

Draft

## Renewable Placer: Waste Action Plan Environmental Impact Report Appendices

Western Placer Management Authority Project No. P2683386 October 29, 2021





Appendix B Visual Resources Rating Forms

Visual Reso	ource S	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce Su	urvey:		Proposed Pro	oject (Plan Conc	ept 1 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	Survey:	Proposed F	Project (Plan Concept 1	Full Buildout)
KOP Location:		Sun City Lincoln Hills Com	munity Center	Viewpoint:	KOP 1	KOP Location:		Sun City Lincoln Hills	Community Center	r	Viewpoint:	KOP 1	KOP Location:		Sun City Lincoln Hills Co	ommunity Center	Viewpoint:	KOP 1
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from near the the landfill.	Sun City Lincoln Hills Communit	/ Center looking sou	thwest toward	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C looking southwest toward		n near the Sun Cit	y Lincoln Hills Com	munity Center	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan Co Center looking southwest		m near the Sun City Lincoln I	Hills Community
Photo Orientation:		Southwest				Photo Orientation:		Southwest					Photo Orientation:		Southwest			
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:	-	Inferior	X Level	_	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior	
View	Notes					View	Notes						View	Notes				
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	oak and pin	e tree varieties are interspersed thro	and looks out across the green, grassy ughout the course. A sand trap is seer adjacent to the golf course and are par	on the right hand side o	f the view. Large	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.						Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change	Ð.			
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	additional re	esidential development and tan-colo	d from view by intervening trees and re red open space. The seventeen story to ar left. The existing landfill is difficult to o	wer of the Thunder Valle	y Casino Resort	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The landfill s trees.	surface is visible in the center of	of the view, four miles from	om the KOP location, I	out is largely screened b	y intervening	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		I footprint is larger and more visible rening trees remains.	e compared to Plan Concept	1 2050; however, considerable scre	ening of the landfill
Background (> 4 miles)	Mountains t in the backg	hat form the western border of the G ground of the image.	Central Valley are located approximately	40 miles from KOP 1 an	d are faintly visible	Background (> 4 miles)	Distant mou	ntains are partially obscured b	y the landfill surface.				Background (> 4 miles)	Greater po	ortions of the distant mountain rang	ge are obscured by the landfil	as compared to Plan Concept 1 20	050.
Vividness				Vividness							Vividness							
Feature	Score*					Feature	Score*						Feature	Score*		Suildout footorint increases th	e visibility of the landfill and obscure	es greater portions o
Landform	5.5	Consists of gently rolling terrain that distant mountains is faintly visible in	t generally slopes downward to the loca the background.	ition of the existing landfi	I. A range of	Landform	5.5	The landfill surface introduces consistent with the topography					Landform	5			idness is limited, however, due to di	
Vegetation	5.5	freshly cut green, grassy fairway, in tree tops are visible in the middlegr	oln Hills Golf Club are seen in the imm terspersed with the occasional large pir ound, however, the distance at which th f tan-yellow, chaparral covered open sp	ne or oak tree and sand to ney are seen makes it diff	ap. A variety of cult to distinguish	Vegetation	5.5	Increased visibility of naturally	occurring vegetation on	the slopes of the land	ifill.		Vegetation	5.5	No change compared to Plan Co	oncept 1 2050.		
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A				
Human-Made	5.5	visually attractive and dominant ele	man development. The natural-appear ment of the view. Housing that surroun leground, the Thunder Valley Casino R	ds the golf course is neat	ly and	Human-Made		No changes to the existing hu natural-appearing but human-		ants. The landfill expar	sion would increase the	visibility of a	Human-Made	5.5	Increased visibility of the landfill	compared to Plan Concept 1	2050.	
Overall	5.5					Overall	5.5						Overall	5.3				
Intactness						Intactness							Intactness					
Overall	6	Though heavily influenced by huma garage presenting as minor encroa	in development, intactness remains hig ching elements.	h, with the casino tower a	and parking	Overall	5.5	Slight reduction in intactness moderate distance and partial		the landfill, an intrusiv	e element but one that is	s visible at a	Overall	5	Intactness continues to decreas	e as the landfill expands towa	rd Full Buildout.	
Unity						Unity							Unity					
Overall	6	The view is a generally satisfying bi	end of natural and human-built visual e	lements.		Overall	6	No change.					Overall	5.5	Slight reduction in unity due to t	he increased visibility of the la	andfill.	
Overall Visual Quality Score	5.8					Overall Visual Quality Score	5.7						Overall Visual Quality Score	5.3				

