485,871.54 | \$3,520,730.25 | \$3,555,937.55 | \$3,591,496.93 | \$3,627,411.90 | \$3,663,686.02 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$23,387,882.57 | \$23,621,761.39 | \$23,857,979.01 | \$24,096,558.80 | \$24,337,524.39 | \$24,580,899.63 | \$24,826,708.63 | \$25,074,975.71 | \$25,325,725.47 | \$25,578,982.72 | \$25,834,772.55 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$8,474,724.17 | \$8,559,471.41 | \$8,645,066.13 | \$8,731,516.79 | \$8,818,831.96 | \$8,907,020.28 | \$8,996,090.48 | \$9,086,051.38 | \$9,176,911.90 | \$9,268,881.02 | \$9,361,367.83 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$17,623,753.76 | \$17,799,991.29 | \$17,977,991.21 | \$18,157,771.12 | \$18,339,348.83 | \$18,522,742.32 | \$18,707,969.74 | \$18,895,049.44 | \$19,083,999.93 | \$19,274,839.93 | \$19,467,588.33 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$69,193,076.53 | \$69,885,007.30 | \$70,583,857.37 | \$71,289,695.95 | \$72,002,592.90 | \$72,722,618.83 | \$73,449,845.02 | \$74,184,343.47 | \$74,926,186.91 | \$75,675,448.78 | \$76,432,203.26 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$121,996,124.19 | \$123,216,085.43 | \$124,448,246.28 | \$125,692,728.75 | \$126,949,656.03 | \$128,219,152.59 | \$129,501,344.12 | \$130,796,357.56 | \$132,104,321.14 | \$133,425,364.35 | \$134,759,617.99 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$7,779,247.25 | \$7,857,039.72 | \$7,935,610.12 | \$8,014,966.22 | \$8,095,115.88 | \$8,176,067.04 | \$8,257,827.71 | \$8,340,405.99 | \$8,423,810.05 | \$8,508,048.15 | \$8,593,128.63 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,534,718.13 | \$3,570,065.31 | \$3,605,765.96 | \$3,641,823.62 | \$3,678,241.86 | \$3,715,024.28 | \$3,752,174.52 | \$3,789,696.27 | \$3,827,593.23 | \$3,865,869.16 | \$3,904,527.85 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$23,387,882.57 | \$23,621,761.39 | \$23,857,979.01 | \$24,096,558.80 | \$24,337,524.39 | \$24,580,899.63 | \$24,826,708.63 | \$25,074,975.71 | \$25,325,725.47 | \$25,578,982.72 | \$25,834,772.55 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$8,474,724.17 | \$8,559,471.41 | \$8,645,066.13 | \$8,731,516.79 | \$8,818,831.96 | \$8,907,020.28 | \$8,996,090.48 | \$9,086,051.38 | \$9,176,911.90 | \$9,268,681.02 | \$9,361,367.83 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$19,791,639.54 | \$19,989,555.94 | \$20,189,451.50 | \$20,391,346.01 | \$20,595,259.47 | \$20,801,212.07 | \$21,009,224.19 | \$21,219,316.43 | \$21,431,509.60 | \$21,645,824.69 | \$21,862,282.94 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$62,968,211.66 | \$63,597,893.78 | \$64,233,872.72 | \$64,876,211.44 | \$65,524,973.56 | \$66,180,223.29 | \$66,842,025.53 | \$67,510,445.78 | \$68,185,550.24 | \$68,867,405.74 | \$69,556,079.80 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$8,160,134.80 | \$8,241,736.14 | \$8,324,153.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,316,687.16 | \$3,349,854.03 | \$3,383,352.57 | \$3,417,186.09 | \$3,451,357.96 | \$3,485,871.54 | \$3,520,730.25 | \$3,555,937.55 | \$3,591,496.93 | \$3,627,411.90 | \$3,663,686.02 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$23,387,882.57 | \$23,621,761.39 | \$23,857,979.01 | \$24,096,558.80 | \$24,337,524.39 | \$24,580,899.63 | \$24,826,708.63 | \$25,074,975.71 | \$25,325,725.47 | \$25,578,982.72 | \$25,834,772.55 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$8,474,724.17 | \$8,559,471.41 | \$8,645,066.13 | \$8,731,516.79 | \$8,818,831.96 | \$8,907,020.28 | \$8,996,090.48 | \$9,086,051.38 | \$9,176,911.90 | \$9,268,681.02 | \$9,361,367.83 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$18,346,382.35 | \$18,529,846.18 | \$18,715,144.64 | \$18,902,296.08 | \$19,091,319.04 | \$19,282,232.24 | \$19,475,054.56 | \$19,669,805.10 | \$19,866,503.15 | \$20,065,168.19 | \$20,265,819.87 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$71,289,695.95 | \$72,002,592.90 | \$72,722,618.83 | \$73,449,845.02 | \$74,184,343.47 | \$74,926,186.91 | \$75,675,448.78 | \$76,432,203.26 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$61,685,811.04 | \$62,302,669.16 | \$62,925,695.85 | \$127,023,443.71 | \$128,287,816.25 | \$129,564,832.51 | \$130,854,618.94 | \$132,157,303.22 | \$133,473,014.36 | \$134,801,882.60 | \$136,144,039.53 |


|  | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2097 | 2098 | 2099 | 2100 | 2101 | 2102 | 2103 | 2104 | 2105 | 2106 | 2107 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,700,322.88 | \$3,737,326.11 | \$3,774,699.37 | \$3,812,446.36 | \$3,850,570.82 | \$3,889,076.53 | \$3,927,967.30 | \$3,967,246.97 | \$4,006,919.44 | \$4,046,988.63 | \$4,087,458.52 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$26,093,120.28 | \$26,354,051.48 | \$26,617,591.99 | \$26,883,767.91 | \$27,152,605.59 | \$27,424,131.65 | \$27,698,372.97 | \$27,975,356.69 | \$28,255,110.26 | \$28,537,661.36 | \$28,823,037.98 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$9,454,981.51 | \$9,549,531.32 | \$9,645,026.63 | \$9,741,476.90 | \$9,838,891.67 | \$9,937,280.59 | \$10,036,653.39 | \$10,137,019.93 | \$10,238,390.13 | \$10,340,774.03 | \$10,444,181.77 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$19,662,264.22 | \$19,858,886.86 | \$20,057,475.73 | \$20,258,050.48 | \$20,460,630.99 | \$20,665,237.30 | \$20,871,889.67 | \$21,080,608.57 | \$21,291,414.65 | \$21,504,328.80 | \$21,719,372.09 |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$77,196,525.30 | \$77,968,490.55 | \$78,748,175.46 | \$79,535,657.21 | \$80,331,013.78 | \$81,134,323.92 | \$81,945,667.16 | \$82,765,123.83 | \$83,592,775.07 | \$84,428,702.82 | \$85,272,989.85 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$136,107,214.17 | \$137,468,286.31 | \$138,842,969.18 | \$140,231,398.87 | \$141,633,712.86 | \$143,050,049.98 | \$144,480,550.48 | \$145,925,355.99 | \$147,384,609.55 | \$148,858,455.64 | \$150,347,040.20 |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$8,679,059.92 | \$8,765,850.52 | \$8,853,509.02 | \$8,942,044.11 | \$9,031,464.55 | \$9,121,779.20 | \$9,212,996.99 | \$9,305,126.96 | \$9,398,178.23 | \$9,492,160.01 | \$9,587,081.61 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,943,573.13 | \$3,983,008.86 | \$4,022,838.95 | \$4,063,067.34 | \$4,103,698.01 | \$4,144,734.99 | \$4,186,182.34 | \$4,228,044.17 | \$4,270,324.61 | \$4,313,027.86 | \$4,356,158.13 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$26,093,120.28 | \$26,354,051.48 | \$26,617,591.99 | \$26,883,767.91 | \$27,152,605.59 | \$27,424,131.65 | \$27,698,372.97 | \$27,975,356.69 | \$28,255,110.26 | \$28,537,661.36 | \$28,823,037.98 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$9,454,981.51 | \$9,549,531.32 | \$9,645,026.63 | \$9,741,476.90 | \$9,838,891.67 | \$9,937,280.59 | \$10,036,653.39 | \$10,137,019.93 | \$10,238,390.13 | \$10,340,774.03 | \$10,444,181.77 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$22,080,905.77 | \$22,301,714.83 | \$22,524,731.97 | \$22,749,979.29 | \$22,977,479.09 | \$23,207,253.88 | \$23,439,326.42 | \$23,673,719.68 | \$23,910,456.88 | \$24,149,561.45 | \$24,391,057.06 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$70,251,640.60 | \$70,954,157.00 | \$71,663,698.57 | \$72,380,335.56 | \$73,104,138.92 | \$73,835,180.30 | \$74,573,532.11 | \$75,319,267.43 | \$76,072,460.10 | \$76,833,184.70 | \$77,601,516.55 |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$3,700,322.88 | \$3,737,326.11 | \$3,774,699.37 | \$3,812,446.36 | \$3,850,570.82 | \$3,889,076.53 | \$3,927,967.30 | \$3,967,246.97 | \$4,006,919.44 | \$4,046,988.63 | \$4,087,458.52 |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$26,093,120.28 | \$26,354,051.48 | \$26,617,591.99 | \$26,883,767.91 | \$27,152,605.59 | \$27,424,131.65 | \$27,698,372.97 | \$27,975,356.69 | \$28,255,110.26 | \$28,537,661.36 | \$28,823,037.98 |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$9,454,981.51 | \$9,549,531.32 | \$9,645,026.63 | \$9,741,476.90 | \$9,838,891.67 | \$9,937,280.59 | \$10,036,653.39 | \$10,137,019.93 | \$10,238,390.13 | \$10,340,774.03 | \$10,444,181.77 |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$20,468,478.07 | \$20,673,162.85 | \$20,879,894.48 | \$21,088,693.42 | \$21,299,580.35 | \$21,512,576.16 | \$21,727,701.92 | \$21,944,978.94 | \$22,164,428.73 | \$22,386,073.02 | \$22,609,933.75 |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$77,196,525.30 | \$77,968,490.55 | \$78,748,175.46 | \$79,535,657.21 | \$80,331,013.78 | \$81,134,323.92 | \$81,945,667.16 | \$82,765,123.83 | \$83,592,775.07 | \$84,428,702.82 | \$85,272,989.85 |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$137,499,618.02 | \$138,868,752.30 | \$140,251,577.93 | \$141,648,231.80 | \$143,058,852.22 | \$144,483,578.84 | \$145,922,552.73 | \$147,375,916.36 | \$148,843,813.62 | \$150,326,389.86 | \$151,823,791. |

Client: WPWMA
Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018
Worksheet: Summary Input for NPV_PC\# Sheets
Plan Concept: 0, 1, 2

|  | 86 | 87 | Remaining Useful Life/Liability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2108 | 2109 | 2110 |
| Plan Concept 0 |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-26) |  |  |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$4,128,333.11 | \$4,169,616.44 |  |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$29,111,268.36 | \$29,402,381.04 |  |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$10,548,623.58 | \$10,654,109.82 |  |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$21,936,565.81 | \$22,155,931.47 |  |
| Long Haul Trucking (years 27-87) | \$86,125,719.75 | \$86,986,976.94 |  |
| Post Closure Care Costs (years 27-56) |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$151,850,510.60 | \$153,369,015.71 |  |
| Plan Concept 1 |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-86) | \$9,682,952.43 | \$0.00 |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$4,399,719.72 | \$4,443,716.91 |  |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$29,111,268.36 | \$29,402,381.04 |  |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$10,548,623.58 | \$10,654,109.82 |  |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$24,634,967.63 | \$24,881,317.31 |  |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$86,986,976.94 |  |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 87) | \$0.00 | \$515,526.00 | \$14,950,254.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$78,377,531.72 | \$156,884,028.03 |  |
| Plan Concept 2 |  |  |  |
| Landfill Operations (years 0-66) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| Public Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$4,128,333.11 | \$4,169,616.44 |  |
| C\&D Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$29,111,268.36 | \$29,402,381.04 |  |
| Compost Area Operations (years 0-87) | \$10,548,623.58 | \$10,654,109.82 |  |
| WPWMA Operational Costs (years 0-87) | \$22,836,033.08 | \$23,064,393.41 |  |
| Long Haul Trucking (year 67) | \$86,125,719.75 | \$86,986,976.94 |  |
| Post Closure Care Costs (year 67) | \$586,190.00 | \$586,190.00 | \$5,275,710.00 |
| Total Operating Cost Not Including MRF | \$153,336,167.88 | \$154,863,667.66 |  |

Appendix 4B-1
Operational Cost Estimates Raw Backend Calculations

PLAN CONCEPT 0
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations
Year
Landill OPerations

osm Unit cost
Total Landilil Tonnage Excluding Tonnage Attibutable to Other Facilities
Total Operating Costs


|  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | - $0.00 \%$ |  | ${ }^{\text {30.00\% }}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.000 \%}}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | (0.00\% |  | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.000 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.000 \%}$ |
| 58.34 | s8. 34 | 58.34 | S8.34 | 58.34 | ¢8,34 | S8.34 | s8.3 | s8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | 58.34 | 58.34 | s8.34 | S8.34 | s8.34 | 58.34 | s8.34 |

## Public Araa Operations


osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processed


|  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {s37. } 55}$ | ${ }_{577.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{57,55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ¢37.55 | ${ }_{57,55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }^{937.55}$ | ${ }^{937.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | 55 |
| 17,207 | 17,57.28 | 17,943.79 | 18,34, 20 | 18,72.67 | 20,98.65 | 23,523.50 | 35,783.95 | 36,54,57 | 37,317.27 | 38,108.40 | 38,916.29 | 39,741.32 | 40,58.84 | 41,444.21 | 42,322.83 | 43,22.07 | 44,136.34 |
| S646,038.46 | \$659,734.48 | S67,720.85 | S688.003.73 | \$702,589.41 | \$787,74.24 | s883,217.73 | \$1,343,55.80 | \$1,372,034.08 | \$1,401,121.20 | \$1,430,824.97 | \$1,461,158.46 | \$1,492,135.02 | \$1,523,788.29 | \$1,566.072.17 | \$1,589,060.90 | \$1,622,74.99 | \$1,657,151.27 |