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

Visual Reso	ource Si	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce Sı	urvey:		Proposed Pro	ject (Plan Conc	ept 2 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	Survey:	Proposed P	roject (Plan Concept 2	Full Buildout)
KOP Location:		Sun City Lincoln Hills Comm	unity Center	Viewpoint:	KOP 1	KOP Location:		Sun City Lincoln Hills	Community Center		Viewpoint:	KOP 1	KOP Location:		Sun City Lincoln Hills C	ommunity Center	Viewpoint:	KOP 1
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from near the Su the landfill.	n City Lincoln Hills Community	Center looking sout	hwest toward	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C looking southwest toward		n near the Sun City	Lincoln Hills Com	nunity Center	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan Co Center looking southwest		m near the Sun City Lincoln	Hills Community
Photo Orientation:		Southwest				Photo Orientation:		Southwest					Photo Orientation:		Southwest			
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:	-	Inferior	X Level		Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior	
View	Notes					View	Notes						View	Notes				
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	oak and pin	e tree varieties are interspersed throug	d looks out across the green, grassy li hout the course. A sand trap is seen of jacent to the golf course and are part	on the right hand side of	the view. Large	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.						Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change	L.			
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	additional re	sidential development and tan-colored	from view by intervening trees and resi I open space. The seventeen story tow eft. The existing landfill is difficult to dis	wer of the Thunder Valle	Casino Resort	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		istern landfill surface is visible rees. The northwestern portion					Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		landfill footprints of the Plan Con onsiderable screening of the land		nore visible compared to Plan Conc ains.	ept 2 2050;
Background (> 4 miles)	Mountains the backg	hat form the western border of the Cer round of the image.	tral Valley are located approximately 4	40 miles from KOP 1 an	d are faintly visible	Background (> 4 miles)	Distant mou	ntains are partially obscured b	y the landfill surface.				Background (> 4 miles)	Greater po	rtions of the distant mountain ran	ge are obscured by the landfil	as compared to Plan Concept 2 2	050.
Vividness	1 T			Vividness							Vividness							
Feature Landform	Score* 5.5		enerally slopes downward to the locati ne background.	. A range of	Feature Landform	5.5	Notes The landfill surface introduces consistent with the topography					Feature Landform	Score* 5	The larger Plan Concept 2 Full I		e visibility of the landfill and obscure idness is limited, however, due to d		
Vegetation	5.5	freshly cut green, grassy fairway, inter tree tops are visible in the middlegrou	Hills Golf Club are seen in the immed spersed with the occasional large pine nd, however, the distance at which the an-yellow, chaparral covered open spa	e or oak tree and sand tr ey are seen makes it diff	ap. A variety of cult to distinguish	Vegetation	5.5	Increased visibility of naturally	occurring vegetation on t	the slopes of the landfi	И.		Vegetation	5.5	No change compared to Plan C	oncept 2 2050.		
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A				
Human-Made	5.5	visually attractive and dominant eleme	an development. The natural-appearin nt of the view. Housing that surrounds round, the Thunder Valley Casino Res	s the golf course is neat	y and	Human-Made		No changes to the existing hu natural-appearing but human- the likelihood that an observer feature.	built feature. Under Plan	Concept 2, the two dis	tinct landfill footprints	vould increase	Human-Made	5	Increased visibility of the landfill	compared to Plan Concept 2	2050.	
Overall	5.5					Overall	5.5						Overall	5.2				
Intactness						Intactness							Intactness					
Overall	6	Though heavily influenced by human garage presenting as minor encroach	development, intactness remains high ing elements.	n, with the casino tower a	nd parking	Overall	6	No change.					Overall	5.5	Slight reduction in intactness du moderate distance and partially		ffill, an intrusive element but one th	at is visible at a
Unity	· · · ·					Unity							Unity					
Overall	6	The view is a generally satisfying blen	d of natural and human-built visual ele	ements.		Overall	6	No change.					Overall	5.5	Slight reduction in unity due to t	the increased visibility of the la	andfill.	
Overall Visual Quality Score	5.8					Overall Visual Quality Score	5.8						Overall Visual Quality Score	5.4				

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

Visual Reso	ource S	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:		Proposed Proj	iect (Plan Cond	ept 1 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Propose	ed Project (Pla	n Concept 1 Fi	ull Buildout)
KOP Location:		Twelve Bridges Drive		Viewpoint:	KOP 2	KOP Location:		Twelve Bridges Drive			Viewpoint:	KOP 2	KOP Location:		Twelve Bridges Drive			Viewpoint:	KOP 2
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from Twelv	ve Bridges Drive looking southwest to	ward the landfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C the landfill.	Concept 1 2050 from	Twelve Bridges D	rive looking south	west toward	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C toward the landfill.	oncept 1 Full Buildou	ut from Twelve Bri	dges Drive lookinę	g southwest
Photo Orientation:		Southwest				Photo Orientation:		Southwest					Photo Orientation:		Southwest				
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level		Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level		Superior	
View	Notes					View	Notes						View	Notes					
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)			rom the viewpoint. The north-south aligned Ind ibution line, and a railroad track that parallel Ind			Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.						Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.					
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	existing lane	dfill surface is visible in the cen	ructure of the Thunder Valley Casino Resort do ther of the image, though it is partially screened distribution infrastructure are seen to the right o	by existing vegetation.		Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The expand	led and heightened landfill is vis	sible to the right of the ca	asino tower.			Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The landfill	footprint is larger and more visit	ole compared to Plan Cor	cept 1 2050.		
Background (> 4 miles)		that form the western border of ground of the right half of the in	f the Central Valley are located approximately 4 mage.	0 miles from KOP 2 an	id are faintly visible	Background (> 4 miles)	No change.						Background (> 4 miles)	The expand	led landfill may start to obscure	some of the distant mour	ntains.		
Vividness					Vividness							Vividness							
Feature	Score*	Notes		Feature	Score*	Notes					Feature	Score*	Notes						
Landform	3	Mostly flat to slightly rolling lan		Landform	3	The expanded landfill would be the already moderately low me			tion, however, it would	not detract from	Landform	2.5	There would be a slight reduct mountain ranges.	ion in vividness of the lan	dform given the landfi	would screen portion	ns of distant		
Vegetation	2.5	Dried tan and ochre grasses a trees are scattered throughout vicinity.	and shrubs blanket the open spaces that domi t the view, generally along roadsides and as so	nate the view. Large oa reening for industrial la	k and eucalyptus ind uses in the	Vegetation	2.5	Vegetation on the slopes of the vegetation of surrounding oper		d generally be consister	nt in color, texture, and	I size with the	Vegetation	2.5	No change compared to Plan (	Concept 1 2050.			
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A					
Human-Made	3		Id parking structure of the Thunder Valley Casi ible, however, are the existing landfill, Industria			Human-Made	3	No changes to the existing hur natural-appearing but human-l					Human-Made	3	No change compared to Plan (	Concept 1 2050.			
Overall	2.8					Overall	2.8						Overall	2.7					
Intactness	1	1				Intactness	r						Intactness	r	r				
Overall	4	Overall intactness is average o and the electrical distribution I	arking structure	Overall	3.5	Slight reduction in intactness of	due to the expansion of t	he landfill, an intrusive	element.		Overall	3	The expanded landfill at Full B range, degrading overall intact		ble and also obscures	small portions of the	distant mountain		
Unity					Unity							Unity							
Overall	4	Unity is average, disrupted by	y the human-made elements of the view that co	ntrast with the otherwis	e open spaces.	Overall	3.5	The sloped sides of the landfill low level of contrast, overall vis				space. Given the	Overall	3.5	No change compared to Plan	Concept 1 2050.			
Overall Visual Quality Score	3.6					Overall Visual Quality Score	3.3						Overall Visual Quality Score	3.1					

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

ncept 2 Full Buildout)	oosed Project (Plan Concept 2 I	rvey: Proposed	urce Si	Visual Reso	ot 2 2050)	Plan Conce	oosed Project (Plan	Prop	urvey:	urce S	Visual Reso	Conditions	Existing		irvey:	urce Su	Visual Reso			
Viewpoint: KOP 2	Viewpoint:	welve Bridges Drive		KOP Location:	KOP 2	iewpoint:	Viewp		Twelve Bridges Drive		KOP Location:	KOP 2	Viewpoint:		Twelve Bridges Drive	1	KOP Location:			
Date: 10/1/19	Date:	I/A		Landscape Unit:	10/1/19	Date:			N/A		Landscape Unit:	10/1/19	Date:		N/A	1	Landscape Unit:			
Drive looking southwest	ildout from Twelve Bridges Drive looki	Simulated view of Plan Concept 2 Full Buildout froward the landfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):	st toward	oking southwe	ve Bridges Drive looking	oncept 2 2050 from Twelve	Simulated view of Plan Co the landfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		ard the landfill.	ridges Drive looking southwest tow	Existing view from Twelve Brid	E	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):			
		Southwest		Photo Orientation:					Southwest		Photo Orientation:				Southwest	5	Photo Orientation:			
rior	vel Superior	Inferior X Level	-	Viewer Position:		ior	Superior	X Level	Inferior		Viewer Position:		Superior	X Level	Inferior	_	Viewer Position:			
			Notes	View						Notes	View					Notes	View			
			No change.	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)						No change.	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)			ne viewpoint. The north-south aligned Indu n line, and a railroad track that parallel Ind			Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)			
	to Plan Concept 2 2050.	II footprints are larger and more visible compared to Plan (	The two land	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		er, with the south	right of the casino tower, with	dfill footprints are visible to the ri	utheastern and northwestern lar e viewpoint and more visible.		Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		by existing vegetation.	e of the Thunder Valley Casino Resort dor the image, though it is partially screened ution infrastructure are seen to the right of	fill surface is visible in the center of th	existing landfi	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)			
	scured by the expanded landfill.	nountain ranges in the background are greatly obscured b	Views of the	Background (> 4 miles)						No change.	Background (> 4 miles)	d are faintly visible	miles from KOP 2 an	Central Valley are located approximately 40	at form the western border of the Ce round of the right half of the image.		Background (> 4 miles)			
				Vividness							Vividness		SS Score* Notes							
		lotes	Score*	Feature					Notes	Score*	Feature				Notes	Score* /	Feature			
robscuring the distant mountain	ared to Plan Concept 2 2050, fully obscuring t	he size and visibility of the landfill increases compared to P anges.	2	Landform	detract from	vever, it would n		taller and more visible than the e norability of the surrounding land		3	Landform		Score*         Notes           3         Mostly flat to slightly rolling landform with a mountain range faintly visible in the far distance.							
		to change compared to Plan Concept 2 2050.	2.5	Vegetation	e with the	or, texture, and s	ally be consistent in color, text	expanded landfill would generall spaces.	Vegetation on the slopes of the vegetation of surrounding open	2.5	Vegetation			hrubs blanket the open spaces that domin view, generally along roadsides and as scr		2.5	Vegetation			
			N/A	Water Feature						N/A	Water Feature					N/A	Water Feature			
ecoming a dominant element of	Concept 2 2050, with the landfill becoming a c	creased visibility of the landfill compared to Plan Concept re view.	2.5	Human-Made				nan-made visual elements. The la uilt feature. Intervening vegetatio		3	Human-Made			king structure of the Thunder Valley Casin lowever, are the existing landfill, Industrial		3 "	Human-Made			
			2.3	Overall						2.8	Overall					2.8	Overall			
				Intactness					1	œ.	Intactness						Intactness			
kpanded landfill would screen		tactness continues to decrease as the landfill expands to ews toward distant mountains, also reducing intactness.	3	Overall		L	fill, an intrusive element.	ue to the expansion of the landfil	Slight reduction in intactness d	3.5	Overall	arking structure	ne casino tower and p	o several encroaching elements, such as t	Overall intactness is average due to and the electrical distribution line.		Overall			
				Unity							Unity						Unity			
		io change compared to Plan Concept 2 2050.	3.5	Overall	ace Given the	rounding open s		would be naturally vegetated and all unity of the view would be on!		3.5	Overall	e open spaces.								
			2.9	Overall Visual Quality Score						3.3	Overall Visual Quality Score									
	iness.		3.5	Unity Overall Overall Visual	ace. Given the		nd appear similar to surroundi	would be naturally vegetated and	The sloped sides of the landfill	3.5	Unity Overall Overall Visual	e open spaces.	nity verall 4 Unly is werage, disrupted by the human-made elements of the view that contrast with the otherwise open spaces							