CzD Operations
 osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processed

## Toal Operating Costs

|  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ |  | - |
| 524.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ | 24. 89 |
| ${ }^{83,996}$ | 85,67.08 | 87,490.38 | 176,835.55 | 180,584.46 |
| \$2,08, 179.75 | \$2,132,499.16 | \$2,177,657.08 | \$4,401,480.49 | 54,994,791.87 |

 osm Unit cost

|  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ |  |
| S34.93 | S34.93 | 834.93 | ${ }_{534.93}$ | 4.93 |
| 65,994 | 66,984.44 | 68,404.51 | 69,54.69 | 71,335.61 |
| \$2,291,087.92 | \$2,339,658.98 | \$2,389,259.75 | S2,439,912.06 | S2,491,638.17 |



Total Tonnage Processed

| $52,291,087.92$ | $52,339,658.98$ | $52,389,259.75$ | $\$ 2,439,912.06$ | $\$ 2,491,638.19$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## WeWwaoperaions

Tonnage Based Growth Rate
\%o Adjustmentit or Operational C hange (increase by 3 staffin 2020, increase by 4 staffit 2027 ) \%A Ajustment tor Operaitional Change (nin)
osm Unit Cost

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 1.503 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59.84 | ร9.84 | S9.84 | 59.84 |  |
| 456,561 | 466,239.80 | 476,14.09 | 557,761.24 | 569.585.78 |
| 44,492, 155.83 | \$4,587,389.54 | 54,68,642.19 | 55,487.87.98 | 5,604,22.04 |

Total Operating Cosis

| $54,42,155.83$ | $54,587,389.54$ | $54,684,642.19$ | $55,487,879.98$ | $55,604,23.04$ | s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




Total Operating Costs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$1.606.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1.606.00 | \$1.606.00 |  |  |  |
| 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | ${ }_{148}$ |  |  | 148 |
| 5237.688.00 | s237.688.00 | 5237,688 | 37,680 | 5237,68.00 | S237,688.00 | 237,688.00 | 5237,688.00 | 237,688.00 | 237,688.00 | 5237,688.00 | S27,688.00 | \$237,68.00 |  |  |  |  |  |



Plan concept: 0
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations

Landililoperations

Tonnage Based Grownt Rate
\% Andistenmet or Operational
Othes
$\%$ Adjustrent tor operational Change (none)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Landfili Tonnage Excluding Tonnage Attributable to other Facilities

Total Operating Costs


## Public Area Operations

## 

osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processed

|  | $212 \%$ | $212 \%$ | $212 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | ${ }_{0}^{2.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2.200 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.200 \%}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }_{0}^{2.00 \%}$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{53.55}$ | \$37.55 | S37.55 | S37.55 | ${ }_{53.55}$ | S37.55 | 37.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | 37.55 | 537.55 | 537.55 | 37,5 | 37.55 | 537.5 | 537.55 |
| 45,072.03 | 46,027.56 | 47,003,34 | 47,999.81 | 49,017.41 | 50,056.58 | 117 | 52,201.47 | 53,30,15 | 54,438.28 | 55,992.33 | 56,70.93 | 57,974.47 | 59,203.53 | 60,458.65 | 61,740.37 | 2,357.77 |

 osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processed

## Total operating Costs

Composing operations

## 


osm Unit cost

| 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | come | 年0.00\% | enome | - $0.00 \%$ | - $0.00 \%$ | - $0.00 \%$ | -0.00\% | -0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.0.00\% | -0.00\% | 0.0.0\%\% | (0.00\% | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |
| s24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | 24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ | S24.89 | S24.89 | S24.89 |

Total Tonnage Processed


Total Operating Costs

| 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 1.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ${ }_{\text {0.00\% }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | 0.00\% | 0.0.0\%\% | 0.0.0\%\% | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cole }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | -0.00\% | - 0 | 0.0.00\% | 0.0.0\%\% |
| \$34.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | S34.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | ${ }_{534.93}$ | S34.93 | 534.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | S34.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | \$34.93 |
| . 51 | 3.04 | 3.21 | 40.19 | 34,635.21 | 899.47 | 804.25 | 30.82 | 20.49 | 524.61 | .94.53 | 933.65 | 51.27.41 | 213.24 | \%,060.64 | 581,12 | 2776. | 989.70 |


| age Based | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2120 | 212\% | 2.12\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Adjustment for Operational Change (increase by 3 staff in 2020, increase by 4 staff in 2027) Other Adjustment if applicable (none) | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | - $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | - | (0.00\% | (0.00\% | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | (0.00\% | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | 0.0.00\% | 0.0.0\%\% | - | 0.0.0\%\% | 俍0.00\% |
| osm Unit Cost | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | s9.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.34 | 59.84 | s9.84 | osm Unit cost



## Ton

## Ofistife Disposal and Long Haul Trucking

osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Disposed

## Total Operating Costs

## Post Closure Care osm


osm Unit Cost (statst in year 27,31 years from 2018
Units (acers)
Total Operating Cosis

| 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S83.18 | ${ }^{583.18}$ | ${ }^{583.18}$ | 583.18 | s83.18 | ${ }_{583.18}$ | ${ }_{883.18}$ | S83.18 | s83.18 | ${ }_{583.18}$ | ${ }^{\text {s83.18 }}$ | s83.18 | s83.18 | ${ }_{583.18}$ | ${ }_{\text {s83.18 }}$ | ${ }^{583.18}$ | 583.18 | 583.18 |
| 424,446.86 | 433,445.13 | 442,634.17 | 452,018.01 | 461,600.79 | 471,386.73 | 481,380.13 | 491,566.39 | 502,007.00 | 512,649.54 | 523,517.71 | 534,616.29 | 545,950.16 | 557,524.30 | 569,343,81 | 581,413.90 | 587,228.04 | 593,100.32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 2.12\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,00.00 | \$1,00.00 | \$1,606.00 |
| 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |


PLAN CONCEPT 0
Raw calculations only，refer to Summary for actual annual allocations
 Landifll Operations



Total Landfili Tonnage Excluding Tonnage Attributable to other Facilities
 Total Operating Costs


## Public Area Operations

## 

osm Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Processed

| 1．00\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | － | －0．00\％ | － | －0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．000\％ |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0．00\％ |  | 0．00\％ |  |  |  |
| 537．55 | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37．55 }}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37．55 }}$ | \＄37．55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37．55 }}$ | 537．55 |
| 63，611．16 | 64，247．28 | 64，889．75 | 65，538．65 | 66，194．03 | 66，855．97 | 67，524．53 | 68，199．78 | 68，881．77 | 69，570．59 | 70，266．30 | 70，968．96 | 71，678．65 | 72，395．44 |  | 73，850．59 |  |



 osm Unit cost
Totai Tonnage Processed


Total Operating Costs

Composing Operations

osm Unit cost

|  |  | ， $1.00 \%$ | ， $1.00 \%$ | （000\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {colo }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | －${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ |
| \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | ${ }_{\text {S34．93 }}$ | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | ${ }_{534.93}$ | ${ }_{\text {S34，93 }}$ | s34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 |
| 174，7919．60 | 176，466．80 | 178，231．47 | 180，013．78 | 181，813．92 | 183，632．06 | 185，468．38 | 187，323．06 | 189，196．29 | 191，088．26 | 192，999．14 | 194，929．13 | 196，878．42 | 198，847．20 | 200，835．68 | 202，844．03 | 204，872．47 | 206，921．20 |

Tolal Operating Costs


## WrWMA Operations

 osm Unit cost

| 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ |  | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％ | 1．00\％ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | 0．0．0\％\％ | － $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{0.000 \%}$ | － $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | 俍0．00\％ | －${ }_{\text {0．00\％}}^{0.00 \%}$ | 俍0．00\％ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | 俍0．00\％ | 0．0．00\％ |
| s9．84 | ร9．84 | s9．84 | s9．84 | 59．84 | 84 | s9． 84 | s9．84 | s9．84 | s9．84 | s9． 84 | s9．84 | 59.84 | S9．84 | 99.84 | s9．84 | 59.84 | 9．84 |
| 1，289．842．87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.00 | 88.15 | 88.97 | 33．86 |  |  |  |  |  | 566．63 |

## Total Operating Costs



## Offisto Dissosal and Long Haul Tricking

osm Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Disposed
Total Operating Costs

| 1．00\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S83．18 | s83，18 | S83．18 | S83．18 | S83．18 | 583.18 | 583.18 | S83．18 | S83．18 | s83．18 | s83．18 | s83．18 | s83．18 | ${ }^{583.18}$ | 583.18 | 318 | 318 | s83．18 |
| 599，031．33 | 605．021．64 | 611.071 .86 | 617，182．57 | 623，354．40 | 629．587．94 | 635．883．82 | ${ }_{642}$ 242．66 | ${ }_{648.665 .09}$ | 655，151．74 | 661，703．26 | 668，320．29 | 675．003．49 | 6881，75．53 | 688.571 .06 | 695．456．77 | 702.411 .34 | 709．435．45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ |
| \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，00．00 | \＄1，00．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，606．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，606．00 |
| 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |

Tonnage Based Growth Rate（not used）
osm Unit cost（stants in year 27， 31 years trom 2018）
Units saceses）
Total Operating Costs

PLAN CONCEPT 0
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations

Landiflloperations

\% Adistment for Operational Change (none)


Total Landfill Tonnage Excluding Tonnage Attibuutale to other Facilities

Total Operating Costs


## 

public Area Operations
osm Unit Cost
Totat Tonnage Processed

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (0.0.0\%\% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 隹 |  |
| \$37.5 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | \$37.55 | \$37.55 | \$37.55 | s37.5 | ${ }^{53,55}$ | 537 | \$37.55 | S37.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | S37.55 | S37.55 | S37.55 | ${ }_{837.55}$ | 837.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | . 55 |
| 76,089.33 | 76.849.22 | 77,617.71 | 78,393.89 | 79,177.82 | 79,969.60 | 80,769.30 | 81,576.99 | 82,392.76 | 83,216.69 | 84,048.86 | 84,889,34 | 85,733.24 | 86,595.62 | 87,461.58 | 88,336.19 | 89,219.55 | .11.75 |
| 2,85,826.74 | 2,88,395.01 | 14,24.96 | 4, 3 ,391.45 | 2,82, 36 | 2,55.62 | 232,59.15 | 004.94 | 8,533.99 | , 69.33 | 55,714.03 | S.187,27.17 | 5219,143.88 | 251,335.32 | S283, 848.67 | 316,687.16 | 349,854.03 | S $88,352.57$ |

## CED Operations

 osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processed

## Total Operating Costs

Composing operations

osm Unit cost



| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00 | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ |

Total Tonnage Processed
Total Operating Cosis
 WeWwA Operations

\%A Adistment tor operational Change ( increase by 3 staff in 2 2020, increase by 4 staff in 2027) osm Unit cost


## age Inbund

Total Operating Costs

## Ofiste Disposal and Long Haul Truckna

osm Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Disposed
Total Operating Costs
Post Closure Care osm

## Post Closurre Care O\&M

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | 0.0.0\% | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | come | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | come | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \%}$ | (0.00\% | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coion }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | 0.0.0\%\% |
| s9, 84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | s9. 84 | s9. 84 | 59. 84 | 59. 84 | s9. 84 | 59. 84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | s9.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 99.84 |

O\&M Unit Cost (starts in year 27,31 years from 2018)
Units (acers)
Total Operating Costs




| $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## PLAN CONCEPT 0

Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations





| ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.000 \\ 0.005}}{10}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Doon } \\ \text { Noon } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { anow } \\ \text { nope } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { anow } \\ \text { Noon } \end{gathered}$ | Boon | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \% \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.009 \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }^{\substack{1.00 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{1.00 \%}$ | , | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | , | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \% \\ 0.000 \\ 0.002 \end{gathered}$ | coin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Total Operating Costs


## Public Area Operations

## 

osm Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Processed

| li. | - | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | ${ }^{\text {0.00\% }}$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | ${ }^{\text {0.00\% }}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }^{\text {0.00\% }}$ | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| \$37.55 | 537.55 | S37.55 | \$37.55 | S37.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | S37.55 | ${ }_{937.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | S37.55 | S37.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | \$37.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | S37.55 | 55 |
| 91,012.87 | 91,923.00 | 92,842.23 | 93,770.65 | 94,70.35 | 95,655.44 | 96,61..99 | 97,57..11 | 98,553.39 | 99,539.43 | 100,544.83 | 101,540.17 | 102,55.58 | 10,581.13 | 104,616.94 | 105,663.11 | 106,79.74 | 107,786.94 |
| s,417,186.09 | s3,45,.357.96 | \$3,485,871.54 | 53,520,730.25 | \$3,55,937.55 | 53,59,496.93 | 53,627.411.90 | \$3,663,686.02 | \$3,700,322 | \$3,737,326.11 | 53,774,699.37 | \$3,812,446.36 | 53,850,570.82 | \$3,889,076.53 | s3,927,967.30 | \$3,967,246.97 | 54,006,999.44 | \$4,046,988.63 |