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

Visual Reso	ource S	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Pro	oosed Project (Plan Cor	ncept 1 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	Survey:	Proposed Project (Pla	n Concept 1 F	ull Buildout)
KOP Location:		Athens Avenue		Viewpoint:	KOP 3	KOP Location:		Athens Avenue		Viewpoint:	KOP 3	KOP Location:		Athens Avenue		Viewpoint:	KOP 3
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from Athe	ens Avenue looking west toward the land	lfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C	Concept 1 2050 from Athe	ns Avenue looking west towa	rd the landfill.	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C landfill.	Concept 1 Full Buildout from Athens Av	enue looking west	t toward the
Photo Orientation:		West				Photo Orientation:		West				Photo Orientation:		West			-
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior	
View	Notes					View	Notes					View	Notes				
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	electrical di structure ar	stribution poles cuts across the d seventeen story tower is los	of the image, an open field of dry grasses extends the field from left to right. The Thunder Valley Casir ated adjacent to Athens Avenue on the right han heading away from the viewpoint.	no Resort, with its larg	e parking	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.					Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change	k.			
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)			rge warehouse facility associated with the landfill lectric transmission line crosses the view from left			Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The expand	ded and heightened landfill is vis	sible on the left hand side of the	view.		Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The landfil	footprint is larger and more visi	ible compared to Plan Concept 1 2050.		
Background (> 4 miles)		hat form the western border o ground of the image to the lef	of the Central Valley are located approximately 40 t of the existing landfill.	miles from KOP 3 an	l are faintly visible	Background (> 4 miles)	No change.					Background (> 4 miles)	No change	<b>.</b>			
Vividness	1				Vividness		1				Vividness						
Feature	Score* Notes					Feature	Score*	Notes				Feature	Score*	Notes			
Landform	2	Land in the view is generally are only very faintly seen far	flat, with only a slight rise in elevation provided by in the background.	istant mountains	Landform	2			hange to the landscape but given the e a reduction in the vividness of the		Landform	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 1 2050.			
Vegetation	2		and shrubs blanket the open spaces that domina reen grass lawns front the Thunder Valley Casino		f the view.	Vegetation	2	Vegetation on the slopes of the vegetation of surrounding open		ally be consistent in color, texture, a	and size with the	Vegetation	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 1 2050.		
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A				
Human-Made	2	associated lighting and signation image. The left side, at first of	d by human-made elements. The large casino de age, and the row of electrical distribution poles full plance, appears less developed; however, upon cl distribution and transmission lines.	ly occupy the right has	d side of the	Human-Made	2			ere would be no changes to the oth etation that would provide partial so		Human-Made	1.5	At Full Buildout, the landfill co	wers most of the horizon to the left of Athens A	renue.	
Overall	2.0					Overall	2.0					Overall	1.8				
Intactness						Intactness						Intactness					
Overall	3	Overall intactness is modera electrical distribution lines ar	tely low due to many encroaching elements, such d the existing landfill.	n as the casino develo	ment, the	Overall	2.5	Slight reduction in intactness d	due to the expansion of the land	fil, an intrusive element.		Overall	2	Intactness continues to decre	ase as the landfill expands toward Full Buildout		
Unity						Unity						Unity		-			
Overall	2.5	The human-made structures sharp contrast to the open s	of the casino property as well as Athens Avenue paces south of Athens Avenue. Visual unity is low	and associated infras v to moderately low.	ructure are a	Overall	2			nd appear similar to surrounding op mue, overall visual unity of the view		Overall	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 1 2050.		
Overall Visual Quality Score	2.5					Overall Visual Quality Score	2.2					Overall Visual Quality Score	1.9				
*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low	vre Key: /ery Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High							tely Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Mode	erately High; 6 - High; 7 - Ver	High		*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low;	3 - Modera	ately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moo	ferately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High		

urce Si	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Proposed	l Project	(Plan Con	cept 2 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	Survey:	Proposed Pro	oject (Plan Concept 2 F	Full Buildout)
	Athens Avenue		Viewpoint:	KOP 3	KOP Location:		Athens Avenue			Viewpoint:	KOP 3	KOP Location:		Athens Avenue		Viewpoint:	KOP 3
	N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19
	Existing view from Ather	is Avenue looking west toward the	e landfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan (	Concept 2 2050 from Athens Ave	nue looking	west toward	I the landfill.	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan ( landfill.	Concept 2 Full Buildout from	Athens Avenue looking wes	st toward the
	West				Photo Orientation:		West					Photo Orientation:		West			
-	Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Supe	erior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior	
Notes					View	Notes						View	Notes				
electrical dis structure an	stribution poles cuts across the nd seventeen story tower is loca	field from left to right. The Thunder Valle ted adjacent to Athens Avenue on the rig	y Casino Resort, with its lar	ge parking	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.						Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change	k.			
					Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)			and fill footprints are visible to the left of A	thens Avenue	e, with the south	eastern portion	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The two la	ndfill footprints are larger and m	ore visible compared to Plan Conc	ept 2 2050.	
			ely 40 miles from KOP 3 ar	nd are faintly visible	Background (> 4 miles)	No change.						Background (> 4 miles)	No change	ŧ.			
Score* Notes														T			
Score*					Feature	Score*						Feature	Score*	Notes			
2			ded by the existing landfill.	Distant mountains	Landform	2						Landform	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 2 2050.		
2				of the view.	Vegetation	2			onsistent in c	olor, texture, an	d size with the	Vegetation	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 2 2050.		
N/A					Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A				
2	associated lighting and signat image. The left side, at first gl	e, and the row of electrical distribution po ance, appears less developed; however, u	les fully occupy the right ha	ind side of the	Human-Made	2	The expansion would increase made visual elements. In this landfill.	the visibility of the landfil but there would location, there is no existing vegetation	ild be no chan that would pro	ges to the othe vide partial scre	r existing human- ening of the	Human-Made	1.5	At Full Buildout, the landfill co	wers most of the horizon to the lef	of Athens Avenue.	
2.0					Overall	2.0						Overall	1.8				
					Intactness							Intactness					
3			s, such as the casino develo	opment, the	Overall	2.5	Slight reduction in intactness	due to the expansion of the landfill, an ir	ntrusive eleme	nt.		Overall	2	Intactness continues to decre	ase as the landfill expands toward	Full Buildout.	
· · · ·					Unity							Unity					
2.5				structure are a	Overall	2						Overall	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 2 2050.		
2.5					Overall Visual Quality Score	2.2						Overall Visual Quality Score	1.9				
	Notes South of At South of At South of At Mountains Score* 2 2 N/A 2 2.0 3 3	N/A           Existing view from Ather           West	Athens Avenue           N/A           Existing view from Athens Avenue looking west toward the West	Athens Avenue         Viewpoint:           N/A         Date:           Existing view from Athens Avenue looking west toward the landfill.         Date:           West	Athens Avenue         Viewpoint:         KOP 3           N/A         Date:         10/1/19           Existing view from Athens Avenue looking west toward the landfill.	Athens Avenue         Viewpoint:         KOP 3         KOP Location:           N/A         Date:         10/1/19         Landscape Unit:         Viewpoint:         Existing view from Athens Avenue looking west toward the landfill.         Viewpoint:         Description         (Figure Caption)           West	Athens Avenue         Viewpoint:         KOP 3           N/A         Date:         10/1/19         KOP Location::           Landscape Unit:         Viewpoint         Date::         10/1/19         Landscape Unit:           West	Athens Avenue         Viewprinit:         KOP 3 International state         KOP Location:         Athens Avenue           N/A         Date:         10/11/19         KOP Location:         Athens Avenue           Existing view from Athens Avenue looking west toward the landfill.         Description (Figure Caption):         Smulated view of Plan O           West	Atterns Avenue       Vicepoint:       KOP 3         N/A       Date:       10/1/19         Landscape Lint:       N/A         Existing view from Altens Avenue looking west toward the landfill.       Viewpoint         West       Photo Crientation:       West	Athers Avenue         Viewpoint         KOP 3           NA         Date:         10/1/19           Existing view from Athers Avenue looking west toward the landfil.         Viewpoint         Simulated view of Plan Concept 2 2050 from Athers Avenue looking (Piguete Caption):           West         Simulated view of Plan Concept 2 2050 from Athers Avenue looking (Piguete Caption):         West	Athers Avenue         Versport:         KOP 3 Date:         10/1/19 10/1/19         KOP Location:         Athers Avenue         Versport: NA         Versport:         NA         Date:         Versport:         Comport Alterns Avenue booking west toward         Comport Alterns Avenue booking west toward         Versport:         Versport:         Infertor	Athers Avenue         Vexport:         KOP 3           NA         Date:         10/1/19           Existing view from Athers Avenue         Vexport:         KOP 3           West         Smulated view of Plan Concept 2 2000 from Athers Avenue booking west toward the landfill.           West         Photo Contentation:         West           Status Avenue is the discloped for the single avenue section do not the single avenue with the based of the single avenue with the based is the discloped for the single avenue with the based is the discloped for the single avenue with the based is the discloped for the single avenue with the based is the discloped for the single avenue with the based is the discloped for the single avenue with the based is the discloped for the single avenue with the based is the dis	Athen Avenue         Veryon:         KOP 3 Lot         O/P Location:         Athen Avenue         Veryon:         KOP 3 Lot         KOP Location:           NA         Date         10/1/19         Landscape IUL:         Na         Materia Avenue Locking west Locking	Athens Avenue         Venport:         KOP 3 Location:         Athens Avenue         Venport:         KOP 3 Location:         KOP Location:         Athens Avenue         Venport:         KOP 3 Location:         KOP Location:         KOP Location: <td>Athen Avenue     Very Prof.     KOP 3 Landware UV/19     KOP 4 Landware UV/19     KOP 4 Landware UV/19     KOP 3 Landware UV/19     KOP 1 Landware UV/19     KOP 1 L</td> <td>Adams Avenue       Verspects       KOP 3       MCP 4       Verspects       KOP 3       MCP 4       Adams Avenue       Adams Avenue       Adams Avenue       Adams Avenue       MA       MA         NA       Date       10/11/0       Tables       10/11/0       Tables       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA       Date       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA       Date       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA         Verspects       Tables       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA       Date       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA         Verspects       Tables       Spector       Weep Cont       Spector       Weep Cont       Spector       Spector       Spector       Spector       Weep Cont       Spector       Weet       NA       Date       Date<td>Answ     Very cost     KOP 3     KOP 1     KOP 3     KOP 1     KOP 1</td></td>	Athen Avenue     Very Prof.     KOP 3 Landware UV/19     KOP 4 Landware UV/19     KOP 4 Landware UV/19     KOP 3 Landware UV/19     KOP 1 Landware UV/19     KOP 1 L	Adams Avenue       Verspects       KOP 3       MCP 4       Verspects       KOP 3       MCP 4       Adams Avenue       Adams Avenue       Adams Avenue       Adams Avenue       MA       MA         NA       Date       10/11/0       Tables       10/11/0       Tables       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA       Date       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA       Date       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA         Verspects       Tables       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA       Date       10/11/0       Endogen Unit       NA         Verspects       Tables       Spector       Weep Cont       Spector       Weep Cont       Spector       Spector       Spector       Spector       Weep Cont       Spector       Weet       NA       Date       Date <td>Answ     Very cost     KOP 3     KOP 1     KOP 3     KOP 1     KOP 1</td>	Answ     Very cost     KOP 3     KOP 1     KOP 3     KOP 1     KOP 1