Czdoperations
 osm Unit cost

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 10\% | 1.00\% | 10\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | come | come | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | - $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | - | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | (0.00\% |
| \$24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | 524.89 | 524.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | \$24.89 | 524.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | 524.89 | 524.89 | \$24.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ | S24.89 | S24.89 | 24.89 |
| 968,112.47 | 977,793.59 | 987,57.53 | 997,447.24 | 1,07,421.72 | 1,07, 495.93 | 1,027,67.89 | 1,037,97.60 | 1,048,327.08 | 1,058,810.35 | 1,069,398.45 | 1,080.092.44 | 1,090,893.36 | 1,101,022.29 | 1,112.820.32 | 1,123,988.52 | 1,135,18.01 | 1,146,539.89 |

Total Tonnage Processed


## Total Operating Costs


Tonnage Based Growth Rate
\%oAdjustment or operational Change ( $30 \%$ increase in year 0)
$\%$ \% Adisument for Operational C Change (ex)
osm Unit cost

Total Tonnage Processed


## WeWWAOPerations

Tonnage Based Growth Rate
OoAdjustment Io O Oerational Change (increase by 3 staff in 2020, increase by 4 staff in 2027)
\%A Adjustment tor Operational Change (nercease by 3 staffif 20200 in increase by 4 staffin 2027)
osm Unit cost



## Totereranc

## Offitio Disposala and Long Haul Trucking

osm Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Disposed
Total Operating Costs
Post Closure Care osm $\longrightarrow$

## Post Closurre Care osm

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 100\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$83.18 | S83.18 | S83.18 | s83.18 | s83.18 | s83.18 | \$83.18 | S83.18 | 583.18 | S83.18 | s83.18 | s83.18 | s83.18 | ${ }_{583.18}$ | s83.18 | s83.18 | s83.18 | ${ }^{583} 18$ |
| 857,075.32 | 865,646.07 | 874,302.53 | 883,045.56 | 891,876.02 | 900,794.78 | 909,802.72 | 918,900.75 | 928,089.76 | 97,370.66 | 996,744.36 | 956,211.81 | 966,773.92 | 975,431.66 | 985,185.98 | 995,037.84 | 1,004,988.22 | 1,015,038.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

OsM Unit Cost (stants in year 27,31 years from 2018)
Units (acres)

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 10\% | 1.0\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\% | \% | 10\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,606.00 | \$1,00.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | 8,600.00 |
| 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |



Worksheet: o\&
Plan Concept: 0

## PLAN CONCEPT 0



## Public Area Operations


osm Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Processed

| $1.00 \%$ | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | - | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ |
| 53.55 | 537.55 | 537.55 |
| 108,864.81 | 109,953.46 | 111,052.99 |
| S4,087,45.52 | \$4,128,333.11 | \$4,169,616.44 |

## Ceso Operations


osm Unit Cost

| 1.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.00\% } \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00\% } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S24.89 | ${ }_{524} 89$ | 524.89 |

Total Tonnage Processed


| \$28,823,037.98 | s29,111,268.36 | $\$ 29,402,31.04$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total Operating Costs

| $1.0 \% \%$ | $\begin{array}{l}1.0 \% \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}1.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \%\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Tonnage Based Grown Rate | \% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Adjustment for Operational Change (30\% increase in year 0) Other \% Adjustment if applicable (none) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ |
| osm Unit Cost | 534.93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 |
| Total Tonnage Processed | 299,016.96 | 007 | 5,027.20 |

O\&M Unit Cost

| 299006.96 | 302,07.13 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { 305,027.20 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |

Total Operating Costs
\$10,444,181.77 \$10,548,623.58 S10,654,109.82



|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| 583.18 | 583.18 | 583.18 |

Tonnage Based Growh Rate
osm Unit Cost

Total Tonnage Disposed


## Post Closure Care okm <br> Tonage Based Growt Rate (not usea)

OsM Unit Cost (stants in y year 27, 31 years from 2018)
Uns (Aares)
$\begin{array}{lllll} & \text { s237,68.00 } & \text { s237,68.00 } & \text { S237,688.00 }\end{array}$

## Client: wpwna



Plan Concept: 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations

| Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yras | 207 | 2018 | 2019 |  | 2021 | 202 |  | ${ }^{2024}$ | 2025 | ${ }^{2026}$ | 2027 |  | 2029 | 3s0 |  | 2032 |  | 2084 |
| Landifloperations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (entin\% | (e.1.2\% | (2.12\% |  |  | (2.12\% | (e.1.2\% | (enter | $\underbrace{2.12 \%}$ | (enter | , |  | $\underbrace{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}_{\text {a }}$ | (entin\% |  | , |  |
| Oher \% Adiusment, fapplicabe (35\%\% inceasse in Year o) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| osm Unit cost |  | s8.34 | s8,34 | 58.34 | 58,34 | S8.34 | ${ }^{8.34}$ | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8.34 | S8,34 | S8.34 | 58.34 |
| Toat Landifll Tonnage Excluding Tonnage Attiruabele to other Facilities | 24,3,79 | 248,232,27 | 25,494.80 | 25,868,89 | 264,356.91 | 362,486.19 | 370,170.90 | 378,018.52 | 386,032.52 | 394,216,41 | 402,573.79 | 411,108.36 | 491,823.86 | 428,724,12 | 437,813.07 | 447,094.71 | 456,57.12 | 466,252.47 |
| Toual Operating Cosis | \$2.20, 382.93 | \$2,09, 34225 | S2,13,212.30 | \$2,158.012.40 | \$2,203,7627 | 53,021,798.82 | s3,085,860.95 | 53,15, 281.21 | \$3,278,088.37 | \$3,26,311.84 | 53,35.981.65 | 53,427,128.46 | 53,499,783.59 | \$3,573,99900 | \$3,699,747.35 | 83,727,12200 | 53,006,136.98 | 53,886,827.09 |
| Public Arao perations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Puble Area Operations

 osm Unit cost

osM Unit cost

|  |  | ${ }_{0}^{2.012 \%}$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1290 \\ 0.009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $2.129 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | coivo | $2.12 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{3} 37.55$ | 37.55 | ${ }^{37.55}$ | S37.55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{837.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | s37.55 | \$37.55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | \$37.55 | ${ }^{\text {\$37.55 }}$ | . 55 |
| 7,207 | 7,571.28 | 1993.79 | 18,324.20 | ${ }^{8,7712.67}$ | 2.980.65 | .425 | 2.879.65 | 24,531.1 | 3,770.42 | 40.613.55 | 1,474.5 | 2,353 | 3,251.73 | 4,168.66 | 5,105.03 | 6,066.26 | 7,037.76 |
| S646,038.46 | S659,734.48 | 5673,720.85 | 5688.003.73 | 8702,589.41 | 787,743.24 | A43. | 7.60 | 106.11 | 3,27.52 | 51,52, 8,83.94 | S1,5 | 1,590,224.36 | \$1,62,937.12 | 364.59 | 51.9 | 1,72,942,58 | 08.38 |
|  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |  | 2.12\% |  |  | 2.12\% |  |  |  |  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | come$0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ |  | come$0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | come$0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ |  | come$0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ |
|  | ${ }^{24.89}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | ${ }^{524.89}$ | ${ }^{524.89}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | ${ }^{524.89}$ | S24.89 | ${ }_{524,89}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ | 24.89 |
| ${ }^{83,986}$ | 85,674.08 | 90.38 | 176,83.55 | 180,584,46 | 364,997.31 | 372,733.25 | 380,637.24 | 388,706.75 | 396,947.33 | 405,36262 | 11,956.30 | 422,732.18 | 431,694.10 | 400,846.02 | 450,091.95 | 459,736.02 | 469,482.42 |
| 82,088,199.75 | S2,132,499.16 | S2,177,657.08 | S4,401,48,49 | 54,494,791.87 | 59,084, 873.34 | 59,277,472.65 | s9,474,155.07 | 59,67,007.16 | 59,880,117.31 | \$10,089,575.80 | \$10,303,474.81 | \$1,.,21,908.47 | .74,9972.93 | 50,927.76.3 | 1,205,389.00 | 442,943,25 | \$11,685,53, 65 |
|  |  |  |  | 2.12\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 30.00\% | 0.00\% | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 534.93 | S34.93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | S4.93 | ${ }^{53.93}$ | \$34.93 | ${ }_{534.93}$ | ${ }_{534.93}$ | ${ }^{53493}$ | ${ }_{534.93}$ | \$34.93 | ${ }^{53493}$ | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | S34.93 | ${ }^{33493}$ | S34.93 |
| 6,594 | 58844 | 004.51 | 8854.69 | ,33.61 | 248.61 | 244.68 | 287.11 | 370.79 | 102.498.65 | 671.6 | 6,890.6 | 56.75 | .470.87 | 113,834.05 | 116,247,33 | 118,71.78 | 12,228,47 |
| \$2,29,087.92 | \$2,339,659.98 | \$2,38 | S2439,912. | \$2,491.638 | .952 | 3,361,74 | 3,433,010 | 3,505, | 3,580,113.28 | 53,656,011.68 | 53,733,519 | 53,812 | 5,893,498.3 | 33.97 | S4,06,332 | \$4,146,411 | S4,23, 315 |

${ }^{\text {Tolal Tonnage Processe }}$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1,087,92$ | 52,3 |



## CexD operations


osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processed


|  | $\begin{gathered} 2.122 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $2.12 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 1.0 .03 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o. } 1.20 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.122 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.122 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1226 \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.122 \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,12 \% \\ 35006 \\ \hline 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1220 \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.122 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.122 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | (en |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 5984 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 99.94 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 5984 | S9.84 |
| ${ }^{456.561}$ | 466.239 .80 | 476,124.99 | 557,761.24 | 569.565.78 | 581,661.00 | 593,922.21 | 60,584,8 | 619,444,44 | 632,57. | 87,775.7 | \%6,172 | 904,959.44 | 924,144.58 | 943,736,44 | 963,743.66 | 984,175 | 1,005 |
| S4,492,155.83 | \$4,57, 38.94 | S4,684,642.19 | 55,887,79.98 | 55,604,23, 04 | 55,723,032.56 | 55.84, 300.85 | 5,.988,261.30 | S6,094,788.44 | s6,22,997.96 | 58,58,14999 | S8,790,156.68 | S,904,004.85 | 59,092,79975 | s9,285,564.47 | 59,482,38984 | 59,68,416.51 | 59,888,704.94 |
|  | 2.12 | 12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | .12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 212\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.2\% | 2.12\% |
| S83.18 | 583.18 | S83.18 | \$83.18 | ${ }^{583.18}$ | ${ }^{88} 3.18$ | s83.18 | 83,18 | 83,18 | 58.18 | s83, | \$83.18 | 583,18 | 583.18 | S83.18 | ${ }_{\text {883,18 }}$ | s83.18 | 583.1 |
| 200,957 | 297,125 | 424.34 | 309,956.94 | 316,42 | 323,134.14 | 329,98 | 336,980.25 | 34, | 351,419,67 | 358,869 | $366,47$. | 374,247.13 | 2,181 | 390,283.41 | 398,557.42 | 407,006.84 | 415,635. |
| 201 | S24,741,247.33 | \$25, 33, 189.38 | \$25,773.23.99 | \$22,319,631.66 | S22,87, 607 85 | S27,47,413,14 | S22,029,298.30 | 28.623,599.42 | S29,2,23,38.03 | 522,850,021.20 | S30,482,841.65 | S3, 129,077.89 | 53,7,79,014.34 | S32.462,941.44 | 53, 15, 15 | 53, 55,960 | s3, 571, 664.26 |

Ofisite Disposslancllong Haul Trickng
Tomage Based Growt Rate
Tolat Tonnage isposed
Total Operating Costs
Post Clossire Carao osM
O8m Unit Cost (satars in year 87,91 years trom 2018)
Units (aceres)
Tolal Operating Costs

|  | 2, ${ }_{\text {2.12\% }}^{\text {0.0\% }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.122 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.12 \% \\ 15.03 \% \end{array}$ | $\underbrace{2.10 \% \%}_{0}$ |  |  | 2.1.2\% |  | - | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{2.29 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{\text {2.12\% }}$ |  |  |  | (2.12\% | c.and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 84 | 84 | 9,84 | 9, 84 | ${ }_{59} 984$ | s9.84 | 84 | 59.84 | 84 | ${ }^{59.84}$ | s9.84 | 59.84 |
| 46,561 | 466.239 .80 | 476,124.09 | 557,761.24 | 56,.58.78 | 581,661.00 | 593,99221 | 60,.54, 8 | 19,444,44 | 632,57.67 | 867,775.74 | 88,172.58 | 90,959,4 | 24,44.5.5 | 943,736.4 | $963,74.6$ | 984,175.02 | 1.005,039 |
| \$4,492,155.83 | \$4,58,398.54 | S4,684,642.19 | 59,487,879.98 | 55,60,223.04 | 55,723,03256 | \$5.84, 360.35 | \$5,96,261.30 | 56,09,788.44 | 5,23,997.96 | s8,538,14991 | s8,799,158.68 | \$8,94,004.85 | s9,02,769.75 | 59,285,56.47 | \$9,48,289.94 | s9.63,416.51 | 59,888,704.94 |
|  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% |
| \$83.18 | ${ }^{583.18}$ | S83.18 | S83.18 | ${ }_{583} 81$ | S83,18 | S83.18 | S83.18 | 583.18 | 583. | ร83, | \$83.18 | 58.18 | 583.18 | 583.18 | ${ }_{\text {s } 8.3 .18}$ | S83.18 | s83.13 |
| 290,95 | 25.29 | 303,424.34 | 09,966.94 | 316,425. | 323,134.14 | 329,984.58 | 336,980.25 | 344,124.24 | 351,419.67 | 358,869.77 | 366,477.81 | 374,247.13 | 382,181.17 | 330,283.41 | 398.557.42 | 4077.006 .84 | 415,635.39 |
| \$24,201,18227 | S24,714,247 | 5,238,189,38 | 25,773.38.99 | 26,319,631 | \$2,.877,607 85 | s27,47,413.14 | 528,02,298.30 | 528,623,519.42 | 529,230,338.03 | 529,550,021.20 | s30,482,841.65 | \$31,129,077.89 | 531,79,0014.34 | S32,46,994.44 | 533,15,15 | 533,85,900 | \$3, 577, 664.26 |

## Toalil Operating Costs



## Composing operations


Ohter \% Adjustrment, frapicicale ( fonene)
osm Unit cost



## Client: MpumA Proiect: Renewat

Proiect: Renewable Place- Waste Action Pla

Plan Concept: 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations

|  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |  | $29 \quad 30$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2042 |  | ${ }^{2044}$ | 2045 |  | ${ }^{2047}$ | ${ }^{2043}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Landinloperations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| onnage Based Growth Rate <br> \% Adjustment for Operational Change (none) <br> Other \% Adjustment, if applicable ( $35 \%$ increase in Year 0 ) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.02 \% \\ 0.000 \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & \text { o.000 } \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 212 \% \\ 0.01 \% \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \% \\ & 0.020 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.10 \% \\ 0.000 \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| osm Unit cost | s8.34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | ร8,34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | 5,34 58,34 |
| Total Landifill onnage Excluding Tommage Atributable to onter failities | 476,137.02 | 486,231.13 | 490,532.23 | 507,065.60 | 517,815.65 | 528,793, | 540,003 | 551,451.84 | 568, 142.62 | 575,081.23 | 587,72.97 | $599,73.11$ | ${ }_{612,4372}$ | ${ }^{625,420.96}$ | ${ }^{63,679.88}$ | 652219.99 | 658,74209 | 09 665,3 |

Tolal Operating Cosis

## Pubice Arae Operations

 osm Unit cost
 oxM Unit Cos

| ${ }^{2} 12 \%$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2} 120 \%$ | ${ }^{2.120 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{212 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 2.12\% | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0.0\%\% |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | (0.00\% | 年0.00\% | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | (0.00\% | (0.00\% | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {col }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | (0.00\% | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ |  |
| S37.5 | 537.55 | S37.55 | 937.55 | ${ }^{937.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {37.55 }}$ | 537.55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | S37.55 | S37.55 | ¢37.55 | S37,55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | S37.55 | S37,55 | 937.55 | S37,55 | 537.55 |
| 48,034.96 | 49,053.30 | 50,093.23 | 51,155.20 | 52.23 .69 | 53,377.18 | 54,478.14 | 55,63.07 | 56,81249 | 58,016 | 59,246. | 60,502 | 61,785 | 63,095 | 64,433 | 65,799 | 66,45 | 67,121.59 | Total Operating Cosis

## CEDOPerations

 osm Unit cost
O\&M Unit Cost
Total Tonnage Processea

|  |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | 2.12\% |  |  | 2.12\% | $2.12 \%$ $0.00 \%$ 0.0 |  | 2.12\% |  | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{2.129 \%}$ | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | (1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ |  | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {0,00\% }}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |  |  | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {0, }}$ | 何 | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |
| S22,89 | \$24.89 | 524.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | S22.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | S24,89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 |

Toalil Operating Costs



Tolal Operating Costs

wewwacoperions

osm Unit Cost
 Total Tomnage Inbound
 Offitio Disposal and Long Haul ruckin

| 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$83.18 | S83.18 | ¢83. 18 | S83.18 | s83,18 | s83.18 | s83.18 | ${ }_{\text {s83.18 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s83. } 18}$ | s83.18 | ${ }_{583.18}$ | \$83.18 | ${ }_{\text {s83.18 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s83.18 }}$ | S83.18 | ¢83. 18 | s83.18 |
| 424,446.86 | 433,455,13 | 442,234,17 | 452.018.01 | 461,000.79 | 471,386.73 | 481,380.13 | 491,586.39 | 502,007.00 | 512,699.54 | 52, 517.71 | 534,616.29 | 54,5950.16 | 557, 24.30 | 569,34.81 | 581,413.30 | 587,228.04 |

Toulona


## 

| 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2\%\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 2.12\% | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81,006.00 | 81,000.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,006,00 |
| 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | ${ }^{321}$ | 321 | 321 | Units aceres) Total Operating Costs



## Client：MpumA Proiect：Renewat

Proiect：Renewable Place－Waste Action Pla

Plan Concept： 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
Raw calculations only，refer to Summary for actual annual allocations



## Total Operating Cossts

## Puble Area Operations

 osm Unit cost

| $\xrightarrow{1.00 \%}$ |  |  | － |  | $1.00 \%$ | 1．00\％ | $1.00 \%$ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | $1.00 \%$ | 1．00\％ | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％\％ | 1．00\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （0．0．0\％ | （0．0．0\％ | － | － |  |  | － | （0．00\％ | （0．00\％ | － | come |  | － |  | （0．00\％ | － |  | （0．00\％ |
| S37．55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | \＄37．55 | \＄37．55 | \＄37．55 | \＄37．55 | \＄37．55 | ${ }_{377.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | \＄37．55 | 937．55 | ${ }_{\text {S37．55 }}$ | ${ }_{377.55}$ | S37．55 | \＄37．75 | \＄37．55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ |
| 29281 | 8．470．74 | 9，155．44 | 9，847．00 | 0．545．47 | 17．25．92 | 7，963．43 | 2．683．07 | 8，409．90 | 44，439．99 | ， 885.43 | 63429 | 7，390．63 | 7，145．54 | 7，926．08 | 8，705．34 |  |  |

Total Tonnage Processed


## CED operations


osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processel


## Total Operating Costs



osm Unit cost

| 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | （0．00\％ |  |  |  | 年0．00\％ |  |  |  | 年0．00\％ |  |  |  |
| 93 | 534.93 | 53.93 | \＄34．93 | S34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | 54.93 | \＄34．93 | 534.93 | 534.93 | S34．93 | \＄34．93 | 534.93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | ${ }_{534.93}$ |
| 174，719．60 | 176，466．80 | 178，231．47 | 180，013．78 | 181，813．92 | 183，63206 | 185，668．38 | 187，323．06 | 189，196．29 | 191，088．26 | 192，999．14 | 194，929．13 | 196，87．42 | 198.847 .20 | 200，835．68 | $202,844.03$ | 204，872．47 | 200，921 |

## Toala Operating Costs



osm Unit cost

| 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 隹 $0.00 \%$ | come $0.000 \%$ | （0．00\％ | come | 年0．00\％ | come | come | come | （0．00\％ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | come | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | 隹0．00\％ | come 0 |
| 59.84 | s9984 | s9．94 | s9．84 | s9，94 | 59984 | 59.84 | s9．94 | 59.84 | s9，84 | 59.84 | s9，84 | 59，84 | s9，94 | s9，94 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 |
| ．448，509．55 | 1，462，990．61 | 1．477，20．52 | 1，42，396．72 | 1，507，320．69 | 1，522，393．89 | 1，57，．617．83 | 1，552，99401 | 1．56，523．95 | 1，584，20．19 | 1．600．051．28 | 1．616，051．30 | 1，632，212．31 | 1，684，534．4． | 1．66，019．73 | 1．681，669 | 1，988，486．68 | 5．47．55 | Tolal Ooperating Costs

 Offsite Dispossal and Long Haul Truckin

| 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．0\％\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 1．00\％ | 100\％ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S83．18 | \＄83．18 | ร83．18 | s83．18 | \＄83．18 | s83． | S83．18 | s83． | ¢83．18 | s83， | \＄83．18 | s83．18 | S83．18 | S83．18 | \＄83．18 | \＄83．18 | S83．18 | 53，18 |
| 599．031．33 | 605，021．64 | 661，071．86 | 617， 182.57 | 62，354，40 | 629．587．94 | 3，82 | $6_{642}$ | ． 65.9 | 655，151 | 661，703．26 | $668,320.29$ | 675，003．49 | 681，753．53 | 688，571．06 | 699，456．77 | ${ }^{722.411 .34}$ | 99，43，45 | osm Unit Cost

Total Tonnage ilsposed


## Prost Clossire Care osM

UnMunt saces
Total Operating Costs


## Client: MpumA Proiect: Renewat

Proiect: Renewable Place: Waste Action Pla

Plan Concept: 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations



## Tolal Operating Costs

## Pubice Arae Operations

 osm Unit Cost


Toal Tonnage Processed


## CED operations


osm Unit cost
Total Tonnage Processel

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0.00\% | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | - | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | - $0.00 \%$ | 0.0.0\%\% | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | - $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | 0.0.00\% | - $0.00 \%$ | - | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.000 \%}}^{0.00 \%}$ | - $0.00 \%$ | - | - |
| ${ }_{524.89}$ | S24.89 | S24.89 | S24.89 | S24,89 | \$24.89 | 524.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | 524.89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | S22,89 | S24.89 | \$24.89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 |

## Tolal Operating Costs



## Composing operations


\%\%Adistment ito Opeational Change ( 3o\% increase in year o)

Total Tonnage Processed

## WFWUA Operations


Other \% Adilusment, fappicandel (none
 Tolal Tonnage Inbound
 Total Operating Costs
 Offiste Disposala and Long
Tomage Based Gownt Ra
OsM Unit Cost


Total Operating Costs


## Prost Clossire Care osM

UnMunt saces
Tolil Oenerating Costs


## Client: MpumA Proiect: Renewat

Proiect: Renewable Place- Waste Action Pla

Pran Concept: 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations



## Total Operating Costs

## Puble Area Operations

 osm Unit Cost

| (1.00\% | (1.00\% |  |  |  | (100\% | (1.00\% | 年 | (100\% | (1.00\% | - |  | - |  | , $1.00 \%$ | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | (1.00\% | (1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {0,00\% }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | 0.00\% | ${ }^{\text {0.0.0\% }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | -0.0\%\% | ${ }_{\text {0,00\% }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \%}$ |
| \$37.55 | 537.55 | 537.55 | \$37.55 | 537.55 | 537.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | s37.55 | 937.55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | s37.55 | 937.55 | 537.55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | 537.5 | s37.5 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | 537.55 |
| ${ }^{96,999.83}$ | 97,96579 | 98,954.45 | 99.934.90 | 100,934.25 | 101,943.59 | 102.963.03 | 103,992.66 | 105.02259 | 106,082.91 | 107,143.74 | 108, 215.18 | 109,297,33 | \%0.30 | 11,944,21 | 2,609.15 | (1,735 | 4.872.59 |

Toal Tonnage Processed


## CED operations


osm Unit cost


Toala Operating Costs


## Composing Operations


osm Unit cos

Toal Tonnage Process
Tolat Operating Cosis

## WFWWA Operations


 Toala Tonnage Inbound
 Total Operating Costs


Tomage Based Growt Rai

## osm Unit cost



Tola Tonnage ispose

| 1.00\% | 1.00\% | \% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | \% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | \% | 1.0\%\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S83, 18 | S83.18 | 583, 18 | S83.18 | \$83.18 | 583.18 | 583.18 | \$83.18 | S83.18 | s83, | ${ }_{583} 16$ | s83, | S83,13 | ${ }_{883}$ | ${ }_{883}$ | ${ }_{883}$ | ${ }^{88} 3.18$ |  |

Total Operaing Costs

## Post Closure care osm

osm Unit Cost (satars in year 87,91 years trom 2018)
Units saces
Tolal Operating Cosis


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | ${ }^{32}$ | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 | ${ }^{321}$ | ${ }^{321}$ | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 |

Date: Nov-16-2018
Worksheet 08 M
Worksheet: o8M
Plan Concept: 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
PLAN CONCEPT 1
Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations