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

Visual Reso	ource S	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Pro	oosed Proje	ect (Plan Con	cept 1 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	Survey:	Propose	ed Project (Plan	Concept 1 Fi	ull Buildout)
KOP Location:		Fiddyment Road		Viewpoint:	KOP 4	KOP Location:		Fiddyment Road			Viewpoint:	KOP 4	KOP Location:		Fiddyment Road	÷		Viewpoint:	KOP 4
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from Fiddy	ment Road looking north toward the la	indfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C	Concept 1 2050 from Fidd	ment Road lo	oking north towa	rd the landfill.	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan landfill.	Concept 1 Full Buildou	It from Fiddyment R	oad looking nor	th toward the
Photo Orientation:		North				Photo Orientation:		North					Photo Orientation:		North				
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	5	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	S	uperior	
View	Notes					View	Notes						View	Notes					
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	poles parall	els the road. Tall grasses and s	a right side of the frame to the center of the phot shrubs associated with a drainage feature are vi ided by low barbed-wire fencing fills the remaining	isible in the immediate	foreground. Open	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change						Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change	r.				
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	purposes, i		distance, to the right of Fiddyment Road. A row Ill mound. An electrical transmission line suppor			Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The expand	ded landfill footprint and increas	ed height are visible to the right	of Fiddyment Ro	ad.		Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	From this	viewpoint, Plan Concept 1 Ful	I Buildout is only slightly more	re visible than Plan Conc	ept 1 2050.	
Background (> 4 miles)	The foothills	s of the Sierra Nevada Mountair	ins are visible on the right side of the photograph	h.		Background (> 4 miles)	The expand	ded landfill near fully screens the	e distant Sierra Nevada foothills	from view.			Background (> 4 miles)	No change	compared to Plan Concept 1	2050.			
Vividness		r				Vividness		n					Vividness	1	I				
Feature	Score* Notes Flat to gently sloped terrain in the foreground. The existing landfill rises to a height of 125 feet and, from this					Feature	Score*		s a larger footprint and rises an	- ddition -1 000 for	4	dia a tanania	Feature	Score*	Notes				
Landform	4	Flat to gently sloped terrain in viewpoint, appears to be a sor several miles in the distance.	Nevada are seen	Landform	2.5		is a larger tootprint and rises an al appearing compared to the exi				Landform	2.5	No change compared to Pla	in Concept 1 2050.					
Vegetation	3.5		ses and shrubs of moderate height in the immed ace and on the slopes of the existing landfill. Tail Il for screening purposes.			Vegetation	3.5	Similar to the existing landfill a grasses.	and surrounding open space, th	e expanded landfi	II is covered in dry,	tan-colored	Vegetation	3.5	No change compared to Pla	in Concept 1 2050.			
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A					
Human-Made	3	and is lined with road signs an	ring, is heavily influenced by human developme nd an electrical distribution line. Low barbed win g. The existing landfill is visible as is an electric	re fencing divides the vi		Human-Made	2.5	The expanded landfill become	es a prominent feature of the vier	v.			Human-Made	2.5	No change compared to Pla	in Concept 1 2050.			
Overall	3.5					Overall	2.8						Overall	2.8					
Intactness						Intactness							Intactness						
Overall	3.5 Intactness of the view is moderately low to average, degraded by the visible human-made elements.					Overall	2.5	The expanded landfill is a mor	re intrusive element compared to	the lower, smalle	er existing landfill.		Overall	2.5	No change compared to Pla	in Concept 1 2050.			
Unity	y												Unity						
Overall	4		all with the surrounding topography, providing a is reduced by the electrical lines, barbed wire for		Overall	3		dfill is considerably less natural- lopes helps the landfill better ble			owever, the color	Overall	3	No change compared to Pla	in Concept 1 2050.				
Overall Visual Quality Score	3.7					Overall Visual Quality Score	2.8						Overall Visual Quality Score	2.8					
*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low	y: ow; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High						3 - Modera	tely Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Mod	derately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very	High			*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low;	3 - Modera	ately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - M	oderately High; 6 - High; 7 -	- Very High		

Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Pro	oosed Proje	ct (Plan Con	cept 2 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	Survey:	Proposed	Project (Plan Conc	ept 2 Ful	l Buildout)
KOP Location:		Fiddyment Road		Viewpoint:	KOP 4	KOP Location:		Fiddyment Road			Viewpoint:	KOP 4	KOP Location:		Fiddyment Road		Viev	/point:	KOP 4
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from Fiddy	ment Road looking north toward the la	andfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C	Concept 2 2050 from Fidd	ment Road loc	oking north towa	ard the landfill.	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan ( landfill.	Concept 2 Full Buildout fr	om Fiddyment Road loo	king north	toward the
Photo Orientation:		North				Photo Orientation:		North					Photo Orientation:		North				
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	s	uperior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		
View	Notes					View	Notes						View	Notes					
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	poles paralle	els the road. Tall grasses and s	right side of the frame to the center of the phot shrubs associated with a drainage feature are vi ded by low barbed-wire fencing fills the remaining	visible in the immediate	foreground. Open	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.						Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change	a.				
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	purposes, is		distance, to the right of Fiddyment Road. A row I mound. An electrical transmission line suppor					ted landfill footprint and increas e left of Fiddyment Road.	ed height are visible to the right	of Fiddyment Roa	ad. A new, second I	landfill footprint is	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	From this	viewpoint, the western landfill m	nound is slightly larger and mor	e visible compared to Plan C	oncept 2 205	50.
Background (> 4 miles)	The foothills	of the Sierra Nevada Mountair	ns are visible on the right side of the photograp	bh.		Background (> 4 miles)	The expand	ded landfill partially screens the	distant Sierra Nevada foothills f	rom view.			Background (> 4 miles)	No change	e compared to Plan Concept 2 2	2050.			
Vividness					Vividness							Vividness		T					
Feature Landform	Score*	Flat to gently sloped terrain in	the foreground. The existing landfill rises to a h newhat natural feature of the landscape. The lo			Feature Landform	Score* 3	The expanded landfill occupies making the landfill less natural	s a larger footprint and rises an I appearing compared to the exi f the Sierra Nevada are partially	sting condition. A			Feature Landform	Score* 3		em landfill mound is slightly lar	ger and more visible compar	ed to Plan Co	oncept 2 2050.
Vegetation			es and shrubs of moderate height in the immec ce and on the slopes of the existing landfill. Tall for screening purposes.			Vegetation	3.5	Similar to the existing landfill a grasses.	and surrounding open space, th	e expanded landfil	I is covered in dry,	tan-colored	Vegetation	3.5	No change compared to Plan	Concept 2 2050.			
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A					
Human-Made		and is lined with road signs an	ing, is heavily influenced by human developme id an electrical distribution line. Low barbed win g. The existing landfill is visible as is an electric	re fencing divides the vi		Human-Made	2.5	The expanded landfill become	is a prominent feature of the vie	v.			Human-Made	2.5	From this viewpoint, the west	ern landfill mound is slightly lar	ger and more visible compar	ed to Plan C	oncept 2 2050.
Overall	3.5					Overall	3.0						Overall	3.0					
Intactness						Intactness							Intactness						
Overall	3.5	Intactness of the view is mode	rately low to average, degraded by the visible h		Overall	2	The expanded landfill is a mor second, discrete landfill mound	e intrusive element compared to d increases the effect.	the lower, smalle	r existing landfill. T	he presence of a	Overall	2	No change compared to Plan	Concept 2 2050.				
Unity					Unity		·					Unity							
Overall	4		I with the surrounding topography, providing a is reduced by the electrical lines, barbed wire fr			Overall	2.5		dfill is considerably less natural- d increases the effect. However, urrounding landscape.				Overall	2.5	No change compared to Plan	I Concept 2 2050.			
Overall Visual Quality Score	3.7					Overall Visual Quality Score	2.5						Overall Visual Quality Score	2.5					
*Score Key		-		-	*Score Key						-	*Score Key						-	

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

Visual Reso	ource S	urvey:			Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Proposed	Project (Plan Co	ncept 1 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Proposed Project (Pla	n Concept 1 F	ull Buildout)
KOP Location:		Sunset Boulevard Wes	t		Viewpoint:	KOP 5	KOP Location:		Sunset Boulevard West	t	Viewpoint:	KOP 5	KOP Location:		Sunset Boulevard Wes	t	Viewpoint:	KOP 5
Landscape Unit:		N/A			Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from Sunse	et Boulevard V	Vest looking northeast	toward the landfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C the landfill.	oncept 1 2050 from Sunset Boule	vard West looking r	ortheast toward	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C toward the landfill.	concept 1 Full Buildout from Sunset Bo	ulevard West lool	king northeast
Photo Orientation	1:	Northeast					Photo Orientation:		Northeast				Photo Orientation:		Northeast			
Viewer Position:		Inferior	<u> </u>	Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior	
View	Notes						View	Notes					View	Notes				
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)		levard West extends along the ad wire fence separates the road			line of wooden electrical	distribution poles.	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.					Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.				
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		e and farmland extends from the miles in the distance, to the left e image.					Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)			ed height are visible to the left of Sunset E a view, though it is difficult to distinguish a			Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	The landfill	footprint is larger and more visil	ble compared to Plan Concept 1 2050.		
Background (> 4 miles)		of the Thunder Valley Resort an of the Sierra Nevada range are			in the center of the frame	e. The foothills and	Background (> 4 miles)	The expand	led landfill begins to partially ob	scure the distant Sierra Nevada foothills a	id mountains.		Background (> 4 miles)	Greater por	tions of the distant mountain ra	nge are obscured by the landfill as compared t	Plan Concept 1 205	50.
Vividness						Vividness						Vividness						
Feature	Score*	Notes				Feature	Score*	Notes				Feature	Score*	Notes				
Landform	4.5	Mostly flat foreground to middl	foothills and mountains risi	ng in the distance.		Landform	4		additional 200 feet above the surrounding sting condition. The foothills of the Sierra			Landform	3.5	The size and visibility of the lar foothills of the Sierra Nevada.	ndfill increases compared to Plan Concept 1 20	50, obscuring greater	r portions of the	
Vegetation	3	Dried grasses cover the open around the existing landfill and vegetation.	space in the foreg d associated facili	ground and middleground. I ties are visible. The distant	Large trees planted for so foothills are covered in da	creening purposes ark green	Vegetation		Similar to the existing landfill a grasses.	nd surrounding open space, the expande	landfill is covered in dr	r, tan-colored	Vegetation	3	No change compared to Plan	Concept 1 2050.		
Water Feature	N/A						Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A				
Human-Made	3	The view is somewhat natural signage, an electrical distributi				nd associated	Human-Made	3	Increased visibility of the landfi element of the view.	I compared to the existing condition; how	ver, the expanded land	ill is not a dominant	Human-Made	2.5	Increased visibility of the landf	II compared to Plan Concept 1 2050.		
Overall	3.5						Overall	3.3					Overall	3.0				
Intactness							Intactness		. <u></u>				Intactness					
Overall	4	Intactness of the view is average	ge, degraded by	the visible human-made ele	ments.		Overall	3.5	The expanded landfill is a more	a intrusive element compared to the lower	smaller existing landfill		Overall	3	Intactness continues to decrea	ase as the landfill expands toward Full Buildout		
Unity	_					Unity						Unity	-					
Overall	4.5	The existing landfill is low enou appearing view of open fields v		arly noticeable. The scen	e is a natural	Overall	4		fill is considerably less natural-appearing spes helps the landfill better blend with the			Overall	3.5	Unity continues to decrease as	s the landfill expands toward Full Buildout.			
Overall Visual Quality Score	4.0						Overall Visual Quality Score	3.6					Overall Visual Quality Score	3.2				