| Client：WPWMA <br> Project：Renewable Placer－Waste Action Plan Date：Nov－16－2018 <br> eet：O\＆M Inputs <br> Plan Concept： 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAN CONCEPT 2 <br> Raw calculations only，refer to Summary for actual annual allocations |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanailioperations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| onnage Based Growth Rate <br> \％Adjustment for Operational Change（5\％increase year 27 ） Other \％Adjustment，if applicable（ $35 \%$ increase in Year 0） |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.20 \% \\ 0.000 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ane } \\ & 0.020 \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.20 \% \\ 0.000 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $2.12 \%$ $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2．12\％ <br> a．00\％ <br> $3.500 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.0020 \\ & 0.002 \end{aligned}$ | $2.120 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.20 \% \\ 0.000 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.10 \% \\ & 0.000 \% \\ & 0.000 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{2.120 \%}$ $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ $0.00 \%$ | $\underset{\substack{2.12 \% \\ \text { a．0．00\％} \\ 0.00 \%}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0 \end{gathered} 10$ $\begin{aligned} & 2.20 \% \\ & 0.000 \% \\ & 0.0 .00 \% \end{aligned}$ $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12 \% \% \% \\ & 0.000 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $2.120 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.02 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $2.12 \%$ $\begin{gathered} \text { 2.0.00\% } \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| osm Unit cost |  | s8，34 | s8，34 | S8，34 |  | s8，34 | s8，34 | s8，34 | s8，34 | S8，34 | s8，34 | S8，34 | S8，34 | S8．34 | S8，34 | S834 | 58．34 | S8．34 | s8，34 | s8，34 |  |  |
| Toial Landill Tommge Exculung Tonmage Attibuable to o otre facilies |  | 248,23227 | 25，949480 | 258．86889 | 264，366．91 | 362．486．19 | 370，170．90 | 378，019．52 | 386，032，52 | 394，216．41 | 402，537．79 | 411，108．36 | 419，823，86 | 428，724，12 | $437,813.07$ | 447，094，71 | 456，573．12 | 466,25247 | 476，37．02 | 486，231．13 | 498.5923 | 507，06， 86 |
| Toial Poeraing Cosis | s2026，382．93 | \＄2069，3225 | S2，113．21230 | s2，158．012．40 | s2203，7627 | 53，021，788．82 | \＄3，085．800．95 | 5，515，281．21 | 53，218，08，37 | \＄3，28，311．84 | \＄3，35．981．65 |  | 53，49，783．59 | \＄3，573，97900 | \＄3，699，74735 | s，3，27，12200 | 53，00，136．98 | 53，888．827．09 | 53，996．27．82 | S4，053，35．45 | \＄4，13，9307．01 | 01 S4，227，06 |
| Prulic Area Pramitions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{2.120 \%}$ | $\underset{\substack{2.120 \\ 0.006}}{\substack{10}}$ | $\underbrace{2.12 \%}_{0.100 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2 \\ 0.102 \%}}^{0.008}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | coin | $\begin{gathered} 2,12 \% \% \\ 5000 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\underbrace{\text { and }}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ |  |  | ${ }^{2122 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.120 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.122 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | 87．55 | ${ }^{837.55}$ | ${ }^{\text {s77．55 }}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | 53．55 | 387．55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{53} 8.55$ | 537．55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | S37．55 | ${ }^{53775}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | S37．55 | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }^{\text {s37．55 }}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ |  |
| Toil Tomage Pricassed | 17，207 | 17，571．28 | 17，943，79 | 8，32420 | 8，71267 | 20．980．65 | 23，523．50 | 35，78．95 | 36，542．57 | 37，317．27 | 38，10840 | 38．91629 | 39，741．32 | 40．53，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41，44421 | 42,3283 | 43220.07 | 44，13，34 | ${ }^{45,072.03}$ | 46，027．56 | 47，003．34 |  |
| Tooil Opeating Coss | 59．038．46 | S659，73．48 | S673，20．85 | S688．0373 | s702．58941 | s787，74324 | s883217．73 | \＄1，393，55．80 | S1，372．034．08 | \＄1，401，12120 | \＄1，430．824．97 | s1，461，15846 | \＄1，492，13502 | \＄1，53，76829 | \＄1．56，072．17 | \＄1，589，00．90 | \＄1，227，78999 | \＄1．67，751．27 | 51，99228288 | \＄1，728，15928 | 51，764，79825 | 25 |
| Cubo oreations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $2.12 \%$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 2，} 21 \% \% \\ 50.0 \% \%}}$ | cole |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | $\underbrace{2.12 \%}_{2}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{21 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}_{\text {2，}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{2 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}_{\text {2，}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.0 \% \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.0 \% \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { and }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{2 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}_{\text {2，}}$ |  |  |
| （e） |  |  |  |  | （0．00\％ | （tanem |  | － | （0．0．0\％ |  | 隹 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 隹 |  | ${ }_{\substack{0}}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | 隹 | 隹 |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | 隹 |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | ${ }^{324.89}$ | ${ }^{24,99}$ | ${ }^{224.89}$ | ${ }^{52489}$ | 524.89 | ${ }_{524,89}$ | ${ }_{52489}$ | 524.89 | ${ }^{524,89}$ | 524.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ | ${ }_{52489}$ | 524.89 | 524，89 | ${ }^{524.89}$ | s24．89 | ${ }^{524.89}$ | S24．89 | ${ }_{52489}$ | ${ }_{524.89}$ | S24，99 |  |
| Toill Tomage Pricessed | ${ }^{83,986}$ | ${ }_{85,674.08}$ | ${ }^{87}$ 8900．38 | 176，835．55 | 180，544．46 | 364，99731 | 372，73525 | 880，63724 | 388，70675 | 396，94733 | 405，36262 | 413，965．30 | $422,732.18$ | 431，694，10 | 440，84602 | 450，191．95 | 459，783．02 | 469，98242 | 479，43，45 | 489，599，10 | 499，978 | 510，578．53 |
| Tooil operaing Cosis | ${ }^{\text {s2，08，} 179.75}$ | S2，122499．16 | S2，17，657．08 | S4，401．480，49 | S4，994，791．87 | s90．08，87，34 | s9277，4265 | s，974，155．07 | s9，675．077．16 | s，．880，17731 | 510．089，575．80 | s1，303，474．81 | S10，521．008． | 972 | ．766 | S11，205，38900 | \＄11，42，99425 | 51， 1 88，533．65 | 51，933266．96 | 12，186，25222 | 12，444，60077 | 71 \＄12，708，426 |
| Composing operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | cinction | $\begin{gathered} 2.102 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underbrace{\substack{2.2 \% \% \\ 3000 \%}}_{\text {2，}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{212 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}_{\text {2，}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.006 \end{gathered}$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> 0.006 | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{2 \\ 0.10 \% \%}}^{\substack{\text { 20\％}}}$ |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0．00\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | ${ }^{53493}$ | 534.93 | \＄34．93 | \＄34．93 | S34．93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }_{53493}$ | S8．93 | \＄34．93 | s34．93 | \＄34．93 | ${ }^{53,93}$ | S34．93 | \＄34，93 | S34．93 | S34．93 | S34，93 | 534.93 | S34．93 | 53493 | 534．93 |  |
| Toal Tomage Processed | 65.54 | 66，984，44 | 68，004，51 | 69，556．69 | 71，335．61 | 4，286， | ${ }^{96,24688}$ | 98，287．11 | 100，30，79 | 102498.65 | 100，671．63 | 108，80．6．6 | 109，156，75 | 111，470．87 | 113，834．05 | 116，247，33 | 118，711．78 | 121.22847 | 123，798．51 | 126，423．04 | 129.10321 | 21 |
| Tooil Opeating Coss | 1，07，92 | S2339．659．98 | S2．39925975 | s2，439，1206 | S2491，68．19 | 53，291，95238 | s3，361，74177 | 53，43，010．70 | s3，505，790．52 | \＄3，580，11328 | 53．66．011．68 | 53，73．599．13 | s3，812，66974 | 53，989，9983 | \＄3，76，000．50 | \＄4，060，32256 | \＄4，146，411，61 | \＄4，24， 315.53 | \＄4，324，0302 | \＄4，415，753．58 | \＄4，509．377．56 | 56 |
| mewnaoperators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnae Eased Growt Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％Adjustment for Operational Change（increase by 3 staff in 2020，increase by 5 staff in 2027）） |  | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ 0.0 | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | 0．00\％ | $\begin{gathered} 25.04 \% \\ 0.00 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ 0.0 | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ 0 |  |  |
| osm Unit cost |  | ${ }^{89} 84$ | s9．84 | ${ }_{59} 984$ | ${ }_{59,94}$ | 59.84 | s984 | 59.84 | s984 | 59.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | ${ }_{5984}$ | s98．84 | s98．84 | 5984 | 59.84 | s9．84 | ${ }_{5984}$ | 5984 | s9．84 |  |
| Toait Tommage hbound | 456，561 | 466623.80 | 476，12409 | 557，761．24 | ${ }_{569.95978}$ | 581，661．00 | 599，99221 | 606，548．85 | 619，444，44 | 632，576．67 | 804，407．61 | 821，461．05 | 838．876．02 | 856，68020 | 874，821，39 | 893，367．61 | 912，307．00 | 931，647，91 | 951，398，84 | 971，568．50 | 992，167．75 | 7 1．013，19 |
| Tooil opeaing Cosis | 4，492，155，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | s4，587，39954 | S4，684，682， 19 | s5，487879988 | 85，604，23，04 | 55，723，022．56 | s5，44，360．85 | 55．968，261．30 | S6，097，788．44 | S6．23，997．96 | s79914，66328 | S8．082454．14 | S8，25，80217 | S8，48，78278 | S8．60747297 | s8，789．951．40 | s8976，29837 | \＄9，166．59989 | s9，360．927．73 | s9．59，379．39 | s9，762．03224 | 24 59，968．993 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tommage Based Gownt Rate |  |  |  |  | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 2．12\％ |  |  |
| osm Unit ost | S83，18 | ร83， 18 | s83， 18 | s83， 18 | ร83，18 | ร83， 18 | ร83，18 | s93，18 | s83．18 | s83．18 | s83， 18 | s83，18 | s83，18 | s83，18 | s83，18 | s83， 18 | s83，18 | s83，18 | s93，18 | S83，18 | ¢83， 18 |  |
| Toial Tomase isposed | 290.95 | 297，12529 | ${ }^{30,324,34}$ | 309，956．94 | 36，425．91 | 323，134，14 | 32，984，58 | 36，980 | 54，124，24 | 351，41 | 358,86 | 366，47 | 374，247 | 382，181 | 390.283. | 388．55 | 407. | 415，63 | 424，44．86 | 433. | 4426 | 17 452．018 |
| Toal operating Coss | 2001，1827 | S24，714，27733 | \＄25，288，189， 38 s2 | 55，773，23899 | S20，319，631．66 | 52，877，60785 | s27．477．13．14 | 52，029298．30 |  |  |  |  | S3，1．129，07．7．89 | 53，789，01434 | S32．62，941．14 |  | 1 | ， | \＄5，5，30，583，55 | S36，053．00．72 | S30．817．365．18 | 18 387，997，993 |
| Post cossue caroosm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tommae Basad fiown Rate（rot Used） |  | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ | 2．12\％ |  |
| OsM Unit ost（satats in year 67,71 yeas tom 2018 ） | \＄1．006．00 | S1，006．00 | 81，006，00 | 81，006．00 | s1，006．00 | \＄1，00．00 | \＄1，060．00 | S1，006．00 | S1．006．00 | 81，006．00 | S1，006．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，006．00 | 51，006．00 | \＄1，006．00 | S1．006．00 | \＄1，006．00 | S1，000．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，006．00 | \＄1，006．00 |  |
| Units acases） |  |  |  |  | 365 | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 65 |
| Tooal opeainig Cosss | 5566，190．00 | S566，9000 | S566， | \＄566，1 | 556,1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Client: WPWMA <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Worksheet: O\&M Inputs <br> Plan Concept: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAN CONCEPT 2 <br> Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | ${ }^{34}$ | 35 | 36 | ${ }^{37}$ | 37 |
| Lendimuorations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| onnage Based Growth Rate <br> \% Adjustment for Operational Change (5\% increase year 27) Other \% Adjustment, if applicable ( $35 \%$ increase in Year 0) | $2.12 \%$ <br> $\substack{0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \%}$ | $\underset{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.000 \\ 0.006}}{\substack{2 \\ \hline}}$ | 2.12\% 0.00\% $0.00 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ <br> $\substack{2.000 \\ 0.00 \%}$ | $\substack{2.12 \% \\ \text { o.0.00\% } \\ 0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.000}}^{2}$ 0.00\% | $2.120 \%$ <br> $\substack{0.000 \\ 0.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.129}$ (0.00\% | $\underset{\substack{2.12 \% \\ 0.006}}{2}$ 0.00\% | 2.12\% 0.00\% |  | $2.12 \%$ <br> 0.006 <br> 0.0 $0.000 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ <br> $\substack{1.000 \\ 0.00 \%}$ | $1.00 \%$ 0.000 0.0 0.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ \text { 0.000 } \\ 0.00 \%}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ \text { jo.00 } \\ 0.00 \%}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| osm Unitcost | s8,34 | S8.34 | ss,34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | s.3.34 | se.34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | ร8,34 | s8,34 | s8, 34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | s8,34 | S834 | ${ }_{88}, 34$ | 58.34 | s8,34 |  |
|  | 517,815,65 | 8,793, | 540,003.76 | 55,451.34 | 563,12262 | ${ }_{575.081,25}$ | 577,27.97 | 599,723,16 | 612,43729 | 625,420.9 | ${ }^{669.950,93}$ | ${ }^{684,153,89}$ | 690.95,43 | 697,95,38 | 704,894 | 711,93, | 719.052 .6 | 726,24 | 73,505. | 740,840 | 748,24.9 |  |
| Tooal operaing Cosss | 54,316.674.00 | S4,408,187.49 | S4,50, 641,06 | S4,597.75.85 | 54,694,533.86 | S4,794,057,98 | S4,895.620 1 | 54,999,808.68 | 55,105460.67 | 55213,70563 | s5,54,421.47 | s5,703,32, 80 | s5.70.a3502 | 55.817,95.57 | s5,877,183.15 | s5,934,899,54 | 55,994289.53 | S6.054,19.02 | s6.114,7293 | 56,175.88026 | S6237,699.06 | 0656 |
| Pumice cra operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomaje Based foum hate | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1296 \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ 0.006}}{\substack{1 \\ \hline}}$ | $1.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| osm Unit cost | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{37} 5.55$ | ${ }_{53} 83.55$ | ${ }_{53} 53.55$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | s37.55 | s37.55 | ${ }^{537.55}$ | s37.55 | ${ }^{377,55}$ | ${ }_{\text {s37,55 }}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{53755}$ | \$37.55 | S37.55 | ${ }^{37} 7.55$ | S37.55 | ${ }_{37} 8.55$ | ${ }_{37}{ }^{\text {5 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {37,55 }}$ |  |
| Toal Tomage Processed | 490.077.41 | 50.06.58 | 51,117.78 | 52.201 .47 | 53,309.15 | 54,438.28 | 55,59237 | 56,770.93 | 57,974,47 | 59,209, 53 | ${ }_{\text {60,458.85 }}$ | 61,740,37 | 62,35777 | ${ }_{6}$ 2,981.35 | ${ }_{63,611.16}$ | 64,24728 | 64,88975 | 6,5,58, 65 | 66,14903 | 66,85,97 | 87,54.53 |  |
| Toial opeaing Cosis | s1, 300446178 | \$1,879.436.62 | \$1.919.277.61 | 51,959.96,30 | s2000,577.58 | 52049399976 | s2087,28.49 | s2, 13, 5, 31, 86 | 52,176.720.34 | S2222,868.81 | s2269.991.58 | s2,318,15.40 | ${ }^{\text {s2341,296,56 }}$ | s2364,709.52 | s2,388,36.62 | s2412.20.19 | \$2,46,532.59 | ${ }^{22460,72621}$ | S2,48,333.48 | s2550,188.81 | \$25352889.68 | ${ }^{2} 25$ |
| cuborearions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomage eased Goun Rate | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.102 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.120 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 .12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.000 } \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Onter \%Adiusisment, apopical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | S24.89 | S24,99 | ${ }^{224.89}$ | 524.89 | 524.89 | s24.89 | S24.89 | 524.89 | S24,89 | S24,89 | s24.89 | \$24.89 | s24.89 | ${ }^{524.89}$ | s24.89 | 524.89 | S24,89 | S24,89 | S24.89 | S24,89 | S24.89 |  |
| Toal Tomage Procossed | .028, 81 | ${ }_{532456.55}$ | 543,74.4,63 | 555,27202 | 567,04778 | 57,065.11 | 591,34129 | 60,877.73 | 616,679.93 | ${ }^{29,753,55}$ | 693,00432 | 656,73.14 | 663,306.52 | 669.93.57 | 676,637.96 | 683,009,34 | 690.289,38 | 697,400,76 | 704,12,17 | 711,5329 | 718,264.18 | 725,47748 |
| Toial opeaing Cosis | 2,977, 84, 94 | 252,97525 | 13,533,983.33 | \$13,82,.857.82 | 514,113,800.01 | S14,413,73, ${ }^{4}$ | 4,78,63.01 | 030,65598 | , 39.9361 .10 | 674,721.60 | 16,007,02,70 | 16,346,374.65 | 16,509,883, 39 | S16,674,936,78 | S1,68,14 | 17,000,10301 | 17,180,200,04 | S17,552,00608 | \$1,525,526.14 | S17,70,781.40 | 517,877,79921 | 21 |
| Composimg operitions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomage based Gioun Rale | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ |  |  |
|  | - | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $0.000 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $0.000 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |  | 隹 | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ |  | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | 0.00\% $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | - | $0.000 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | \$34,93 | S34.93 | \$34,93 | 534,93 | S34,93 | \$34.93 | ${ }_{534.93}$ | S34,93 | ${ }^{538.93}$ | ${ }^{539.93}$ | 534.93 | \$34,93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | \$34,93 | \$34.93 | S34,93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | S4.93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }_{534.93}$ | 534.93 |  |
| Toal Tomage Processed | ${ }^{34,6832.21}$ | 7,88947 | 140,00425 | $143,380.82$ | 146,420.49 | 49,524.61 | 152,894.53 | 155,931.65 | 159,237.41 | 112.61324 | ${ }_{168,06,04}$ | 69,58, 12 | 171,27,94 | ${ }_{172,989,70}$ | 174,799.90 | 176,46.80 | 178,23,47 | 180,013.78 | 181,813,92 | 183,38306 | 185,468.38 | 38 |
| Toial opearing Cosis | S4,702,591.43 | 54,802 286,37 | S4,904,04, 84 | s5,008,061.65 | 55,14,23256 | 55,22.65429 | s5,33,3,74, 56 | s5,46,422:10 | 55,561.006.68 | s5679,991910 | 55,00,23126 | s5,923,196.17 | s5,982428.13 | s6,042,25241 | s6,102674.93 | s6,163,701,68 | 25,38,70 | s6,887,5209 | S6,55,4680. | S66413,92,69 | s6,47,1241 | 41 |
| wrwma oraniters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underbrace{2.12 \% \%}_{0}$ | ${ }^{2.122 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.102 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2.122 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underbrace{2.12 \% \%}_{0}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{2.12 \% \% \\ 0.0 \% \%}}$ | $\underbrace{2.12 \% \%}_{0}$ | $\underbrace{2.12 \% \%}_{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.120 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2.122 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.102 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{100 \%}}_{\text {lione }}$ | - | $\underbrace{1.00 \% \%}_{0}$ |  |  | 1.000\% |  |  |
| Oneorse | ${ }_{\text {cose }}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | - | 0.0.0\% | 0.0.0\%\% |  | -0.0\%\% | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | -0.0.0 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {0.00\% }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}$ | ${ }^{\text {0.00\% }}$ | 0.00 | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.00 \%}$ |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | s9.84 | 59.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | 59.84 | s9.84 | s.9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | 5984 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s.9.84 | 59.8 | 59.84 | 59.84 |  |
| Toili Tomagen mbound | 1.034,679.50 | 1.056.614,70 | 1.07,.014,93 | 1,00, 890.05 | 1,125.250.12 | 1,1499,10542 | 1,173,466.46 | 1,198,343.95 | 1.223,788.84 | 1,299,99231 | 1.276, 185,79 | 1.303220 .93 | 1.316,273.34 | 1,329,468.07 | 1,342,70.43 | 1.356,15,74 | 1.369,719 | ${ }^{1.333,416}$ | 1,397250. | 1.41, 223 | 1,425.35.41 | 41 |
| Tooil operaing Cosis | 10,180,386.11 | 396,15923 | 10,616,57:81 | S0,841,288, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,071,471.37 | S1,306,186.56 | 11,545,577.71 | 790,60632 | 040,612:11 | 12,299,87309 | 2.56,545,59 | $22^{744} 36$ | 71.81 | 81.52 | 86,34 | 39920 | 833.19 | 60.53 | 7175 | 19472 | 2066.66 | 66 s4, 164,28, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnage Based Growt Rate | 212\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | ${ }^{212 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.2 \% \%}$ | 212\% | ${ }^{2.12 \%}$ | 2.12\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |  |
| osm Unit cost | ${ }^{583} 18$ | ${ }_{583.18}$ | ${ }_{\text {s83,18 }}$ | S83,18 | 58.18 | S83.18 | S83.18 | s83,18 | S83.18 | 583.18 | ${ }_{\text {883,18 }}$ | s83,18 | S83.18 | 583.18 | S83.18 | S83,18 | se3,18 | S83.18 | 583.18 | S83.18 | ${ }^{\text {s83, } 18}$ |  |
| Toal Tomage Eisosesed | 461,600.79 | 47, 386.73 | 481,380.13 | 491,569.39 | 502007.00 | 512,649.54 | 523,517.71 | 534,61629 | 545,950.16 | 55,524,30 | 569,94, 81 | 581,413.30 | 587,28.04 | 599,10032 | 599,031.33 | 605,021.64 | ${ }^{611,07.1 .86}$ | 617,18257 | 623,354,40 | 629,587.94 | 635,88, 32 |  |
| Tootio Oeparing Cosis | 53,394.988,66 | s9,208,92200 | 40,040,71/.57 | S0,889,02320 | S41,75.5.87,50 | S2, 414109495 | S4,545,589,16 | 54,468,24199 | 45,410.98872 | 46,373.81726 | S77,36.803 30 | 48, 300,767,53 | S4, 844.37521 | S99,323.818,96 | S49,82,147,15 | S0,324,40862 | S0,827,65271 | 551,33,92923 | s51,399,288.52 | s52387,781.41 | S52891,45922 | 22 S53,420,37 |
| Prosclossur caroosm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tommee Based Giown Raiel (rot tued) | 2.12\% | 12\% | 2.12\% | 12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 2.12\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | .00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | .00\% | 1.0\% |  |
| OsM Unit ost (satats in year 67, 71 years tom 2018) | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | S1,000.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,00600 | \$1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006,00 | S1,00600 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006,00 | S1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | s1,006,00 | 51,00600 |  |
| Units saces) | 365 | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | ${ }_{36}$ | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ | ${ }_{365}$ |  |
| Toail opeaing Cosis | S586, 190.00 | 556 | S566,19000 | \$586,90000 | 5586,100. | \$586,190.0. | 568,190 | 5886,9000 | \$556,190.0 | S566,90000 | 5566,90000 | \$586,19000 | S56, 19000 | 5566,19000 | \$56\%,190, | S566,19000 | 556 | S566,19000 | S566,19000 | S566,19000 | \$566,190.00 | -00 s566.190 |


| Client: WPWMA <br> Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan Date: Nov-16-2018 <br> Worksheet: O\&M Inputs <br> Plan Concept: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAN CONCEPT 2 <br> Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | ${ }^{56}$ | 57 | 58 | 59 | 59 |
| Lenafilo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| onnage Based Growth Rate <br> \% Adjustment for Operational Change (5\% increase year 27) Other \% Adjustment, if applicable ( $35 \%$ increase in Year 0) |  0.00\% |  | $1.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ $\substack{1.000 \\ 0.00 \%}$ 0.0 | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ 0.000 \\ 0.008}}{\substack{1 \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ \text { 0.000 } \\ 0.00 \%}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $1.00 \%$ <br> 0.000 ${ }_{0}^{0.000 \%}$ | $1.00 \%$ <br> $\substack{\text { a.000 } \\ 0.00 \%}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ \text { jo.00\% } \\ 0.00 \%}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ | $1.00 \%$ 0.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $1.00 \%$ 0.000 0.0 0.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ \text { 0.000 } \\ 0.00 \%}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ \text { jo.00 } \\ 0.00}}{\substack{10 \\ \hline}}$ |  0.00\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| osm Unitcost | s8,34 | s8,34 | ss,34 | ss,34 | ss, 34 | s.3.34 | S8.34 | s8,34 | S8.34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | 58,34 | s8.34 | se.34 | s8.34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8,34 | s8.34 | s8.34 | 34 s8, |
|  | ${ }^{763,288.84}$ | 9,92,7 | 778.830 .9 | 796,41725 | 994281.43 | ${ }^{802} 224,24$ | 0.264,48 | ${ }_{818,384.95}$ | 826,522 | 838.797 | 843,145. | 851,57,20 | 880.029. | 886,93.90 | 877,30 | 888,154 | 895,016. | 903,968 | 913,008 | 922,138 | 931,357 | 940.671.00 |
| Toial Operaing Costs | s,3,36,015,60 | 56426,64576 | s6.490.91222 | S6.555.221.34 | 56.621,397.55 | 56,887,593.35 | s6,754,49928 | s6.822,13.98 | s6.890234,11 | s6,959,13646 | s7,028,72, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | s7.099.015.10 | s7,170.00225 | s7,24,705,30 | s7,34,12238 |  | s7,461,13621 | 57,535,777.58 | s7.611,050.05 | s7,887.216.10 | 5,764,08926 | 26 s7,84,1729,15 |
| Puwic erao pearions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomaje fased Gioum Riaie | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $1.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\underset{\substack{1.000 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}{1 .}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1.000 | $\underset{\substack{1.00 \% \\ 0.006}}{\substack{1 \\ \hline}}$ | $1.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00\% } \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| osm Unitcost | S37.55 | 537.55 | 537.55 | ${ }^{37,55}$ | ${ }^{37,55}$ | 537.55 | ${ }^{37} 75$ | ${ }_{\text {37.55 }}$ | 837.5 | 537.55 | ${ }^{37} 95$ | ${ }_{37,55}$ | S37.55 | ${ }^{373.55}$ | ${ }^{537.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {337.55 }}$ | ${ }_{83} 8.55$ | ${ }^{537,55}$ | ${ }^{37} 7.55$ | ${ }_{837.55}$ | ${ }_{\text {s77,55 }}$ |  |
| Toill Tomage Processed | 68,88,77 | 69,570.59 | 70,26,30 | 70.986.96 | 71.678.65 | 72,35944 | 73,19,39 | 73.850.59 | 74,58909 | 75,33,98 | 7.,08833 | 7,689922 | 77,677.71 | 78,993.89 | 79,177.82 | 79,996.60 | ${ }_{80,769.30}$ | 81,57.99 | 82,3276 | ${ }^{83,216,69}$ | 84,048.86 |  |
| Toail Opeaing Cosis | S2.586,27798 | S2612,10:46 | s2638,23.56 | 52.664,613:88 | 52691,20002 | s2,718,17262 | 52744,564.35 | s2,72.80789 | 52800.535.97 | s2828,54, 33 | s2866,826,74 | 52886,950, | 529.914.289.96 | 52,933,39,45 | s2,97285736 | s3,02,55362 | \$3,32,579.15 | ${ }_{53,062,9494}$ | 53,093,53399 | ${ }_{\text {s3,124,499,33 }}$ | \$3,155,714.03 | ${ }^{103}$ S3,187, |
| CxDOPerations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomage Esaed foun Ratio |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1000\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.000 } \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Onee OAAdisisment, I, Popliable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | S24,99 | 524.89 | ${ }^{224.89}$ | 524.89 | 524.89 | S24.89 | 524.89 | ${ }_{524}$ | 524.89 | S24,99 | 524.89 | \$24.89 | S24.89 | S24.89 | s24.89 | S24.89 | s24.89 | 524.89 | S24.89 | S24.89 | S24,99 |  |
| Toal Tomage Processad | ${ }^{32,701.95}$ | 740.028 .97 | 77,429.26 | 54,903, 55 | 82,452.59 | 70.077.11 | 77,777.88 | 185.55 .66 | s,41122 | 80, ,44.33 | 09,358.79 | ${ }_{817,45237}$ | 825,62.90 | 83,883,17 | 42222200 | 50,644 | 85,150.66 | 887,42 | 878.41 | 885,183. | 89,08 | 92-92,975.98 |
| Toial opeaing Cosis | 8,237,13277 | 9,504.10 | .003,699.14 | 789,736.13 | 977,63.50 | 7,0093 | 9.083.93 | 65747 | 8.201 .52 | 45,683,5 | ,10.37 | 46,59.77 | 20,50.057.69 | 20.756.55827 | 2.96.113.35 | .122.74499 | 4724 | ,37.1 | 300 | 443 | 22,257.7677 | 77 524.455 .295 |
| Composimg operitions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnege Based Goiout Rate | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.00 \%$ | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ | ${ }^{1.00 \%}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ 0.0 | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | 0.00\% $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | ${ }^{0.000 \%}$ | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | - | $0.000 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | \$3493 | S34,93 | \$34.93 | 534.93 | S34,93 | \$34,93 | \$34,93 | \$34.93 | \$34.93 | \$34,93 | \$34,93 | \$34,93 | 534.93 | \$34,93 | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }^{534.93}$ | ${ }^{539.93}$ | ${ }^{\text {s34,93 }}$ |  |
| Toal Tomage Processed | ,9629 | 191,088.26 | 192,999, 14 | 194,929,13 | 96.887.42 | 198,87720 | 200,33,58 | 202,844.03 | 204.8724 .47 | 206,921:20 | 208,909.41 | 211,080,31 | 23, 191.12 | 215,323.03 | 217,76726 | 219,651.02 | 22, 847.53 | 224,066.01 | 228,306.67 | 229,56973 | 230,85543 | 3 |
| Toial Opeating Costs | 32247 | 56677,40570 | s6,741,19975 | S6.800.561.25 | 56.876.64686 | 56,94,413,33 | 57,014.867.47 | 57,085,106.14 | 57,15.866.30 | s7,27,74497 | 57,29.69922 | 57,37.69621 | 57,464.423.17 | 57,520.887.40 | 57,568,99288 | 57,672.05724 | 8,777.81 | s7,826.26559 | 57,904,52824 | 57,98,577.53 | 58.03,40926 | ${ }^{26}$ |
| wrwma oraniters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomage Based Giount Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}$ | $0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | $0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ |  | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \%}$ | $\underbrace{0.00 \% \%}_{0}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.00 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | $0.00 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.00 \% \%}}^{0.00 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.00 \% \%}}^{0.00 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | s9.84 | 5984 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | S9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | 59.84 | 59.84 | s9.84 | s9,94 |  |
| Toill Tomage mbound | 1,45,984,65 | 1,468,524,50 | $1.483,20974$ | 1,48,041.84 | 1.513,0226 | 1.522,15248 | 1.54,3434.01 | ${ }_{\text {1,55, 868.35 }}$ | 1.574,457.03 | 1,590,20.60 | 1.006,10362 | 1,622,164.65 | 1.638.36.30 | 1.654,70.16 | 1.77,317.86 | 1.888,031.04 | 1,704,911.3 | 1,721.960 | 1,739,180 | 1,76,571.87 | 1,774,137.59 | 59 |
| Toial Opeating Coss | \$14,305.598000 | 9830 | 93,479,19 | 9,413,99 | 68.808.13 | 567621 | 6,03297 | ,893, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27223 | 1849 | 54880 | 6732 | 800 | 18280 | 116.444,29763 | 40.6 | 28.0 | 5763 | 00200 | s,12208 | 5.953, | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomage Based Growt Rate | 1.0\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |  |
| osm Unit osst | ${ }^{\text {s83,18 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s83,18 }} 18$ | ${ }_{583.18}$ | ${ }_{583} 18$ | 583.18 | ${ }^{583} 18$ | 583.18 | S83, 18 | S83.18 | 583.18 | ${ }^{583} 18$ | s83,18 | 83,18 | 583.18 | s83,18 | 83.18 | ${ }_{\text {s83,18 }}$ | 83.18 | s83,18 | ${ }_{\text {s83,18 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s83,18 }}$ |  |
| Toal Tomage Eisosesed | 688,665.99 | 655,15174 | 661,70326 | 66,32029 | 675,003,49 | 681,753,53 | 68,571.06 | ${ }_{695456877}$ | 702411.34 | 709,43545 | 716,529,81 | 723,99.11 | 730,92206 | ${ }_{73,24138}$ | 745,623.79 | 755,080,03 | 780.610 .83 | 768,216.94 | 75,899,11 | 78,658.10 | 79,494.68 |  |
| Tootio Oeparing Cosis | \$55,54,577.55 | 544994,123 33 | 556,09,04456 | S5,599,4522 | S56,145,39976 | S6,70.8.8326 | 57, 27, 3,7129 | S5,846,610.00 | S6,425,076.10 | S59,00932887 | S59,599.420.13 | S0,095,414.34 | 50,797,368.48 | S6,400,322.16 | S2, 019,95958 | 52,69,599,54 | 63,265,95,44 | S6, 898,46529 | S64,537,63174 | S6, 18300806 | S65,84,883.14 | 14 S66,993,188 |
| Postclosurc caroosm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomage Basad fiowt Raies (not sused) | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 10\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | .00\% | 1.00\% | .00\% | 1.00\% | 10\% | .00\% | 100\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\% | 100\% | .00\% |  |
| OsM Unit ost (satats in year 67, 71 years tom 2018) | S1,006,00 | S1,000.00 | \$1,00600 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | s1,006.00 | s1,006.00 | S1,00600 | \$1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,00600 | S1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | S1,006,00 | S1,006.00 | \$1,006,00 | S1,00,00 | 51,066, |  |
| Units saces) | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ | 365 | ${ }_{365}$ |  |
| Tooil Opeating Coss | 5586,190.00 | S566,190.00 | S566,190.00 | S56, 190.0. | 5586 | \$586,190 | 5586 | 5568,1900 | S586,190 | \$586,190.0. | \$586,19000 | \$586, 10000 | S566,19000 | 5586,19000 | S586,100.0 | S566,19000 | s566,19000 | S566,19000 | s566,19000 | S586,19000 | \$568,10000 | O0 s566.190 |