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High

Visual Reso	ource S	urvey:		Existing	Conditions	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Proposed Pro	ject (Plan Con	cept 2 2050)	Visual Reso	urce S	urvey:	Proposed Project (Pla	n Concept 2 Fu	ull Buildout)
KOP Location:		Sunset Boulevard Wes	t	Viewpoint:	KOP 5	KOP Location:		Sunset Boulevard West	1	Viewpoint:	KOP 5	KOP Location:		Sunset Boulevard West		Viewpoint:	KOP 5
Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19	Landscape Unit:		N/A		Date:	10/1/19
Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Existing view from Sunse	et Boulevard West looking northeast to	ward the landfill.		Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C the landfill.	oncept 2 2050 from Sunset Boulevar	West looking no	theast toward	Viewpoint Description (Figure Caption):		Simulated view of Plan C toward the landfill.	oncept 2 Full Buildout from Sunset Bo	ulevard West look	ing northeast
Photo Orientation	:	Northeast				Photo Orientation:		Northeast				Photo Orientation:		Northeast			
Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior		Viewer Position:		Inferior	X Level	Superior	
View	Notes					View	Notes					View	Notes				
Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)			right side of the frame and is paralleled by a lin d right-of-way from adjacent open space.	e of wooden electrical	distribution poles.	Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.					Foreground (0 - 1/2 mile)	No change.				
Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		niles in the distance, to the left	e foreground into the middleground. The existin of the road. A large existing warehouse associa			Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)		ded landfill footprint and increase visible in the center of the view.	ed height are visible to the left of Sunset Boule	vard West. A new, se	cond landfill	Middleground (1/2 - 4 miles)	From this v	iewpoint, both landfill mounds a	opear considerably larger compared to Plan Co	ncept 2 2050.	
Background (> 4 miles)			d Casino is visible four miles in the distance in t seen 10+ miles in the distance.	the center of the frame	e. The foothills and	Background (> 4 miles)	The expand	ded landfill begins to partially ob	scure the distant Sierra Nevada foothills and r	ountains.		Background (> 4 miles)	The foothills	s of the Sierra Nevada are near t	ully obscured by the two landfill mounds.		
Vividness						Vividness		1				Vividness					
Feature	Score*	Notes		Feature	Score*		additional 200 feet above the surrounding terr	in making the locate	lana anti-rat	Feature	Score*	Notes					
Landform	4.5	Mostly flat foreground to middl		Landform	4		sting condition. A second, discrete landfill foot			Landform	2.5	The size and visibility of the lan the Sierra Nevada.	dfill increases compared to Plan Concept 2 20	50, near fully obscurin	ig the foothills of		
Vegetation	3		space in the foreground and middleground. Lat associated facilities are visible. The distant foo			Vegetation	3	Similar to the existing landfill ar grasses.	nd surrounding open space, the expanded lar	dfill is covered in dry,	an-colored	Vegetation	3	No change compared to Plan (	Concept 1 2050.		
Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A					Water Feature	N/A				
Human-Made	3		appearing but does contain many human-made ion line, fencing, casino tower, and the existing		ind associated	Human-Made	2.5	Increased visibility of the landfil	I compared to the existing condition. A secon	l footprint draws atten	ion to the landfill.	Human-Made	2	Increased visibility of the landfil the view.	I compared to Plan Concept 2 2050, with the I	ndfill becoming a don	ninant element of
Overall	3.5					Overall	3.2					Overall	2.5				
Intactness	-	. <u>.</u>				Intactness	1	1				Intactness					
Overall	4	Intactness of the view is average	ge, degraded by the visible human-made eleme	ents.		Overall	3		e intrusive element compared to the lower, sm a detrimental effect on intactness.	aller existing landfill. T	ne existence of a	Overall	2	Intactness continues to decrea	se as the landfill expands toward Full Buildout		
Unity						Unity	•					Unity	•				
Overall	4.5		ugh and distant enough that it is not particularly with mountains rising in the distance.	/ noticeable. The scen	e is a natural	Overall	3.5		fill is considerably less natural-appearing than pes helps the landfill better blend with the su		owever, the color	Overall	3	Unity continues to decrease as	the landfill expands toward Full Buildout.		
Overall Visual Quality Score	4.0					Overall Visual Quality Score	3.2					Overall Visual Quality Score	2.5				

\*Score Key: 1 - Very Low; 2 - Low; 3 - Moderately Low; 4 - Average; 5 - Moderately High; 6 - High; 7 - Very High