| Client: WPWMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: Nov-16-2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worksheet: O\&M Inputs Plan Concept: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLAN CONCEPT 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw calculations only, refer to Summary for actual annual allocations |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 83 | 84 | 85 | ${ }^{3108}{ }^{86}$ | ${ }^{209}{ }^{87}$ |
| Landinloperations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnage Based Growth Rate \% Adjustment for Operational Change (5\% increase year 27) <br> Other \% Adjustment, if applicable ( $35 \%$ increase in Year 0) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0.00\% $0.00 \%$ |  |  |
| osm Unit cost | s8.34 | s8.34 | S8,34 | s8,34 | ${ }_{58,34}$ |
|  | 1,182.576.81 | 1,194,402.58 | 1.200,364.60 | 1.218,410 | 1.230,594,17 |
| Toil Operating Coss |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pruiceara oparitions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomnage Based Gromit Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Adjustment for Operational Change (50\% increase starting in year 2) _ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.00 \% \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.00 \% \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{0.0}$ |
| osm Unit ost | ${ }_{537,55}$ | 537.55 | ${ }^{377.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ | ${ }_{537.55}$ |
| Toal Tomage Processed | 106,719,74 | 107,786.94 | 108,864,81 | 109.98 | 111.052.99 |
| Toial Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| CxDoperations |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Adjustment for Operational Change ( $50 \%$ increase in Year - 2 and Year 0 to account for additional diversion) Other \% Adjustment, if applicable ( $50 \%$ increase in Year -2 and Year 0 for additional C\&D expected) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.000 \%}$ | ${ }^{0.00 \% \%}$ |
| osm Unit cost | 524.89 | 524.89 | 524.89 | 524.89 | ${ }_{524.89}$ |
| Toil Tomage Processed | 1,135,188.01 | 1,14,5,53.89 | 1,155,005,29 | 1,169,585 | 1,188,281,19 |
| Toal Oeparing Cosis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Composing operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Rat <br> Adjustment for Operational Change ( $30 \%$ increase in year 0 ) <br> Other \% Adjustment, if applicable (none) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.00\% | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \% \\ & 0.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{0.00 \% \\ 0.00 \%}}^{0 .}$ |
| osm Unit cost | s34,93 | \$34,93 | S34.93 |  | 534,93 |
| Toal Tonage Processed | 293,125.14 | 298,056.39 | 29,016:96 | 302.07.13 | 30, 2027.20 |
| Toil Opeating Cosss |  |  |  |  |  |
| mewma oraerition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnage Based Growth Rat <br> \% Adjustment for Operational Change (increase by 3 staff in 2020, increase by 5 staff in 2027)) Other \% Adjustment, if applicable (none) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% | $0.00 \%$ $0.00 \%$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.00 \% \%}$ |
| osm Unit cost | s9.84 | s9.84 | s9.84 | 59.84 |  |
| Toaia Tommae inbund | 2,252,68397 | 2.275.210.81 | 2.297,962.92 | 2,32,992 | 2,344,15,97 |
| Toil Operating Coss |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offilo Disposaland Long Hail |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnage Based Gount Rate | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| osm Unit ost | s83.18 | s83.18 | S83.18 | s83, 18 | S83.18 |
| Tolal Tomage isposed | 1.04,988, 22 | 1.015,033.10 | 1.025,188,48 | 1,035,40,37 | 1.04,7,99,77 |
| Toal Operaing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postcossure carosm |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnge Based Grown Rate ( notused) | 1.0\%\% | 1.0\%\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| Osm Unit cost (satast in year 67.71 years fom 2018$)$ | \$1,006.00 | S1,006.00 | \$1,006.00 | \$1,060.00 | \$1,006.00 |
| Units acases) | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |  |
| Toil Operating Cost | 5568,190.00 | \$566,190.00 | \$566,190.00 | S568,190.00 | S586,19000 |

## Appendix 4C <br> Present Value Analysis

Appendix 4C. Present Value Analysis
The present value analysis was completed to provide a way to compare the lifecycle capital and operating costs of the three Plan Concepts. A present value analysis calculates the current (in this case 2018) worth of a future sum of money or stream of cash flows given a specified rate of return. Future cash flows are adjusted per estimated discount rates. The present value analysis provides a level perspective to facilitate comparison of the Plan Concepts, in which varying costs in each Plan Concept are incurred at different points in time. The following sections discuss some of the key components for this present value analysis.

## 4C. 1 Components of the Analysis

## 4C.1.1 Period of Analysis

While the present value analysis by design brings all costs after the base year (2018) back to the base year, in this case, the CH2M Team assumed that the capital and operations and maintenance (O\&M) costs for each Plan Concept do not start until 2022 (termed Year 0 of the master plan project). The analysis period was set to start in 2022, as the CH2M Team and WPWMA staff estimated that 2022 was the soonest that the project would be implemented given permitting, engineering, and construction preparation. The period of analysis includes costs from years 2022 (analysis Year 0) through 2109 (analysis Year 87). This period was chosen based on the longest landfill life amongst the three Plan Concepts. Plan Concept 1 is expected to have the longest landfill life at approximately 90 years from 2018. After factoring an additional out year for the start of post-closure, the period of analysis is reflected as 87 years from 2022.

## 4C.1.2 Discount Rate

A real discount rate of 2 percent was used in the present value analysis (Appendix 4C-1). A real discount rate accounts for the time value of money but does not include the effects of inflation.

A real discount rate was utilized instead of a nominal discount rate; a nominal discount rate includes inflation, unlike the real discount rate. The rationale behind using a real discount rate is that inflation is often difficult to forecast, and changes in inflation rates are typically unlikely to have noticeable effects on the relative costs of alternatives when comparing one alternative to another. Therefore, using a real discount rate results in a simpler model without requiring highly speculative forecasts of inflation in future years.

## 4C.1.3 Cost Inputs and Timing

The present value analysis includes two main cost inputs: capital costs (both initial capital and replacement capital) and O\&M (or operational) costs. Revenues were not modeled as part of this analysis.

The initial capital outlay and capital replacement cost inputs follow timing and phasing sequences unique to each Plan Concept, as detailed in Sections 2 and 4 of the main report.

The operational cost inputs follow timing and phasing sequences, as detailed in Section 4 of the main report. Most types of operational costs are incurred annually. However, some operational costs, such as those for landfill operations, post-closure care, and offsite disposal and long-haul trucking, have specific start and end dates depending on facility operations.

## 4C.1.4 Remaining Useful Life/Liability

Remaining useful life refers to the dollar value associated with the remaining life of a constructed element, such as a concrete pad, at the end of the period of analysis. Remaining useful life is factored into the present value analysis as a credit for years outside of the analysis period. Similarly, remaining
liability refers to the liable cost remaining in mandated periods, such as the post-closure care period. The remaining liability is factored into the present value analysis as an additional cost for years outside of the analysis period.

## 4C. 2 Present Value Analysis Results

The results of the present value analysis are presented in Appendix 4C-2. Table 4C-1 shows the total present values of the three Plan Concepts.

Table 4C-1. Summary of Present Value Costs

| Plan Concept | Capital Spending <br> (Present Value) | Operational Costs <br> (Present Value) | Total Project <br> Present Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\$ 394,300,000$ | $\$ 2,697,800,000$ | $\$ 3,092,100,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 407,300,000$ | $\$ 1,710,000,000$ | $\$ 2,117,300,000$ |
| 2 | $\$ 539,900,000$ | $\$ 1,957,300,000$ | $\$ 2,497,200,000$ |

Notes:
Values are shown in present value 2018 dollars.

As an additional way to compare the Plan Concepts, the CH2M Team calculated the annualized present value cost for each Plan Concept. The annualized present value represents an annual value when capital expenditures and O\&M costs are normalized into an annual cost that includes all charges. The annualized capital cost can be a useful comparison between how the Plan Concepts look relative to each other given their differences in capital and operating expenditures over the evaluation period; but this value does not represent the actual estimated costs in any given year. The annualized cost represents the value in current dollars (2018), with later years being the discounted annualized cost as appropriate for each year. The annualized present values in 2018 dollars are best for comparison and are presented in Table 4C-2.

Table 4C-2. Summary of Annualized Present Value Costs

| Plan Concept | Annualized Capital Spending <br> (Present Value) | Annualized Operational Costs <br> (Present Value) | Annualized Project <br> Present Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\$ 9,400,000$ | $\$ 64,600,000$ | $\$ 74,100,000$ |
| 1 | $\$ 9,800,000$ | $\$ 41,000,000$ | $\$ 50,700,000$ |
| 2 | $\$ 12,900,000$ | $\$ 46,900,000$ | $\$ 59,800,000$ |

Notes:
Values are shown in present value 2018 dollars.
Values represent the total Plan Concept present value cost spread out on an equal per year basis, discounted and applied from year 2019 through 2109.

## 4C.2.1 Capital Spending at a Glance

For charts showing capital spending over the analysis period, refer to Appendix 4C-3. These charts present capital spending (including capital replacement costs) in the following formats:

- Annual Capital Spending for Years 0 through 87 (dollars not adjusted for present value)
- All Plan Concepts
- Plan Concept 0
- Plan Concept 1
- Plan Concept 2
- Annual Capital Spending for Years 0 through 10 (dollars not adjusted for present value)
- All Plan Concepts
- Plan Concept 0
- Plan Concept 1
- Plan Concept 2

Note that the charts in Appendix 4C-3 depict total initial capital and have not been adjusted to reflect present values.

## 4C.2.2 Cumulative Spending at a Glance

Cumulative capital spending, operational spending, and total project spending over the analysis period is presented on charts in Appendix 4C-4. The charts present cumulative spending in the following formats:

- Cumulative Total Spending for All Plan Concepts (dollars reflect present value)
- Years 0 through 87
- Years 0 through 20
- Cumulative Capital Spending for All Plan Concepts (dollars reflect present value)
- Years 0 through 87
- Years 0 through 20
- Cumulative Operational Spending for All Plan Concepts (dollars reflect present value)
- Years 0 through 87
- Years 0 through 20

Note that the real discount rate was applied to values in Appendix 4C-4; thus, these values reflect present value 2018 dollars (consistent with Tables 4C-1 and 4C-2).

Appendix 4C-1
Discount Rate Documentation

From:
Pitzler, Dan/SEA
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 11:52 AM
To:
Subject:

McRae, Jennifer/SJC; Goodrich, Janet/SAC
Discount Rate

Didn't find too much current stuff from local cities. Here's another approach. Current benchmark 30-year bond yield is 3.45\%, see https://www.bloomberg.com/quote/BVMB30Y:IND

CBOs Budget and Economic Outlook: 2018 to 2028 at https://www.cbo.gov/system/files?file=115th-congress-2017-2018/reports/53651-outlook.pdf CPI inflation ranges from $2.0 \%$ to $2.5 \%$ over then next 10 years.

Thus, the real discount rate could be as low as 1-1.5\%. That is quite low historically (excluding the past 5-10 years).

On the basis of this information, I recommend a $2 \%$ real discount rate.

Dan

Daniel R. Pitzler
Jacobs
Principal Economist, Decision Science Practice Lead
425.233.3592
425.241.1837 mobile
dan.pitzler@jacobs.com
1100 112 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave. N.E.
Bellevue, WA.
USA
www.jacobs.com

Appendix 4C-2
Present Value Analysis Results

Client: WPWMA
Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Date: Nov-16-2018

| Summary of Concept Costs, Present Value, 2018 Dollars (Rounded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan Concept | Capital Spending | O\&M Costs | Total Project <br> Present Value | Annualized <br> Capital Spending | Annualized <br> O\&M Costs | Annualized <br> Project Present <br> Value |
|  |  | (PV) | (PV) | (PV) | (PV) | (PV) |



Numbers shown are the present value of costs.


Client: WPWMA
Project: Renewable Placer - Waste Action Plan
Date: Nov-16-2018
Parameter Variable Name Value Units Notes
Real Discount Rate $\quad$ RDR $2 \% \quad$ Per Jacobs Economist (Dan Pitzler) email, 10/19/2018: Recommends using 2\%


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 997437866 |
| And | 为 |
|  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |

[^12]

| 仡 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Ale | ctions |
| 为 | cose |





|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ammalit | ${ }^{5}$ |
| Nomed |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{\text { S }}}$ |



| Canious |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | s |
| Aenualea Oeperaing and Manienenace Seend | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| Ander |  |

[^13]$\qquad$


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




|  |  | ${ }_{\substack{2038 \\ 71}}$ | ${ }_{172}^{2094}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2095}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2096 \\ 74}}$ | ${ }_{75}^{2097}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2098 \\ 78}}$ | ${ }^{2089}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2100 \\ 78}}^{2}$ | ${ }_{29}^{2109}$ | $\underbrace{2}_{\substack{2102 \\ 80}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2103 \\ 88}}^{2}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2104 \\ 82 \\ \hline 8}}$ | ${ }^{2105}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { che }}}_{\substack{2106 \\ 84}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2107 \\ 85}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2108 \\ 86}}$ | $\underbrace{200}_{\substack{209 \\ 87}}$ | Remanin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }^{730,832}$ | 738.140 | ${ }^{74,5,51}$ | ${ }^{72,977}$ | ${ }^{760.006}$ | ${ }^{76,111}$ | ${ }^{775,793}$ | ${ }^{78,50}$ | ${ }^{791.366}$ | ${ }^{79,300}$ | ${ }^{807,293}$ | ${ }^{815,366}$ | ${ }^{823,519}$ | ${ }^{831,755}$ | ${ }^{80,072}$ | ${ }^{884,473}$ | ${ }^{856,958}$ |  |
| Caprial costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critual Element |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Putiole | (tasind |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : s |  |  | : s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | $\therefore{ }_{\text {¢ }}^{5}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,799,189 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,400,008 ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}$ |  | $342244{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | (7,95,291) |
|  |  | s |  |  | s | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ |  | s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | : ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | : ss | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s |  |
|  |  | 7,636,512 s | s | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | s | s |  | s | s | ${ }_{5}$ | s | 11,073,157 s | s | - s | s | - s | - ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | (6, 108,005) |
| Comel | (tal |  | s |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |
| Comel | (ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | 5 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | S |  |
| (e) | (eater |  |  |  |  |  | - ${ }^{5}$ | - s | - s | - ${ }^{5}$ | - s |  | ${ }_{5}$ | - ${ }^{5}$ | s | ${ }_{5}$ | s | - ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| (emememe | (ex |  |  |  |  | -s | - 5 | - s | - s | ${ }_{5}$ | - ${ }^{5}$ | . | ${ }^{5}$ | - ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | s | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |
|  | cticle |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  |  | - ${ }^{5}$ | - s | - s | s | - ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | - ${ }^{5}$ |  | $\therefore{ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| Compes. Miseclaneous Euioment | s ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | $4.728,680$ s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | 546,90 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | : s | : s | - s | ${ }_{546,90}$ s ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ | 20,66,970 s | : s | : s |  | 7,971,67 s | - s | $\bigcirc{ }^{-}$ | (25,287, 173) |
| coman | s 80,764.594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,413,261 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  | - | ${ }_{8.809 .463}^{\text {a }} \stackrel{\text { s }}{\text { s }}$ |  | ¢ | s | $\vdots{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ${ }_{8.809 .463} \stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { s }}$ | ¢ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Staff Bldg | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  | s |  |  | s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $\therefore{ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ | : s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | : s | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ |  | 172.583 s | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ |  | - s | ${ }_{5}$ | - s | ${ }_{5}$ | - s | $\because s$ |  | (4,773,881) |
|  | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{5}$ | $\therefore{ }_{5}^{5}$ | s | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | - | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $\therefore{ }^{5}$ | : s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s | : ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | : s |  |
| Wesemen Euamanere |  |  |  |  |  | 57.274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,08 |
| Westementrane. Roadmys |  |  | : s | : s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : s ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  | $\therefore{ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s | : s | : sf | : s | : ss | : s |  | : ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ |  |
| Overapsesicment Costs |  | 55,27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2,719,502) |
| Overas | ${ }^{8.0774344}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s | : s | 213.822 s | : s | : ss | : ss | : ss | : ss | : s | - s | : s | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | (136.866) |
|  | citios.08 | $s$ | s | ${ }_{s}$ | s | - s | - s | : s | : s | - s | - s | - ss | - s | - s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | - s | 1557i ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | (3,429,46) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | : s | ${ }_{s}^{5}$ | : ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : s | : s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s | : s | : s | : s | : ss | : s | : ss | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : s | : s | : s | (470.56) |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{5}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | : ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{s}^{8}$ | : ss | ${ }_{8}^{5}$ | : s | $\therefore{ }_{8}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |
| Stormotarerenond Costis | ${ }^{5}$ 2,682073 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - s |  |  | (1,482,802) |
|  | ¢s ${ }_{s}^{\text {s }}$ | : ss | : ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  | s | : s |  |  |  |  | : ss | : s |  | : ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  | (225.98) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | : s | : s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | : ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | ${ }_{s}^{5}$ | : s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | : s | : s | : s | (623 33) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceneratif commosis Poond Removal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{5} \quad 6.079$ | : s | : ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | s | s | s | s | : s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | s | : s | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | : s |  | : s | ${ }^{415,766}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }^{134,97}$ |
| Subtoal | S 4007269,413 | 21,232,109 s | s | s | s | 600,214 s | ${ }_{6,487,506}$ | ${ }_{172,583}$ s | $21,322 \mathrm{~s}$ | s | 22,72,989 s | 49,35,974 s | s | 3,409088 | s | 8,649,76 s | 9,723,19 s | s | (55,068,997) |
| operation ano mantenance costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | coseme |  |  | cincine |  |  |  | (ty2.325 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ |  |  |  |  | 29.459.922 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (10.654.10 |  |
| Long hail rucking yoar 8 87 | [ill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,950,25 |
| Subtoal | \$ 5.7170 .077 .034 | 67,50,446s | 68,185,50 s | 68.887 700 s | $69.56,080$ s | 70,25,641 s | 70.954,157 s | 71,66,699 s | ${ }^{72,380,336}$ s | $73,104,139 \mathrm{~s}$ | $73.83,180 \mathrm{~s}$ | 74,573,532 s | 75,392027 s | 76.072,40 s | ${ }_{7,8,83,185}$ | 77,00,517 s | 78,37,532 s | 156.884 .028 s | 14,950,254 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Appendix 4C-3
Capital Spending Charts

All Plan Concepts: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-87 (dollars not adjusted for Present Value)


Plan Concept 0: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-87 (dollars not adjusted for Present Value)


Plan Concept 1: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-87 (dollars not adjusted for Present Value)


Plan Concept 2: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-87
dollars not adjusted for Present Value


All Plan Concepts: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-10 (dollars not adjusted for Present Value)


Plan Concept 1: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-10 (dollars not adjusted for Present Value)


Plan Concept 2: Annual Capital Spending for Years 0-10
(dollars not adjusted for Present Value)


Appendix 4C-4
Cumulative Spending Charts

## Cumulative Total Spending Present Value over Time (Year 0-87)



## Cumulative Capital Spending Present Value over Time (Year 0-87)



## Cumulative O\&M Costs <br> Present Value over Time (Year 0-87)



## Cumulative Total Spending <br> Present Value over Time (Year 0-20)



## Cumulative Capital Spending

Present Value over Time (Year 0-20)


Cumulative O\&M Costs
Present Value over Time (Year 0-20)



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As the project progresses, the actual capacity of the C\&D Area may be further evaluated as noted in Table 4A-2. WPWMA may increase the flow of C\&D materials through the C\&D Area and additional capacity considerations will need to be evaluated at that time.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ As the project progresses, design details (for example, widths of receiving piles, pavement type, and operational practices) may be further evaluated as noted in Table 4A-2.
    ${ }^{3}$ As the project progresses, stormwater pond design details may be subject to additional requirements as noted in Table 4A-2.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Golder Associates Inc. 2018. Pre-Subtitle D Area Waste Relocation Workplan. Draft. September. See Appendix 4A-1.
    ${ }^{5}$ Based on actual phasing of the unlined waste area excavation with respect to landfill construction in Plan Concept 1, there may be additional considerations and costs associated with the excavation as noted in Table 4A-2.
    ${ }^{6}$ As the project progresses, the number of scales may differ as noted in Table 4A-2.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ As the project progresses, the need for a western entrance and/or alternate traffic routes may be further evaluated as noted in Table 4A-2.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ As the project progresses, the maintenance facility's sizing and components may be further evaluated as noted in Table 4A-2.
    ${ }^{9}$ As the project progresses, stormwater pond design details may be subject to additional requirements as noted in Table 4A-2.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ As the project progresses, the HHW facility's sizing and components may be further evaluated as noted in Table 4A-2.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ Placer County Community Development Resource Agency. 2018. Sunset Area Plan. Preliminary Public Review Draft. January.
    ${ }^{12}$ County of Placer. 2018. Placer Ranch Specific Plan Development Standards Design Guidelines. Preliminary Public Review Draft. January 24.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ As the project progresses, the extent of site utility work may be further evaluated as noted in Table 4A-2.

[^8]:    Note - Where conversion from cubic yards to tons was necessary (e.g. for determining "Total Accepted Tons"), the following conversion factors were used: MSW yards/8=MSW Tons; C\&D Yards/6=C\&D Tons; Green Waste Yards/8=Green Waste Tons; Wood Waste Yards/6 $=$ Wood Waste Tons; Inert Yards/ $/ 2=$ Inert Tons.

[^9]:    NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

[^10]:    Notes:

    1. Refuse removal production rate based on 4 CAT 375 and 8 CAT 740 , 7 loads/hr/truck, $10 \mathrm{hr} /$ day, and 25 cy/load
    2. Total volume for refuse removal $=3,646,000$ cy (total volume) $-425,016$ cy (final cover volume).
    3. 10 percent time added to account for refuse removal delays.
    4. Includes excavate waste placement in lined disposal module.
[^11]:    Notes:

    1. Volume of soil removed consists of waste footprint $x 2 \mathrm{ft}$ subgrade excavation plus an average of 2 ft of over-excavation.
    2. Soil removal production rate based on 4 CAT 637D scrapers, 8 loads/hr/scraper, $10 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{day}$, and $30 \mathrm{cy} / \mathrm{load}$
[^12]:    
    

[^13]:    
    

[^14]:    
     $\qquad$

